



Agenda



Santa Fe City and County, Advisory Council on Food Policy

Thursday, November 21, 2013

9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.

Angel Depot Conference Room

1222 Siler Road, Santa Fe, NM

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATE 11/13/13 TIME 2:47p

FORWARDED BY Susan Perry

RECEIVED BY [Signature]

- A. CALL TO ORDER
- B. ROLL CALL
- C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – September 26, 2013 and October 17, 2013
- E. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE FLOOR
- F. PRESENTATIONS/TRAININGS
- G. STAFF COMMUNICATIONS
 - 1. BCC presentation---Healthy Kids, Healthy Economy Resolution passed unanimously
- H. INITIATIVES AND ACTIONS
 - 1. Policy Committee
 - a. Food Plan Committee
 - i. Food Plan Community outreach process: next steps
 - b. Upstream Process
 - i. Year 1 summary; quarterly meeting review
 - c. Food Production and Land Use Committee
 - i. County Sustainable Land Development Code
 - ii. Urban Agriculture Resolution revisited
 - d. Procurement
 - e. Education and Outreach
 - i. Food Plan outreach update 'Work Plan'
 - ii. Website development
 - f. City-County GMO Task Force
 - i. Draft Resolution
 - g. National Issues
 - i. Farm Bill
 - ii. FSMA
 - 2. Executive Committee
 - a. Replacement Suggestion for County: Welcome Robert Griegos!
 - b. Replacement Suggestions for Community Member
 - c. Proposed honorary youth positions update
- I. ITEMS FROM THE CHAIR
- J. ITEMS FROM THE COUNCIL
- K. ADJOURNMENT

Persons with disabilities in need of accommodation contact the City Clerk's office at 955-6520, five (5) working days prior to meeting day.

**SANTA FE CITY AND COUNTY
ADVISORY COUNCIL ON FOOD POLICY
1222 SILER ROAD, SANTA FE, NM 87501**

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 21, 2013

A. CALL TO ORDER

A regular meeting of the Santa Fe City and County Advisory Council on Food Policy was called to order by Susan Perry, Chair on this date at approximately 9:00 a.m. at the Angel Depot, Conference Room, 1222 Siler Road, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

B. ROLL CALL

Roll call indicated a lack of quorum as follows:

Members Present

Susan Perry, Chair
Emigdio Ballon
Maria Bustamante-Bernal
Robert Gringos
Tony McCarty
Katherine Mortimer
Steve Warshawer (arrived later)
Mark Winne

Members Absent

Pamela Roy (excused)
Lynn Walters (excused)
Susan Odiseos (excused)
Patricia Boies (excused)

Staff Present

Alena Paisano
Erin Lloyd Ortigoza

Others Present

Laura Strutz, AmeriCorps
Ethan Green, Real Food Challenge
Charmaine Clair, Stenographer

C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

The agenda was accepted by consensus.

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES- September 26, 2013 and October 17, 2013

September 26, 2013

Ms. Mortimer moved to approve the minutes of September 26, 2013 as presented. Ms. Bustamante-Bernal seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

October 17, 2013

Mr. McCarty moved to approve the minutes of October 17, 2013 as presented. Mr. Winne seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

E. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE FLOOR

Mary Shroeder introduced herself.

Adrienne Barrett said first and foremost she is a mother with two children. She started a Quality of Life Parent/Teacher Committee (PTC.) They have goals such as composting, recycling education and improving the quality of food on campus. She said she met Ms. Paisano and others and has learned of the work the Santa Fe Food Policy Council (SFFPC) does and she is grateful.

She said she deduced that the Food Council could use more support with education and awareness about their work and in fundraising and participation to pass legislation. She offered to help. She has up a PTC meeting and would try to get parents to the legislative session and said to let her know if she could be useful in other ways.

Chair Perry thanked Ms. Barrett. She said last week the BCC (Board of County Commissioners) voted to support the Healthy Kids Healthy Economy proposal to the legislature. Last year the resolution was funded at \$100,000 and the request this year is for the full \$1.4 million as a recurring item. She said Ms. Barrett's support is valuable because legislators pay attention to that.

Ms. Barrett said she is a blogger and has a website called Shineology (www.shineology.org.) She does consultations about certified holistic health foods and has written about SFFPC. She said she is humbled at how much they do and would be happy to blog about that.

Mr. Daniel Chacon said he is a new reporter for the *New Mexican* and is visiting various committees and commission meetings. He is also interested in writing about the Food Plan.

Poki Poittin from Gaia Gardens said he understands the Food Council will make a recommendation for the urban ag (agriculture) resolution in the spring that would be folded into the Food Plan. He asked the timeline and contact person because he has information from other ordinances to give them.

