



Agenda

**PUBLIC WORKS/CIP & LAND USE
COMMITTEE MEETING
CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS
MONDAY, JANUARY 23, 2012
5:15 P.M.**

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. ROLL CALL
3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
4. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA
5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM JANUARY 9, 2012 PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEETING

INFORMATION AGENDA

6. UPDATE TO TEMPORARY CHANGE TO LIBRARY HOURS (**PAT HODAPP**)

CONSENT AGENDA

7. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS AND IMPLEMENTATION FOR RESOLUTION 2011-53 (**BOB SIQUEIROS**)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)
Council (Scheduled)

01/30/12
02/08/12

8. CIP PROJECT #413B – SANTA FE RIVER PARK – EL PARQUE DEL RIO – RENOVATIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS, ST. FRANCIS DRIVE TO EL ALAMO STREET
 - REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH SURROUNDINGS STUDIO LLC TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL DESIGN SERVICES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$19,715 PLUS \$1,138.06 (NMGRT) FOR A TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$20,853.06 (**BRIAN DRYPOLCHER**)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)
Council (Scheduled)

01/30/12
02/08/12

9. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF THE GOVERNMENTAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE YOUTH CONSERVATION CORPS COMMISSION (YCCC) AND THE CITY OF SANTA FE FIRE DEPARTMENT, FUNDING THE CITY OF SANTA FE \$150,000 TO OPERATE A 10-PERSON WILDLAND FIRE HANDCREW (ATALAYA HANDCREW) (**PORFIRIO CHAVARRIA**)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)
Council (Scheduled)

01/30/12
02/08/12

10. ALCOHOL ON PUBLIC PROPERTY (**COUNCILOR CHAVEZ**)(**GILDA MONTANO**)
- REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE 23-6 SFCC 1987 TO PERMIT THE LIMITED SALE AND CONSUMPTION OF BEER AND WINE ONLY AT FORT MARCY BALLPARK FOR NOT-FOR-PROFIT EVENTS
 - REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE SALE AND CONSUMPTION OF BEER ONLY AT FT. MARCY BALLPARK AS PART OF AN ANNUAL FUNDING RAISING EVENT SPONSORED BY KEEP SANTA FE BEAUTIFUL, A NOT-FOR-PROFIT VOLUNTEER PROGRAM

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)	01/30/12
Public Utilities (Scheduled)	02/01/12
Council (Request to publish)	02/08/12
Public Safety (Scheduled)	02/21/12
Council (Public hearing)	02/29/12

11. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO PREPARE AMENDMENTS TO THE CITY OF SANTA FE PROCUREMENT CODE TO EXPAND SECTION 15.2 IN ORDER TO ESTABLISH A "BUY AMERICAN" PROCUREMENT POLICY (**COUNCILOR CHAVEZ**) (**ROBERT RODARTE**)

Committee Review:

City Business & Quality of Life (Scheduled)	02/14/12
Finance Committee (Scheduled)	02/20/12
Council (Scheduled)	02/29/12

12. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING WILDERNESS AND NATIONAL RECREATION AREA DESIGNATIONS FOR THE INVENTORIED ROADLESS AREAS ADJACENT TO THE PECOS WILDERNESS (**COUNCILOR BUSHEE**) (**ROBERT SIQUEIROS**)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)	01/30/12
Council (Scheduled)	02/08/12

13. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO PREPARE THE REQUIRED DOCUMENTS TO AMEND THE CURRENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SANTA FE AND THE SANTA FE RAILYARD PARK STEWARDS FOR CONTINUED SERVICES TO BE PROVIDED AT THE SANTA FE RAILYARD PARK AND PLAZA FOR AN ADDITIONAL \$100,000 PER YEAR TO BE PAID OVER A THREE YEAR TERM (**COUNCILOR ROMERO**) (**ROBERT SIQUEIROS**)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)	01/30/12
Council (Scheduled)	02/08/12

