



**ACTION SHEET  
PUBLIC UTILITES COMMITTEE MEETING OF 9/2/15**

**ISSUE NO. 19**

Request for approval of Resolution No. 2015-\_\_\_\_\_. A resolution supporting legislation amending NMSA 1978, § 72-12-24 Supplemental Well, and § 72-12-22, Replacement Wells. (Marcos Martinez) (Councilor Maestas)

Finance Committee – 8/31/15  
Public Utilities Committee – 9/2/15  
City Council – 9/9/15

**PUBLIC UTILITES COMMITTEE ACTION:** Approved to forward to 9/9/15 City Council.

**SPECIAL CONDITIONS OR AMENDMENTS:** Add Councilor Ives as a sponsor.

**STAFF FOLLOW UP:**

<b>VOTE:</b>	<b>FOR</b>	<b>AGAINST</b>	<b>ABSTAIN</b>
COUNCILOR RIVERA, CHAIR	x		
COUNCILOR MAESTAS	Excused		
COUNCILOR BUSHEE			x
COUNCILOR DIMAS	Excused		
COUNCILOR IVES	x		

**ACTION SHEET**  
**CITY COUNCIL COMMITTEE MEETING OF 09/09/15**  
**ITEM FROM FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING OF 08/31/15**

**ISSUE:**

27. Request for Approval of a Resolution Supporting Legislation Amending NMSA 1978, § 72-12-24 Supplemental Well, and § 72-12-22, Replacement Wells. (Councilor Maestas) (Marcos Martinez)

**Committee Review:**

Public Utilities Committee (scheduled)	09/02/15
City Council (scheduled)	09/09/15

Fiscal Impact – No

**FINANCE COMMITTEE ACTION:**

Approved as Consent item.

**FUNDING SOURCE:**

**SPECIAL CONDITIONS OR AMENDMENTS**

**STAFF FOLLOW-UP:**

<b>VOTE</b>	<b>FOR</b>	<b>AGAINST</b>	<b>ABSTAIN</b>
COUNCILOR TRUJILLO	X		
COUNCILOR RIVERA	X		
COUNCILOR LINDELL	Excused		
COUNCILOR MAESTAS	X		
CHAIRPERSON DOMINGUEZ			

4-13-15

# City of Santa Fe, New Mexico

## LEGISLATIVE SUMMARY

### Resolution No. 2015-\_\_\_\_ Supplemental and Replacement Wells

---

**SPONSOR(S):** Councilor Maestas and Ives

**SUMMARY:** The proposed resolution supports legislation amending NMSA 1978, § 72-12-24 Supplemental Well and NMSA 1978, § 72-12-22, Replacement Wells.

**PREPARED BY:** Rebecca Seligman, Legislative Liaison Assistant

**FISCAL IMPACT:** No

**DATE:** September 2, 2015

**ATTACHMENTS:** Resolution  
FIR

1 CITY OF SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

2 RESOLUTION NO. 2015-\_\_

3 INTRODUCED BY:

4  
5 Councilor Joseph M. Maestas

6 Councilor Peter Ives

7  
8  
9  
10 A RESOLUTION

11 SUPPORTING LEGISLATION AMENDING NMSA 1978, § 72-12-24 SUPPLEMENTAL  
12 WELL, AND § 72-12-22, REPLACEMENT WELLS.

13  
14 WHEREAS, the Office of the State Engineer (OSE) has indicated that it is changing its  
15 long established policy with respect to supplemental and replacement wells;

16 WHEREAS, in reliance on the longstanding policy of the OSE, municipalities have  
17 invested millions of dollars in acquiring water rights and developing physical sources of supply to  
18 serve their citizenry;

19 WHEREAS, a shift in policy would jeopardize the ability of municipalities to perfect the  
20 unused portion of a permitted or declared water right and undermine millions of dollars of  
21 investment in a diversified portfolio of water rights and physical sources of supply;

22 WHEREAS, in the 2015 Regular Session of the Legislature, Senator Griggs introduced  
23 Senate Bill 665;

24 WHEREAS, SB 665 proposed to amend NMSA 1978, § 72-12-24 regarding  
25 Supplemental Wells and § 72-12-22 regarding Replacement Wells.

1           **WHEREAS**, in Resolution 2015-26, the City of Santa Fe supported the legislature's  
2 effort to protect municipalities' investment in and reliance upon the long-established policy of the  
3 OSE, which allowed a municipality to grow into a declared or permitted water right; and

4           **WHEREAS**, the City continues to support legislation, which will protect a  
5 municipality's ability to perfect its unused water rights.

6           **NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE**  
7 **CITY OF SANTA FE** that the Governing Body supports legislation relating to amending NMSA  
8 1978, § 72-12-24 and § 72-12-22 to ensure that local governments that have invested in  
9 groundwater as part of a conjunctive use strategy with surface water, will continue to be able to  
10 develop their ground water rights as these municipalities grow.

11           **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the State  
12 legislative delegation serving the City of Santa Fe.

13           PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2015.

14  
15 \_\_\_\_\_  
16 JAVIER M. GONZALES, MAYOR

17 ATTEST:

18  
19 \_\_\_\_\_  
20 YOLANDA Y. VIGIL, CITY CLERK

21 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

22   
23 \_\_\_\_\_  
24 KELLEY A. BRENNAN, CITY ATTORNEY

25 *M/Legislation/Resolutions 2015/Supplemental and Replacement Wells*

**City of Santa Fe  
Fiscal Impact Report (FIR)**

This Fiscal Impact Report (FIR) shall be completed for each proposed bill or resolution as to its direct impact upon the City's operating budget and is intended for use by any of the standing committees of and the Governing Body of the City of Santa Fe. Bills or resolutions with no fiscal impact still require a completed FIR. Bills or resolutions with a fiscal impact must be reviewed by the Finance Committee. Bills or resolutions without a fiscal impact generally do not require review by the Finance Committee unless the subject of the bill or resolution is financial in nature.