Ms. Paisano said Mr. Poittin could give the information to her. She said she has information from him that she shared with colleagues in statewide work. There might be interest in general information for other communities.

Mr. Jeff Ethan Greene said he works with Real Food Challenge to create sustainable food on college campuses.

Laura Strutz said she recently moved here from Wisconsin. Her Dad has volunteered with the Food Depot for a while and she is working through AmeriCorps with Sustainable Santa Fe. She is in the last stage of her thesis; a case for labeling GMO foods.

F. PRESENTATIONS/TRAININGS- There were none

G. STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

1. BCC (Board of County Commissioners) presentation- Healthy Kids, Healthy Economy Resolution

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said the presentation to the BCC on November 12 was very successful. A resolution to support the Healthy Kids Healthy Economy Bill was presented and passed unanimously. Board members were excited and asked how they could support the efforts.

Chair Perry added that the Board was very enthusiastic and would look at whether they could contribute in terms of their budget, as well as the County.

The members discussed the presentation of the Food Plan to the BCC. The presentation is scheduled January 28th in the County Building and will start at 2 p.m. Everyone would be notified closer to the date.

H. INITIATIVES AND ACTIONS

1. Policy Committee

a. Food Plan Committee

i. Food Plan Community Outreach Process: Next Steps

Ms. Paisano said she and Ms. Mortimer met to set the target groups for the outreach process and because of the limited capacity, looked at the most important groups; the groups with no outreach in the first reiteration. She said Marianne Naranjo from Nambe Pueblo with Communities for Clean Water was contacted. Ms. Naranjo is a great community organizer with a good background. Their hope is that the Pueblo would be one of the first outreach initiatives.

Ms. Paisano said another piece is to meet with Mr. Winne to develop tools and she and Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza would work with the Executive Committee and Farm to Table on how to tackle the process.

Chair Perry asked if the idea was not to just share the Food Plan, but build a feedback process.

Ms. Paisano said it was. She said the thought was to present the material on making the policy recommendations as a learning tool. That would show what happens on a federal, state, city and county level and what that means in terms of strategy. They would ask for self selection and a prioritization of goals and ask the community who to bring in and how to do that.

Chair Perry said every Food Council member needs to sign up for something they feel is a good match and in that way everyone would be fully engaged. That will help to understand and internalize the Food Plan.

Ms. Paisano said Tonya from Farm to Table works in Grant County on similar food council policy developments and they discussed how to join resources and unite some of their goals.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza explained that if training in cultural competency and facilitation is done, most likely it would be in January with the outreach process in February. The idea is to do events each month for five months with the timeline in the summer.

Ms. Paisano said she wants to capture the goals progress is being made on so the SFFPC could tell the community about the progress. She said Nelsey suggested constant contact and newsletters to keep in contact with people at the events.

Ms. Paisano asked Mr. Ballon what could be done to take the plan to tribal partners/governments in the County. She asked if he thought that would be valuable.

Mr. Ballon said he doesn't know much about the Food Plan; he could take the presentation to Tesuque, San Juan and Pojoaque. He said last month there was a conference about food and living in a sustainable way, but he didn't see members from the Food Council. He said that would be a good opportunity to present this event.

Chair Perry said it would be great if Mr. Ballon would send an e-mail blast when something is coming up.

Mr. Warshawer entered the meeting at this time.

b. Upstream Process

i. Year 1 summary; quarterly meeting review

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said October 31 marks the end of year one for the Upstream process. SFFPC has successfully spent all of the money and is starting the second round of funds.

She mentioned the Youth Collaborative withdrew and leaves only SFFPC and the Communities for Clean Water. She said Ms. Naranjo is working with her and Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza. Ms. Naranjo does seed blessings at alternating native Hispanic and northern New Mexico communities.

Chair Perry explained that Ms. Naranjo thought that would be a good time to speak about the Food Plan, because there is seed saving etc.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said she received two recommendations for a facilitator for cultural competency training: Lucy Moore and Robert from Albuquerque. She planned to contact both. She also would work on the Upstream milestones due by December 31 and would send those to members for approval.

Mr. McCarty asked about the website calendar for members' events. He said that had been discussed as a way to share community events. Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza replied the website isn't working, but the calendar would be an important piece and she would be involved in the website.

Mr. Winne asked that before each presentation they make sure everyone understands the context and history of the topic.

c. Food Production and Land Use Committee

i. County Sustainable Land Development Code

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza noted that the open seat with the County was filled. She said the Santa Fe County Code is being revised and there was a public outreach process and the draft (Exhibit 1) would be voted on December tenth. She explained that the SFFPC has an interest in looking at the agricultural piece of the code, because of concern that the old code was friendlier.