14. MATTERS FROM STAFF

15. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE

16. NEXT MEETING: **MONDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 2012**

17. ADJOURN

**SUMMARY INDEX FOR
PUBLIC WORKS/CIP & LAND USE COMMITTEE
January 23, 2012**

ITEM	ACTION	PAGE
1. Call to Order	Convened at 5:15 p.m.	1
2. Roll Call	Quorum Present	1
3. Approval of Agenda	Approved as presented	1
4. Approval of Consent Agenda	Approved as amended	1-2
5. Approval of Minutes January 9, 2012	Approved as presented	2
Informational Agenda		
6. Library Hours Update	Discussion	2
Consent Agenda Listing	Listed	2
Discussion Agenda		
7. Resolution 11-53	Approved recommendations	3-7
8. River Park Contract Amendment 3	Approved	7-8
10. Santa Fé Beautiful Fund Raiser	Approved	9-13
11. Buy American Policy	Approved	13-14
12. Wilderness Designation	Forwarded without recommendation	14-15
13. Railyard Agreement Amendment	Approved	9
14. Matters from Staff	None	15
15. Matters from the Committee	Discussion	15
16. Next Meeting	Set for February 6, 2012	16
17. Adjournment	Adjourned at 6:50 p.m.	16

MINUTES OF THE
CITY OF SANTA FÉ
PUBLIC WORKS/CIP & LAND USE COMMITTEE

MONDAY, JANUARY 23, 2012

1. CALL TO ORDER

A regular meeting of the Public Works/CIP & Land Use Committee was called to order on the above date by Chair Carmichael Dominguez at approximately 5:15 p.m. in City Council Chambers, City Hall, 200 Lincoln, Santa Fé, New Mexico.

2. ROLL CALL

Roll Call indicated the presence of a quorum as follows:

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Councilor Carmichael Dominguez, Chair
Councilor Christopher Calvert
Councilor Miguel Chávez [arriving later]
Councilor Rosemary Romero
Councilor Ronald S. Trujillo

MEMBERS ABSENT:

STAFF PRESENT:

Mr. Isaac Pino, Public Works Director
Ms. Bobbi Mossman, Public Works Staff

NOTE: All items in the Committee packet for all agenda items were incorporated herewith by reference. The original Committee packet is on file in the Public Works Department.

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Councilor Calvert moved to approve the agenda as presented. Councilor Romero seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

4. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

Councilor Romero requested that items 10, 11 and 12 be pulled for discussion.

Councilor Calvert requested that items 7, 8 and 13 be pulled for discussion.

Councilor Calvert moved to approve the consent agenda as amended. Councilor Romero seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote. Councilor Chávez was not present for the vote.

Councilor Chávez arrived at this time.

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM JANUARY 9, 2012 PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEETING

Councilor Romero moved to approve the minutes of January 9, 2012 as presented. Councilor Trujillo seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

INFORMATIONAL AGENDA

6. UPDATE TO TEMPORARY CHANGE TO LIBRARY HOURS (PAT HODAPP)

Mr. Pino said the City had a number of librarians retire or move away and it led to asking for approval to have a rolling calendar to allow us to hire librarians. They have special requirements so the City could not hire temps. To handle the problem, the City would close the La Farge Library on Saturdays until May and hope then to return to the normal schedule. The City was in a good position to try to hire people and should have it finished in 3-4 months. We examined all three libraries and found that was the best one to close on Saturdays.

Councilor Calvert pointed out that this was not because of budgetary reasons but personnel departures. Mr. Pino agreed.