**Section A. General Information**

(Check) Bill: \_\_\_\_\_ Resolution:  X

(A single FIR may be used for related bills and/or resolutions)

Short Title(s):  A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING LEGISLATION AMENDING NMSA 1978, § 72-12-24 SUPPLEMENTAL WELL, AND § 72-12-22, REPLACEMENT WELLS.

Sponsor(s):  Councilor Maestas

Reviewing Department(s):  City Attorney's Office

Persons Completing FIR:  Rebecca Seligman  Date:  08/12/15  Phone:  955-6501

Reviewed by City Attorney:  Kelly A. Brennan  Date:  8/13/15   
(Signature)

Reviewed by Finance Director:  [Signature]  Date:  8-14-2015   
(Signature)

**Section B. Summary**

Briefly explain the purpose and major provisions of the bill/resolution:

The proposed resolution supports legislation amending NMSA 1978, § 72-12-24 Supplemental Well and NMSA 1978, § 72-12-22, Replacement Wells.

**Section C. Fiscal Impact**

**Note:** Financial information on this FIR does not directly translate into a City of Santa Fe budget increase. For a budget increase, the following are required:

- a. The item must be on the agenda at the Finance Committee and City Council as a "Request for Approval of a City of Santa Fe Budget Increase" with a definitive funding source (could be same item and same time as bill/resolution)
- b. Detailed budget information must be attached as to fund, business units, and line item, amounts, and explanations (similar to annual requests for budget)
- c. Detailed personnel forms must be attached as to range, salary, and benefit allocation and signed by Human Resource Department for each new position(s) requested (prorated for period to be employed by fiscal year)\*

**1. Projected Expenditures:**

- a. Indicate Fiscal Year(s) affected – usually current fiscal year and following fiscal year (i.e., FY 03/04 and FY 04/05)
- b. Indicate: "A" if current budget and level of staffing will absorb the costs  
"N" if new, additional, or increased budget or staffing will be required
- c. Indicate: "R" – if recurring annual costs  
"NR" if one-time, non-recurring costs, such as start-up, contract or equipment costs
- d. Attach additional projection schedules if two years does not adequately project revenue and cost patterns
- e. Costs may be netted or shown as an offset if some cost savings are projected (explain in Section 3 Narrative)

Finance Director: \_\_\_\_\_

Check here if no fiscal impact

Column #:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	Expenditure Classification	FY _____	"A" Costs Absorbed or "N" New Budget Required	"R" Costs Recurring or "NR" Non-recurring	FY _____	"A" Costs Absorbed or "N" New Budget Required	"R" Costs - Recurring or "NR" Non-recurring	Fund Affected

Personnel*	\$ _____	_____	_____	\$ _____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Fringe**	\$ _____	_____	_____	\$ _____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Capital Outlay	\$ _____	_____	_____	\$ _____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Land/ Building	\$ _____	_____	_____	\$ _____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Professional Services	\$ _____	_____	_____	\$ _____	_____	_____	_____	_____
All Other Operating Costs	\$ _____	_____	_____	\$ _____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Total:	\$ _____			\$ _____				

\* Any indication that additional staffing would be required must be reviewed and approved in advance by the City Manager by attached memo before release of FIR to committees. \*\*For fringe benefits contact the Finance Dept.

**2. Revenue Sources:**

- a. To indicate new revenues and/or
- b. Required for costs for which new expenditure budget is proposed above in item 1.

Column #:	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Type of Revenue	FY _____	"R" Costs Recurring or "NR" Non-recurring	FY _____	"R" Costs - Recurring or "NR" Non-recurring	Fund Affected

_____	\$ _____	_____	\$ _____	_____	_____	_____
_____	\$ _____	_____	\$ _____	_____	_____	_____
_____	\$ _____	_____	\$ _____	_____	_____	_____
Total:	\$ _____		\$ _____			



**3. Expenditure/Revenue Narrative:**

Explain revenue source(s). Include revenue calculations, grant(s) available, anticipated date of receipt of revenues/grants, etc. Explain expenditures, grant match(s), justify personnel increase(s), detail capital and operating uses, etc. (Attach supplemental page, if necessary.)

N/A

---

**Section D.      General Narrative**

**1. Conflicts:** Does this proposed bill/resolution duplicate/conflict with/companion to/relate to any City code, approved ordinance or resolution, other adopted policies or proposed legislation? Include details of city adopted laws/ordinance/resolutions and dates. Summarize the relationships, conflicts or overlaps.

None identified

---

**2. Consequences of Not Enacting This Bill/Resolution:**

Are there consequences of not enacting this bill/resolution? If so, describe.

None identified

---

**3. Technical Issues:**

Are there incorrect citations of law, drafting errors or other problems? Are there any amendments that should be considered? Are there any other alternatives which should be considered? If so, describe.

No

---

**4. Community Impact:**

Briefly describe the major positive or negative effects the Bill/Resolution might have on the community including, but not limited to, businesses, neighborhoods, families, children and youth, social service providers and other institutions such as schools, churches, etc.

**Through the adoption of Resolution 2015-26, the City of Santa Fe supported the legislature's effort to protect municipalities' investment in and reliance upon the long-established policy of the OSE, which allowed a municipality to grow into a declared or permitted water right. The City would like to continue to support legislation, which will protect a municipality's ability to perfect its unused water rights**

---