Mr. Griego said the SFFPC and Subcommittee had worked with the County going back to the Sustainable Growth Management Plan approved in 2010. The County is looking at a new Land Development Code to replace the old code. The goal is the implementation of the Plan, but in a different way; zoning in the County.

He said the SFFPC identified things about use that were not previously allowed. He said recommendations were made to the BCC last week and the hope is changes would be incorporated into the draft. He said Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza drafted a letter from the SFFPC of the changes proposed to recommend to the BCC. (Exhibit 2)

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza clarified that on December 3 a public hearing at the BCC would be held in regard to the draft code; December 10, the BCC would vote on adoption of the code.

Ms. Mortimer pointed out this is not an Action Item on the agenda.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza offered to highlight parts of the letter. A conversation of the draft document is summarized as follows:

- The legend was explained. Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza offered to send the matrix definitions to everyone.
- Two main changes have moved forward; the subcommittee wants to be sure necessary things for a farm has no hurdles; focus was on crop production and green houses.
- "Use" was changed from *multiple-conditional* to all permitted use, for each district across the board.
- A major edit is the existing rural district overlay language. The subcommittee felt it appropriate to specify agricultural and added *agricultural* business and storage to make clear that under the overlay district agricultural uses and permits are clearly allowed.

Mr. Griego said rural overlay is a mechanism the County is looking at and would allow commercial in areas not zoned commercial. The overlay district is like a rural area of the County where other uses might be appropriate, such as agricultural production and he thought would allow green houses.

- The subcommittee wants BCC to consider an agricultural activities district. An agricultural overlay would allow application for a permit on agricultural permitted uses and reserves the name.
- The members discussed the variances, rezoning and special use permits. Ms. Mortimer explained that overlay allows for situations not anticipated and could be removed if the situation changes. Overlay does not exist in code and this would be a placeholder to be worked on later.
- Mr. Warshawer said that would raise the cost of everything someone wants to do on their land. He said if the County wants support in zoning it needs to make a robust investment in programs to reduce the cost of agricultural land and make land permanently affordable to the young and successional farmers and help make enterprises viable.

Members discussed the draft document:

Mr. Warshawer said he has asked for years for the Food Council to talk with the development community. He said this business is about creating and managing revenue for the County and if this doesn't include agriculture now, it would not happen.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza noted the third bullet on the inclusion of conservation subdivisions and incentivizing.

Mr. Warshawer said the more detailed, the more sophisticated a person has to be to understand how to address it and the greater the likelihood that technical assistance/consultants would be needed just to make application. He said costs will go up and this doesn't address the issue of land affordability or land security.

Mr. Warshawer said the SFFPC has been talking about this for a couple of years. He said a terrific job has been done, but absent the rest of the framework, this sets them up to tacitly approve something that would not get them what they want.

Mr. Warshawer agreed to work with Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza on the letter. He noted that typically mechanisms are in the code on how people create density and support affordable housing. He said a portion of what is created goes into the affordable housing pool. He added that he would not vote for something that does not include a clear requirement that the process be backed with investments.

He said the simple concept is when you take land out of agriculture you need to put money into a pool to preserve it somewhere else. He said currently you can be a farmer anywhere, but this is creating a zoning system where land is taken out of agriculture.

Ms. Mortimer suggested language be taken from the State of Connecticut.

Mr. Griego said he would abstain from a vote and the letter, as a person from the County working on this. He said a TDR section is in the code, but doesn't address the agricultural element. He said a TDR could be used as a mechanism to support agriculture and added as a bullet point.

Mr. Warshawer said the TDR program has been discussed in the SFFPC for a year and a half and is still the same proposed TDR program that doesn't work for anyone.

Ms. Mortimer suggested changing the tone of the letter and saying "the SFFPC sees serious flaws in the code in terms of actualizing the goals stated in the Plan and they have specific recommendations to accomplish what is stated.

Mr. Warshawer suggested instead of wasting years getting things master-planned and approved, let's create streamline processes and reinvest savings into the community; things secondary to development, but community values like: agriculture, open space or affordable housing.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said she would schedule a time to work with Mr. Warshawer on the letter. She would send that to everyone and they could vote via e-mail.

ii. Urban Agriculture Resolution Revisited

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said she wants to revisit the resolution and write another that is more encompassing.

Ms. Mortimer said her understanding is they were going to wait for the Food Plan community input. She said Councilor Bushee had tabled the item. She noted that Mr. Poittin had brought a number of concerns and Susan had gathered data. She said the resolution should look at the issue systemically. She suggested having a specific outreach meeting with that as the topic.

d. Procurement

Chair Perry said the Executive Committee met and is on track to get the funding from the City and the final amount from the County.