CONSENT AGENDA LISTING

- 9. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF THE GOVERNMENTAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE YOUTH CONSERVATION CORPORATION COMMISSION (YCCC) AND THE CITY OF SANTA FÉ FIRE DEPARTMENT, FUNDING THE CITY OF SANTA FÉ \$150,000 TO OPERATE A 10-PERSON WILDLAND FIRE HANDCREW (ATALAYA HANDCREW) (PORFIRIO CHAVARRIA)**

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)
Council (Scheduled)

01/30/12
02/08/12

END OF CONSENT AGENDA

DISCUSSION

7. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS AND IMPLEMENTATION FOR RESOLUTION 2011-53 (BOB SIQUEIROS)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)
Council (Scheduled)

01/30/12
02/08/12

Councilor Calvert was fully in favor of this resolution but had questions based on the memo provided. On page 4, at the very top it talked about one security guard from 10 am to 10 pm and also down below a police officer from noon to 10 pm. He asked if these were the problem hours. He thought early morning was more of a problem time. He asked if there was a reason to beef it up during the daytime hours.

Mr. Siqueiros said the noon to 10 pm was only from May through August which were the busiest times at the Railyard.

Councilor Calvert asked if that was that a reflection of businesses or of crime. He wondered if this was when they were really needed.

Chief Rael, in reviewing this, the added police presence was during peak hours and anticipated more problems because of density. It was not every day or every weekend but during special functions. The security patrol was there more often but there would be more eyes on the park during time. This would be on overtime as needed until the Department got staffing up to par.

Councilor Calvert was trying to understand the hours proposed. He asked if they wanted more there when more citizens were there. He recalled several incidents that happened when very few people were there - camping out, cooking meth in stairwells, getting into fights. This resolution didn't seem to reflect the hours of most illegal activity.

Chief Rael said the focus was to prevent assaults and theft and things of that sort. They wouldn't ever be able to prevent the use of drugs there but a police presence would serve as a deterrent.

Councilor Calvert hoped he was right and, if not, maybe they could adjust the hours later. Also there were probably fewer bad things going on in the rest of the city at these hours.

Chief Rael agreed everything was always subject to change either earlier or later but this would take a

positive step to deal with the problems.

Councilor Calvert asked Legal about the legality of this resolution. On page 3 it talked about amending ordinances. The one he didn't think got addressed was #2. Number 1 was already in process. He was referring to the section called Recommended City Ordinance Amendments.

Then under legislative proposals for City Council direction, #2 nuisance liquor store regulations - he asked if targeting stores in the Railyard neighborhood was not something that could be done without a cry of discrimination. He asked if they would have to do that across the board.

Mr. Walker said that was a good question. He was not sure and didn't recall during discussions when the committee was drafting this that they specifically addressed that question. But it would be something they would want to look at. These would work better if done city-wide.

Councilor Calvert questioned that they could legally target just those liquor stores in or around the Railyard with an ordinance and also how much jurisdiction the state would allow the City to have in that area.

Mr. Walker thought this was more of a request for direction from the Councilors.

Councilor Calvert referred to the statement at the very end. City staff recommended the items outlined. He asked if that meant everything in the memo which was what it said to him.

Chair Dominguez had the same questions. He thought some of this came from the public meetings.

Mr. Siqueiros said the legislative part was not included in the list of recommendations. It was in what he handed out right before the meeting regarding the security guard, police officers, cameras, and funding source.

Councilor Calvert understood staff just recommended those for approval. Mr. Siqueiros agreed.

Councilor Calvert said for the second code amendment about camping he would be willing to co-sponsor that but would like to see that get worked out. He would like to see on the legislative proposals for staff to flesh them out even if they were not part of the recommendations. He asked if it was something we could or could not do or someplace in between.

Mr. Walker thought it was a question on how far the state would let the City go. He was willing to look at that and see what they would have to go to the legislature for.

Councilor Calvert moved to approve the items on the handout. Councilor Romero seconded the motion.

Councilor Chávez was in general support but had questions for staff. In the first whereas statement he

asked if this 50 acres went all the way to Baca Street. Mr. Siqueiros agreed.

Councilor Chávez asked that trails be added to the first whereas.

Councilor Calvert pointed out that this resolution had already been passed. Mr. Siqueiros agreed. It was approved in October.

Councilor Chávez asked then if the Committee was just approving the six staff recommendations. Mr. Siqueiros agreed.

Councilor Chávez asked if the staff recommendations could be expanded to include the trails or to explore options for including trails in the recommendations.

Mr. Siqueiros said they only focused on the north part of the Railyard.

Councilor Chávez said in the future the emphasis should be on the trails too

Councilor Romero saw this as the implementation portion of the resolution. If another one came forward, she would be glad to co-sponsor and work with staff on it.

Councilor Chávez hoped they would include open spaces and trails in the prohibiting of camping.

Mr. Siqueiros pointed out that it was only on city-owned land and some trails were owned by others and the City only had easements.

Councilor Chávez saw people camping out along the trails.

Councilor Calvert thought they could flesh that out as the code amendment came through.

Chair Dominguez noted in #1 that it prohibited sales or open containers, etc. except as set forth in section 23.6. He asked what the 23.6 portion was.

Mr. Siqueiros said that was to require the permitting process for alcohol to be served at any event.

Chair Dominguez understood it had to go through the permitting process and was not a complete prohibition of alcohol at that location.

Then on #3, the city police patrol being provided on an as needed basis and would cost \$15,000 to \$23,000 yearly. He asked what that paid for.

Chief Rael said that would cover the overtime for the officers who would volunteer or provide the patrol by assignment. The Department would pay for that out of salary savings right now but in the future through the budget process.

Chair Dominguez asked how much revenue was generated out of the north Railyard to help pay for that. There were lots of areas that needed more police patrol but he wanted to make sure they were not taking from other areas to pay for this.

Mr. Siqueiros referred to the back of the memo where Exhibit B showed the GRT revenue from the Railyard and the expenses in the Railyard. So he included security guard and police officer there. There was approximately \$1.7 million generated and about \$1 million of that was used.

Chair Dominguez said he would ask his other questions at Finance.

Councilor Calvert said he might disagree with Mr. Siqueiros on his interpretation of allowing alcohol at parks just for a permit. The Council amended 23.6 for beer at Ft. Marcy but to allow beer in any park the Council would have to amend the ordinance. They would still have to get a permit but...

Chair Dominguez asked what 23.6 was.

Councilor Calvert said that was what item #10 was about. So he thought they were talking about amending 23.6.

Mr. Walker agreed with that interpretation. The ordinance specified where on city property alcohol could be served by permitting. The ordinance that is in the Railyard would essentially prohibit the consumption of or possession of alcohol on any city property except where the property had been set aside to allow it with a permit.

Chair Dominguez was confused then. He asked if the recommendation was that the only way it would be allowed for alcohol to be served in any city-owned land in the Railyard was by ordinance amendment or just by permitting.

Mr. Walker apologized. He didn't have the ordinance wording in front of him. A copy of it was provided to him.

Councilor Romero excused herself from the meeting temporarily.

Councilor Calvert said #1 on the recommendation was just tightening up the ordinance to help police with better prosecution. So now they didn't have to catch people drinking but just possessing an open container.

Mr. Walker said at the special event with a permit it would fall under other ordinance and there was no problem with that.

Chair Dominguez, with this recommendation, asked if it was saying the City wouldn't allow alcohol consumption anywhere in the Railyard unless there was a permit process or an ordinance amendment. He

explained that the reason he asked was that if the City was saying it would prohibit alcohol consumption everywhere but Ft. Marcy then the Council needed to think about it.

Mr. Walker said 23.6 did prohibit consumption of alcoholic beverages on property owned or controlled by the City except at the Convention Center, the municipal airport and Fort Marcy.

Councilor Chávez said in Exhibit B he didn't see a fiscal impact report.

Mr. Siqueiros explained that the beginning cash was the carry over and the second line was revenue generated and the four expense items below were subtracted.

Councilor Chávez asked how much the camera was going to cost.

Mr. Siqueiros said that was part of the \$120,000.

Councilor Chávez asked if that was the budget to approve. Mr. Siqueiros agreed.

Mr. Siqueiros clarified that the \$120,000 was the police and security. They would use mobile cameras that were being purchased. They had already been funded.

Mr. Pino explained they were not part of this budget because they would float around town.

The motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

8. CIP PROJECT #412b – SANTA FÉ RIVER PARK – EL PARQUE DEL RIO – RENOVATIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS, ST. FRANCIS DRIVE TO EL ALAMO STREET

- **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH SURROUNDINGS STUDIO LLC TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL DESIGN SERVICES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$19,715 PLUS \$1,138.06 (NMGRT) FOR A TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$20,853.06 (BRIAN DRYPOLCHER)**

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)

01/30/12

Council (Scheduled)

02/08/12

Councilor Calvert was trying to reconcile the amendment with information in the packet and trying to get the scope under amendment #3. On the second page it listed some things for bidding the project and talked about the BMX plaza. On the next page it seemed they did some of that already under amendment #2. So he asked what was in this scope of work that was not in the previous amendment.

Mr. Drypolcher explained the distinction here was while the amendment paid for the design of the BMX plaza, staff decided to bundle it with the rest of the renovations and improvements and added more tasks in

coordinating the documents. They thought it was fair to compensate them for the coordination of the documents and also for the West DeVargas Park.

Councilor Calvert asked if they didn't usually coordinate them to gain economies in the project. Here it appeared to be costing more to put them together.

Mr. Drypolcher said this was not design/build but just design. There was new work added by incorporating the skating into DeVargas, adding new lighting, landscaping, etc. In Exhibit A, it was \$1,500 out of the \$20,000 document for the new work and coordination of work.

Councilor Calvert countered that in Amendment #2 they were always thinking of the BMX park. It was always there and was not changing location. He didn't fully understand the shift here but it was less than 10%. The City already knew they were redesigning the BMX park but in now were spending more money to coordinate it. He had a problem with that.

Mr. Drypolcher said as they moved through the project they had to go back to a document set and amend the documents.

Councilor Calvert asked if the BMX design changed along the way.

Mr. Drypolcher said it didn't. The approach changed. They saw an opportunity here to bundle it with the previous bid.

Councilor Calvert moved for approval of the request. Councilor Trujillo seconded the motion.

Councilor Chávez noted this motion would include funding for added design services and in the recommended action was a budget adjustment of \$12,667 in CIP 4/13/b. He asked how that was tracking and if the \$12,000 was part of the \$20,000. He wanted to know if there was money already in the project.

Mr. Drypolcher clarified that those were funds in the business unit for remodel and replacement (parks bond package). That was unobligated money so the adjustment would take it from one line item to another.

Councilor Chávez thought the \$700,000 was already allocated.

Mr. Drypolcher wasn't sure what funds he was referring to.

Councilor Chávez said it was the \$700,000 that was approved in the last meeting in December.

Mr. Drypolcher said these were funds allocated for the Santa Fé River Park.

Councilor Calvert said the distinction was that the \$700,000 was reallocated to new projects but this was in a budget for something already in parks and not being reallocated to a different project.

Councilor Chávez said his question was if this money was part of the \$30 million parks bond and the answer was yes.

Councilor Calvert said this was not part of the \$700,000 reallocated.

The motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

Councilor Calvert moved to amend the agenda and move 13 up. Councilor Trujillo seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

13. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO PREPARE THE REQUIRED DOCUMENTS TO AMEND THE CURRENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SANTA FÉ AND THE SANTA FÉ RAILYARD PARK STEWARDS FOR CONTINUED SERVICES TO BE PROVIDED AT THE SANTA FÉ RAILYARD PARK AND PLAZA FOR AN ADDITIONAL \$100,000 PER YEAR TO BE PAID OVER A THREE YEAR TERM (COUNCILOR ROMERO) (ROBERT SIQUEIROS)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)

01/30/12

Council (Scheduled)

02/08/12

Councilor Calvert was in favor but wanted clarifications. The resolution referred to attached Exhibit and they didn't have that.

Mr. Siqueiros said it was passed out before the meeting. It was the colored handout from the Railyard.