Ms. Paisano said work has been done at the state level to promote New Mexico grown produce for school meals and the Committee is re-working the briefing sheet on the bill to the legislature. She said connection has been made with a certified organic producer from Deming and product would be moved South in the summer and brought in for the winter.

Ms. Paisano noted upcoming events:

January 12, 2013 -Student Nutrition Day: the SFFPC will be involved

January 29, 2013 -nine to noon: Food and Farm Day at the Round House - She suggested the Education Committee discuss how to engage around that as a food council and use as an opportunity to do a press release and get the Food Plan aligned.

February 12, 2013-AgFest: an opportunity for conversations about fresh food and connecting different scales to appropriate markets.

Chair Perry said she was happy to see headway in the Senior Center procurement.

Ms. Paisano said with the BCC investment, this is a good way to look at the local community and could be a pilot. She said she will work with the Presbyterian Medical Center in Albuquerque and in Española over the winter to develop local food procurement and a CSA on site.

Ms. Barrett asked if anything was in the Healthy Kids Healthy Economy other than fresh fruits and vegetables for school lunches; like grain and meat products.

Ms. Paisano said the bill is aimed at being an economic generator for small-midscale farmers and this is what the markets require. She said they are not at the place to make the argument for processed foods. She said a requirement is to prove product was grown on your field and in New Mexico and it is challenging. She said in the next few years dairy and meat will be looked at, but is cost prohibitive now.

Mr. Warshawer said when he hears "New Mexico grown" he thinks about product just outside the borders of New Mexico. He said in the last five years the shift of language has been from local to regional in regard to food system development and larger sustainability issues. He wondered if that could be framed in a less xenophobic way than if the line falls outside of your property.

He used lack of fruit this year as an example and said Colorado and Arizona had great fruit. He said there is value in procurement and regional language and food definitions that incorporate regional trading; that could solve the meat processing problem.

Ms. Paisano said the reason is more about building momentum and partners; most partners are state agencies. She said it would be challenging to look at crossing jurisdictions until the system could be refined, but that could be worked on.

Ms. Bustamante-Bernal said fruit is a good example, because the last five years there have been issues with fruit production for New Mexico farmers. She said opening the border gives more opportunity to create income in years where farmers have no product.

Mr. Winne said the schools could specify a preference for *regionally* grown food, opposed to New Mexico grown. The challenge is to get New Mexico dollars to support local procurement.

Mr. Warshawer said a misperception is that the money should all go to the farmers. He said if a local business brings the product in from Arizona or Colorado that still creates and supports local economy. The more food they move the more important the supply chains. The whole supply chain has value in terms of resiliency.

Ms. Paisano said the local bid for Santa Fe Public Schools is an opportunity to build in regional and they would define local and set the requirement.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza noted the draft resolution to be presented to the County. (Exhibit 3)

The members discussed edits to the draft; a typo was corrected on the back of page 2; preference of language for GMO or GE was discussed.

Mr. Griego asked if funding has been identified in regard to a joint City/County task force.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said no. She said the task force felt it important to indicate that the scope of work proposed is out of the SFFPC's capacity without additional funds.

Ms. Mortimer said at the City work is done with the Councilor or Commissioner to identify the source of funds before the document is introduced.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said Commissioner Holian has seen all of the recommendations, but not the resolution as it stands.

Ms. Mortimer said this is a City/County resolution and funding would be difficult at the City right now.

Mr. Griego said the resolution states "a task force shall be developed." He asked if the task force would be joint City/County elected officials or appointed groups; how many members; would the City appoint three members and the County four or would this be by County commission district...

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said the idea was to identify people who are able to be objective, but educated on the issues and not necessarily all from City or County staff; stakeholders in the community, vetted by SFFPC.

Ms. Mortimer said the process needs to be described in the resolution with the qualities looked for.

Chair Perry pointed out that quorum would be lost because Ms. Bustamante-Bernal had to leave. She said changes would be made and everyone would see the resolution that would be presented.

Ms. Mortimer asked if this should be a joint City/County resolution. She said there could be parallel resolutions, but a resolution for the City needs someone to sponsor it and work with them on language.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said this is starting with the County with the idea to pass the resolutions in parallel. She said Councilor Bushee has seen the resolutions and approved them as they were at that point. She would follow up with Commissioner Holian and afterwards make sure everyone is on the same page.

Ms. Mortimer suggested follow up be done *before* the draft is approved. She said SFFPC needs the Councilor/Commissioner's help to find funding.