Councilor Calvert noted it said "additional \$100,000" in the title and on page 2, line ten. He didn't think "additional" was needed there. Also should make clear that we want the \$100,000 to begin at the beginning of the budget year so it tracked more cleanly with the budget process.

Councilor Calvert moved to approve the request as amended. Councilor Trujillo seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote. Councilor Romero was not present for the vote.

The Committee went to #10 even though Councilor Romero had not yet returned.

10. ALCOHOL ON PUBLIC PROPERTY (COUNCILOR CHÁVEZ) (GILDA MONTAÑO)

- **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE 23-6 SFCC 1987 TO PERMIT THE LIMITED SALE AND CONSUMPTION OF BEER AND WINE ONLY AT FORT MARCH BALLPARK FOR NOT-FOR-PROFIT EVENTS**
- **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE SALE AND**

**CONSUMPTION OF BEER ONLY AT FT. MARCH BALLPARK AS PART OF AN ANNUAL
FUND RAISING EVENT SPONSORED BY KEEP SANTA FÉ BEAUTIFUL, A NOT-FOR-PROFIT
VOLUNTEER PROGRAM**

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)	01/30/12
Public Utilities (Scheduled)	02/01/12
Council (Request to publish)	02/08/12
Public Safety (Scheduled)	02/12/12
Council (Public hearing)	02/29/12

Councilor Calvert referred to line 20 on page two of the ordinance where it stated, "beer and wine only at not-for-profit events." When the Council did Ft. Marcy it was for a specific event and this was much broader than that. This said any nonprofit could do this. That was a problem.

He also had a concern that they made an exception and asked why they were selecting this park. They decided previously that Ft. Marcy was the best park for ball games.

Councilor Chávez said there was a document on the desk regarding best practices - whether at the Convention Center or the Railyard or Ft. Marcy. Santa Fé Beautiful chose Fort Marcy because they felt it was the best choice. He brought this forward for Santa Fé Beautiful as a onetime event.

Ms. Gilda Montañó said Ft. Marcy was picked because it was a nice park but also they wanted to incorporate a fun-run. They were using a lot of the arroyo area for the run in order to not block streets and they felt that would be easier. At Fort Marcy the beer area would be easy to keep enclosed for better security.

Councilor Calvert thought all of our parks were very nice. He could argue that the Railyard was better because there was already an establishment there that sold beer. That aside, he was biased and represented this district and thought this was picking on this park. There were lots of nice parks. You could have the fun run go out and back to Frenchy's. No one addressed the overly broad amendment here being for all nonprofits. He thought it was way too broad.

Chair Dominguez agreed line 20 was pretty broad. He thought Councilor Chávez could ask for help from staff if needed.

Councilor Chávez asked Mr. Zamora to respond.

Mr. Zamora said Councilor Calvert was correct. The language didn't specify one non-profit. In the legal review they tried to make it the most legal amendment. Here to make it specifically for one nonprofit would discriminate so they opened it for all nonprofits. When they did it for the Pecos League they didn't specify that particular league.

Councilor Calvert agreed but knew another league was unlikely. But there were many nonprofits here that might want to use this to serve alcohol. He had utmost respect for this nonprofit but it came up for Ft. Marcy. This seemed to open the door to many others at Ft. Marcy. It was doubtful that could be corrected.

Mr. Zamora said it was best they could do to have the language be legal.

Councilor Calvert pointed out that this was not a one-time event but once every year.

Ms. Montañó said right now it was a one-time event.

Councilor Calvert pointed out that wasn't what the language said. The language says it could be an annual event. He asked if a date had been selected.

Ms. Montañó said June 8th was what they discussed because there wasn't a baseball game going on but the date was not set yet.

Councilor Chávez asked Ms. Montañó if they did outreach to neighbors.

Ms. Montañó said Rick Martínez helped with that. He talked to neighbors and asked them what their thoughts were and lots of them had no problem with it.

Councilor Chávez guessed Santa Fé Beautiful could come back year after year as part of their fund raising campaign. But in best practices it said only two drinks and only beer or wine would be served and cup sizes limited to 12 oz. and 5 oz. It was even more limited than the beer garden.