The members discussed details for joint task force meetings:

- Would the meetings be recorded; how would cost be assigned?
- A place and time for the meetings would need to be identified. Mr. Griego noted that a County resolution regarding committees states that costs have to be assigned.
- A list of names (with an odd number) should be confirmed by the City/County.
- Language on the recommendations for the task force should be presented to the City/County for approval.
- SFFPC should do a draft FIR, asking the source of funding. The SFFPC could say what funds are required for and get feedback from Commissioner Holian, etc. to know if funding is possible.
- It was suggested as important that as a City/County task force they be clear that tribal government presence is needed regarding "collaborate with tribal communities and governing bodies." They should define membership and specifics of what is wanted.

Mr. Warshawer asked what GMO 101 in the letter is.

Chair Perry agreed that should be defined. She asked members to send ideas and suggestions on the draft to Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza and Ms. Paisano.

Mr. Warshawer asked if the Food Council had to write a budget.

Ms. Mortimer said they do need to do a budget and would help to define a County function and a City/County function and include cost estimates.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said another meeting with the GMO task force is needed. Mr. Winne suggested Commissioner Holian be included, because she could help with the budget.

- e. Education and Outreach
 - i. Food Plan Outreach Update 'Work Plan'
 - ii. Website Development

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said she needs permission to develop the website for the outreach process and Farm to Table offered an in-kind service under their program.

Ms. Mortimer said the website has been discussed for months. She thought approval had been given and was concerned why the website hasn't happened. She said there is a concern about the message from Farm to Table that the SFFPC is a program of theirs and they would only host the website under that auspice.

Chair Perry said that was a miscommunication. She said the logo placement for the Food Plan would be changed and now be under Acknowledgments and speaks to the active partnership with Farm to Table.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said she needs approval because of different opinions about the relationship between the SFFPC and Farm to Table.

The Food Policy Council discussed the website and voting process.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza noted there is a PDF link on the website; Ms. Paisano added it is also on the Farm to Table home page on the website.

Mr. Warshawer said the more SFFPC does that, the more they become a program of Farm to Table and he wants that clarified.

Chair Perry said they should have the discussion when Ms. Roy is present in December. She said both she and Mr. McCarty were concerned, but after discussing concerns with Ms. Roy they felt comfortable.

The following discussions were tabled due to time restraints:

- f. City-County GMO Task Force
 - i. Draft Resolution
- g. National Issues
 - i. Farm Bill
 - ii. FSMA
- 2. Executive Committee
 - a. Replacement Suggestion for County: Welcome Robert Griegos!
 - b. Replacement Suggestions for Community Member
 - c. Proposed honorary youth positions update

I. ITEMS FROM THE CHAIR


Chair Perry asked that everyone try be on time for the meeting.

J. ITEMS FROM THE COUNCIL- There was none

K. ADJOURNMENT


Having no further business to discuss the meeting adjourned at 11:11 a.m.

Approved by:



Susan Perry, Chair

Submitted by:



Charmaine Clair, Stenographer

Use	Function	Structure	Activity	Agriculture/Ranching	Rural	Rural Fringe	Rural Residential	Residential Fringe	Residential Estate	Residential Community	Traditional Community	Commercial Neighborhood	Mixed Use	Commercial-General	Industrial	Public Institutional	Planned Development	Special Conditions
Residential Buildings																		
Single family detached units		1110		P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	X	X	A	P	
Single-family attached units		1120		P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	X	X	A	P	
Duplex structures		1121					C	C	C	C	C	P	P	X	X	A	P	
Accessory dwelling units		1130					A	A	A	A	PA	A	A	A	A	A	P	Chapter 10
Townhouses							P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	X	A	P	
Multifamily dwellings		1202-99		C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	P	P	C	X	A	P	
Retirement Housing	1210			P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	X	X	P	P	
Assisted living facility	1230			P	P	P	C	C	C	C	C	P	P	P	X	P	P	
Life care or continuing care facilities	1240			P	P	P	C	C	C	C	C	P	P	P	X	P	P	
Skilled nursing facilities	1250			P	P	P	C	C	C	C	C	P	P	P	X	P	P	
Community Home, NAICS 623210				P	P	P	C	C	C	C	C	P	P	P	X	P	P	
Barracks		1310		A	A	A	X	X	X	X	X	X	A	A	A	P	P	
Dormitories		1320		A	A	A	X	X	X	X	X	C	A	A	X	P	P	
Single room occupancy units		1340		A	A	A	X	X	X	X	X	C	C	A	X	P	P	Chapter 10
Temporary structures, tents etc. for shelter		1350		P	P	P	A	A	A	A	A	C	A	P	P	P	P	
Hotels, motels, or other accommodation services																		
Bed and Breakfast inn	1310			P	P	P	C	C	C	C	P	P	C	X	X	P	P	Chapter 10
Rooming and boarding housing	1320			C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	P	P	P	X	C	P	
Resorts				C	C	C	X	X	X	X	C	C	P	P	X	X	P	
Hotels, motels, and tourist courts	1330			C	C	C	X	X	X	X	X	X	P	P	X	X	P	
Commercial Buildings																		
Community center		2200		P	P	P	C	C	C	C	C	P	P	P	X	P	P	
Shop or store building with drive-through facility		2210		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	C	X	C	C	X	P	
Restaurant, with incidental consumption of alcoholic beverages		2220		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	C	P	C	P	X	X	P	
Restaurant, with no consumption of alcoholic beverages permitted		2220		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	C	P	P	P	C	X	P	
Stand-alone store or shop building		2230		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	C	P	P	P	C	X	P	
Department store building		2240		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	C	P	X	X	P	
Warehouse discount store/superstore	2124	2250		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	C	P	C	X	P	
Market shops, including open markets		2260		A	A	A	X	X	X	X	C	P	P	P	C	X	P	
Gasoline station		2270		C	C	C	X	X	X	X	C	C	C	P	P	X	P	
Automobile repair and service structures		2280		C	C	C	X	X	X	X	C	P	P	P	P	X	P	
Car dealer	2111			C	C	C	X	X	X	X	X	X	C	P	P	X	P	
Bus, truck, mobile home, or large vehicle dealers	2112			C	C	C	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	P	P	X	P	
Bicycle, motorcycle, alternain vehicle dealers	2113			C	C	C	X	X	X	X	X	X	C	P	P	X	P	
Boat or marine craft dealer	2114			C	C	C	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	P	P	X	P	
Parts, accessories, or tires	2115			C	C	C	X	X	X	X	C	P	P	P	P	X	P	

Use	Function	Structure	Activity	Agriculture/Ranching	Rural	Rural Fringe	Rural Residential	Residential Fringe	Residential Estate	Residential Community	Traditional Community	Commercial Neighborhood	Office Use	Commercial/General	Industrial	Public Institutional	Planned Development	Special Conditions
Water treatment and purification facility		6270		P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	X	P	P	P	P	P	
Water reservoir		6280		C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	X	C	C	C	P	P	
Irrigation facilities, including impoundments for on-site irrigation or acequia system irrigation		6290		P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	A	P	P	P	P	P	
Wastewater storage or pumping station facility, lift stations, and collection lines		6310		P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	A	P	P	P	P	P	
Solid waste landfill facility	4345	6320		C	C	C	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	C	C	P	
Composting facility		6330		C	C	C	X	X	X	X	C	X	X	X	C	X	X	
Solid waste collection transfer station	4343		3210	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	X	C	C	P	X		
Solid waste combustor or incinerator	4344			C	C	C	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	C	
Septic tank service, repair, and installation business	4346			X	X	X	X	X	X	C	C	C	C	P	P	X	P	
Household hazardous waste collection facility				C	C	C	X	X	X	X	C	X	C	C	C	X	P	
Hazardous waste storage facility		6340		P	C	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	C	X	P	
Hazardous waste treatment and disposal facility				C	C	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	C	X	P	
Sewage treatment plant and disposal facilities		6350		C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	X	C	C	C	C	P	
Gas or electric power generation facility		6400		C	C	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	C	DCI	P	
Communication towers		6500		P	P	C	C	C	C	C	C	A	C	C	C	C	P	
Radio, television, or wireless transmitter		6510		P	P	C	X	X	X	C	C	A	C	C	P	P	P	
Weather stations or transmitters		6520		P	P	P	C	X	X	X	C	A	P	P	P	P	P	
Environmental monitoring station (air, soil, etc.)		6600		P	P	P	P	P	P	P	A	P	P	P	P	P	P	
Commercial solar energy production facility				C	C	C	X	X	X	X	C	C	C	C	P	X	P	
Geothermal production facility		6450		C	C	C	X	X	X	X	X	X	C	C	P	C	P	
Large scale wind facility				C	C	C	C	X	X	X	X	X	C	C	P	X	C	Sec. 10.16
Telecommunications and broadcasting station	4230			P	P	P	X	X	X	X	C	X	P	P	P	C	P	
Highway rest stops and welcome centers		6930		P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	X	P	P	P	P	P	
Fountain, sculpture, or other similar decorative structures		6950		P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	
Permanent outdoor stage, bandstand, or similar structure		6960		X	X	X	X	X	X	C	P	C	C	C	X	P	P	
Agriculture, forestry, and conservation/open space																		
Grain silos and other storage structure for grains and agricultural products		8100		P	P	P	A	A	A	A	P	X	A	A	P	C	P	
Animal production that includes slaughter	9300						X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Livestock pens or hog houses		8200					X	X	X	X	C	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Commercial greenhouses		8500					C	AC	AC	AC	C	P	P	P	P	P	P	
Nurseries and other growing of ornamental plants				P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	
Stables and other equine-related facilities - All personal use and commercial up to 5 horses		8240		P	P	P	P	P	GP	GP	GP	GP	GP	GP	P	P	P	
Stables and other equine-related facilities - Commercial over 5 horses				P	P	P	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	
Kennels and commercial dog breeding facilities		8700		P	P	P	C	C	X	X	C	C	C	P	P	P	P	
Aptary and other related structures		8700		P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	
Crop production outdoor		9100		P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	
Crop production greenhouse		8500		P	P	P	GP	GP	GP	GP	GP	GP	GP	GP	GP	GP	GP	
Display or sale of agricultural products raised on the same premises				P	P	P	A	A	A	A	A	P	P	P	P	P	P	
Forestry and logging operations [6]	9300			P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	X	P	P	P	P	P	
Game preserves and retreats [4]	9400			P	P	P	C	C	C	C	C	X	C	C	C	P	P	