Chair Dominguez said the best practices were not codified in any way. He asked Councilor Chávez if he was including these in this event or if this should be codified in 23.6.

Councilor Chávez didn't know who came up with the beer garden limit. Santa Fé Beautiful said 2 instead of 3. The Committee could have a discussion on if the beer garden should be subject to these best practices.

Councilor Romero returned to the meeting.

Councilor Trujillo asked if it be like a beer garden.

Ms. Montañó said it was only a tasting - not a drink.

Councilor Trujillo asked if they would limit how many they could try. He asked Mr. Martínez about his neighborhood survey but Chair Dominguez stopped Mr. Martínez, noting this was not a public hearing.

Councilor Trujillo pointed out that this was not baseball and he hoped they wouldn't get in a discussion on it that would come out in the papers. All the neighbors might come out again. This was a good

organization but it was sending a message that it didn't want to send. It would be discussed at Council when it came up. There were teenagers who would want to do the fun run and other children would be there.

Ms. Montañó said it was a family event for the fun run but the beer event would be later.

Councilor Trujillo asked if that meant there would be no children at the later event. And no one under 21 would be allowed in the park at all.

Ms. Montañó agreed. For the beer event no one under 21 could attend. There would also be music there. She was sure there would be families at the park but no one under age would be allowed in the area where beer would be served.

Councilor Trujillo assured her he didn't have a problem with it. He was not a hypocrite and it wasn't about alcohol. Santa Fé Beautiful did a good job here. But this was fair warning.

Chair Dominguez said for him it was not an indication of the organization's worthiness. It was the whole reason for the issue of prohibiting alcohol at public events. He was sure this organization would be as responsible as possible. But the concern was opening the door for other organizations and it didn't give the Governing Body the ability to differentiate on the solvency of the organization. Other organizations coming under the guise of family friendly might not have the ability or will to follow best practices.

Chair Dominguez asked Cynthia to address the best practices and Councilor Romero and Councilor Calvert immediately called for a point of order that the Chair had already ruled it was not a public hearing.

Chair Dominguez said best practices were things that had been researched in other parts of the country. He was not opposed to alcohol there but they had to use best practices.

Councilor Chávez said they were already implementing the first bullet point - He read about enforcing those.

Chair Dominguez didn't remember enforcement at Ft. Marcy.

Councilor Chávez asked if it would be staff or enforcement officers.

Councilor Trujillo said the Pecos League would hire private security.

Councilor Chávez asked who Santa Fé Beautiful would use to enforce security.

Ms. Montañó said the nonprofit would provide the security.

Councilor Chávez asked her if Santa Fé Beautiful would limit everyone to two drinks and would only have small cups. Ms. Montañó agreed.

Councilor Chávez felt those were the most significant best practices. Security would be the same and enforcement the same for the two events.

Councilor Chávez asked who would enforce the best practices.

Ms. Byers said she made the resolution similar to the Pecos events. For baseball she put the limit at 36 ounces. And Santa Fé Beautiful would have a limit of 24 ounces.

Councilor Chávez asked if they would use a wrist band. Ms. Montañó agreed.

Councilor Romero told Ms. Montañó this was good work and she appreciated it. The best practices were not just around alcohol but also the impact to the neighborhood. There were contradictions like ENNs and looking out for neighbors. This was a fund raiser for them. She would love to hear more about the best practices. She thought they should have a study session and understand how the best practices fit with the ordinances and how it would affect neighbors. She recalled they turned down a similar request from Somos Un Pueblo.

Chair Dominguez said there was no proof with Somos.

Councilor Romero disagreed. They turned down because it was one too many approvals and it did affect Somos. He could stand on the soapbox because he was leaving.

Councilor Calvert noted on page 2, lines 4 and 5 talked about the fun run, and thereafter a concert - touring bands and a beer tasting event. He didn't know if Rick Martinez talked with the neighbors about a concert going on. It seemed they were throwing a lot of things in it that didn't really fit. To do an ENN didn't fit into the process so it wasn't very consistent. This would impact the neighbors. He said he couldn't support it.