Use	Function	Structure	Activity	Public	Open	Community	Traditional	Commercial	Industrial	Residential	Public Institutional	Planned Development	Special Conditions			
Support business and operations for agriculture and forestry							A	A	A	A	P	P	P	P	P	
Parks, open space areas, conservation areas, and preservation areas							P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	
Public or community outdoor recreation facilities							P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	
Concentrated animal feeding operation							X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Cattle ranching, and the grazing or cattle or other livestock [7]							P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	
Dairy farms							X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Other farm and farming-related structures							A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
Poultry farms and poultry production facilities							X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Sheds, farm buildings, or other agricultural facilities							A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
Animal waste lagoons							X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Mining and extraction establishments																
Oil and natural gas exploration or extraction [4]	300						DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI
Metallic minerals mining [4]	320						DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI
Coal mining [4]	330						DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI
Nonmetallic minerals mining [4]	340						DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI	DCI
Quarrying and stone cutting [4]	350						X	X	X	X	X	X	C	C	X	
Sand and gravel Mining under 20 acres							C	C	X	X	X	C	C	C	X	
Sand and gravel mining over 20 acres or with Blasting [4]							DCI	DCI	X	X	X	X	DCI	DCI	X	

* Subject to inclusion in approved list of uses that is part of the master site plan for the Planned Development District.

Madam Chair and Commissioners:

The Santa Fe Food Policy Council, as an advisory body to both the City and County of Santa Fe, has an active interest in promoting a future of local food security through the protection of agricultural resources, skill sets, and residents' right to farm. The approval of the Sustainable Land Development Code is a crossroads at which we, as a County, can articulate a clear framework prioritizing agriculture and holding a space for the cultural, economic, ecological, and health-related benefits which are associated with a strong local food system.

The historic 'Right To Farm' that has shaped this region's development, and which has been a cornerstone for New Mexican family self-sufficiency, is in danger of becoming obsolete. There is a lack of clarity and detail surrounding standards of agricultural initiatives in the current draft of the Sustainable Land Development Code. The last thing we want to achieve through our new Code are additional layers of confusion and hardship associated with small and medium-scale farming in Santa Fe County.

As a predominately rural county with a living agricultural heritage, it is imperative that steps are taken now which support both traditional and innovative methods of food production as key assets in future development plans.

Simultaneous to the development of the Sustainable Land Development Code, the Santa Fe Food Policy Council has, in partnership with numerous stakeholders throughout the community, spearheaded an effort which represents a major step on our collective journey toward building a local, healthy, and prosperous food system for Santa Fe County. This October, a draft of the first ever Food Plan for Santa Fe region, "*Planning for Santa Fe's Food Future: Querencia, a Story of Food, Farming, and Friends*," was released. Over the upcoming year, this document will serve as a tool to reach out to all corners of our county to gain input, understanding, and build relationships around how to design a local food system that works within our regional context. Our process will culminate in the development of a final strategic food plan for the City and County of Santa Fe--- a detailed roadmap for action and accountability around food related issues.

The Santa Fe Food Policy Council is in favor of and fully supports the following proposed edits for adoption in Sustainable Land Development Code which were presented on November 19, 2013. These edits align with '*Growing Food: Goal Area 5*' of the Food Plan for Santa Fe:

Goal 5: Increase the viability of local farm and ranch activity by working with the City and County to ensure land use plans are supportive of agricultural use.