Councilor Chávez moved to approve the request. Councilor Trujillo seconded the motion.

Councilor Chávez asked for the resolution language to be nailed down. He asked Ms. Montañó if she did outreach on the full program including the concert.

Ms. Montañó said no. It was local bands and would end before 7 pm.

Chair Dominguez thought maybe that could be clarified.

The motion passed by a majority (3-1) voice vote with Councilor Calvert voting against.

Councilor Trujillo excused himself from the meeting.

11. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO PREPARE AMENDMENTS TO THE CITY OF Santa Fé PROCUREMENT CODE TO EXPAND SECTION 15.2 IN ORDER TO ESTABLISH A “BUY AMERICAN” PROCUREMENT POLICY (COUNCILOR CHÁVEZ) (ROBERT RODARTE)

Committee Review:

City Business & Quality of life (scheduled)	02/14/12
Finance Committee (Scheduled)	02/20/12
Council (Scheduled)	02/29/12

Councilor Romero asked if Santa Fé could restrict to American made products because it was a charter city.

Ms. Judith Amer said it didn't have to do with the charter. Cities all over the country were doing it.

Councilor Romero asked to sign on as a sponsor.

Councilor Chávez moved to approve the request. Councilor Romero seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote. Councilor Trujillo was not present for the vote.

Councilor Chávez commented that the City should be willing to invest in American companies even though not local companies and even if the cost was above what Chinese products could be purchased for.

12. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING WILDERNESS AND NATIONAL RECREATION AREA DESIGNATIONS FOR THE INVENTORIED ROADLESS AREAS ADJACENT TO THE PECOS WILDERNESS (COUNCILOR BUSHEE) (ROBERT SIQUEIROS)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)	01/30/12
Council (Scheduled)	02/08/12

Councilor Romero said she did this kind of thing for the federal government. The “resolved” paragraph was her concern. She had heartburn when the City said they wanted wilderness but wanted to allow bicycles in there. That was a contradiction because nobody goes into those areas. The government had already said that bicycles couldn't go in there so she couldn't support that. Once this door gets open it would include many different recreation uses.

Mr. Siqueiros nodded his head.

Councilor Romero thought the Nambé Pueblo might not have signed on to it.

Mr. Siqueiros said they did have wilderness designated but nothing on bike trails. BTAC thought they would try it again to enhance the summit.

Councilor Chávez asked if in this wilderness area the pedestrian trails had been dual use with bicycles.

Councilor Romero said it used to include bicycles but excluded things that impacted the wilderness.

Councilor Chávez asked if motorized and bicycles were prohibited.

Councilor Romero agreed. That was what wilderness means.

Councilor Chávez thought there were areas that made accommodations for bicycles and equestrians.

Councilor Romero said when the Feds updated their plans they said they would limit it because of environmental impacts and the wildlife needed to be protected.

Councilor Calvert said he wouldn't disagree because in line 1 it could be either recreation areas or wilderness areas. But the federal government blows a big hole in the designation with grazing. It was ludicrous to say you could do one thing and not another. Grazing had far more impact than bicycles. In reality he knew what happened in wilderness areas because the cattlemen's lobby had more power than the bicycle lobby.

Councilor Calvert moved to approve the request but it died for lack of a second.

Councilor Romero said it would go on to Finance.

Councilor Romero moved to forward the request to Finance. Councilor Chávez seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote. Councilor Trujillo was not present for the vote.

14. MATTERS FROM STAFF

There were no matters from staff.

15. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE

Council Calvert said a constituent in the hallway said they sent a letter or email about the lack of hot water at some recreation facilities.

Councilor Chávez thanked staff for fixing the pothole he mentioned last time.

16. NEXT MEETING: MONDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 2012

17. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 6:50 pm.

Approved by:

Carmichael Dominguez, Chair

Submitted by:



Carl Boaz, Stenographer