- Crop Production Greenhouses changed from 'Conditional' to 'Permitted' use in Appendix B for all proposed zoning districts.
- Amendment of language in the existing 'Rural Commercial District' which to include language which clearly specifies agricultural business, production, storage, and/or processing as permitted or conditional uses.

(DRAFT – 11/20/2013)

A RESOLUTION

TO DEVELOP A PROCESS BY WHICH THE COUNTY OF SANTA FE CAN EXPLORE ISSUES PERTAINING TO GE FOOD CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION IN THE REGION; AND ENCOURAGING A PLACE-BASED APPROACH TO ADDRESS CONCERNS THAT DRAWS UPON EXPERTISE FROM COMMUNITY MEMBERS, FARMERS, AND RESEARCH.

WHEREAS, Food safety, quality and the health of the population are vital to the growth and prosperity of the nation; and

WHEREAS, the potential long term risks to public health and the environment from genetically engineered (GE) products are largely unknown; and

WHEREAS, GE crops have escaped into the environment and crossed into native seeds and wild plants; and

WHEREAS, practices caring for native seeds and growing crops provide for much of the region's traditional diet and results in the ability of communities to feed themselves with healthy food that is culturally and spiritually significant; and

WHEREAS, clean air, soil, water, and landscapes have been essential elements in the development and nurturing of seeds as well as the harvesting of wild plants; and that these elements of air, land, and water have been contaminated to certain degrees; and

WHEREAS, farmers who produce organic or non-GE crops run the risk of crop contamination from nearby genetically engineered crops; and

WHEREAS, farmers who unintentionally grow patented GE seeds or who harvest crops that are contaminated with GE traits could lose marketing options and face costly law suits; and

WHEREAS, in June 2006, the New Mexico Acequia Association, the Tewa Women United, and Honor Our Pueblo Existence signed a Seed Sovereignty Declaration supporting the protection of the ancestral and spiritual connection between Native farmers and crops that are free from genetic engineering, and

WHEREAS, Tesuque Pueblo, Pojoaque Pueblo, the Eight Northern Indian Pueblo Council and the All Indian Pueblo Council all passed resolutions supporting the original Declaration of Seed Sovereignty, and

WHEREAS, Rio Arriba County passed Resolution 2007-082, and Santa Fe County passed Resolution 2007- 9, both titled "A Resolution in support of a 'Declaration of Seed Sovereignty: A Living Document for New Mexico", supporting the original Seed Sovereignty Declaration, and

WHEREAS, the New Mexico Acequia Association, the Tewa Women United, Honor Our Pueblo Existence, Tesuque Pueblo, Pojoaque Pueblo, the Eight Northern Indian Pueblo Council, the All Indian Pueblo Council, Rio Arriba County and Santa Fe County got the New Mexico Legislature to approve Senate Joint Memorial 38, and House Memorial 84 in 2007 which recognize the importance of indigenous agricultural practices and Native seeds to the food security and cultural heritage of New Mexico, and

use/benefits associated with use. This campaign also focuses on dialoguing with farmers to find out if there is interest in non-GMO planting in the region. The GMO facet of this initiative may be one aspect of a larger campaign to support local farmers in marketing their product.

b. Conduct a Santa Fe County and City GE Labeling Public Education Campaign to support the "consumer right to know" through public education. The campaign may include building relationships and working with local stores, direct public messaging about GMO 101, developing alternative market strategies to increase awareness of GMO issues and non-GMO products available through mainstream retail outlets, and increasing public awareness by strengthening relationship between organic and non-GMO; (to be certified organic a product means must be non-GMO.) Outreach strategies may include web-based information, signage and direct outreach. The Non-GMO Project may be used as a model, when appropriate.

c. Develop a GMO Free Registration System through a simple and voluntary process in which food producers can participate to show their support for the "Consumers' Rights to Know" movement, of which GMOs are the latest tier of ingredient labeling. Next steps for this recommendation include defining what the GMO Free Registration requires of a producer, and what a consumer purchasing a SF County GMO Free Registered product can expect. Contacting the Marketing Department of the Department of Agriculture is recommended for clarity on the feasibility of this Registration. *Historically, the consumer driven 'Right to Know' movement has also supported the labeling of organics, certain hormone and antibiotic free products, and more detail on the nutritional value of foods.*

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT staff is directed to:

2. Add the Option of GE Free zones in all Community Planning processes.
3. Collaborate with Tribal Communities in and adjacent to the County to engage Tribal governing bodies in determining a strategy which align County Goals with tribal initiatives related to GMOs.