City of Santa Fe



CITY CLERK'S OFFICE Agenda BATE 12/4/13 TIME 4:47
SERVED BY BALL MOSS
RECEIVED BY DOLL

PUBLIC WORKS/CIP & LAND USE **COMMITTEE MEETING** 500 MARKET STATION, SUITE 200 LARGE CONFERENCE ROOM **MONDAY, DECEMBER 9, 2013** 4:45 P.M.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. ROLL CALL
- 3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- 4. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA
- 5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM NOVEMBER 25, 2013 PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE **MEETING**

INFORMATIONAL AGENDA (UP TO 15 MINUTES)

- 6. UPDATE TO PURCHASING ISSUES (ROBERT RODARTE)
 - CONTRACTS RELATED TO CIP
 - EFFICIENCIES RFP

CONSENT AGENDA (10 MINUTES)

7. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF RFP #14/11/P AND LEASE OPERATING AGREEMENT FOR FOOD AND BEVERAGE SERVICE FACILITY WITH NORTHERN VENTURES LLC (D.B.A. THE LINKS BAR & GRILL) AT MARTY SANCHEZ LINKS DE SANTA FE (JENNIFER ROMERO)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Approved) 12/02/13 Council (Scheduled) 12/11/13

8. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF EXEMPT PROCUREMENT AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES AGREEMENT - IMPLEMENT CIP HIGH SPEED INTERNET PROJECT FOR CITY OF SANTA FE; CYBER MESA COMPUTER SYSTEMS INCORPORATED IN THE AMOUNT OF \$132,100 (SEAN MOODY)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Approved) 12/02/13 Council (Scheduled) 12/11/13

9. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, CHAPTER 14 SFCC 1987; CREATING A NEW SUBSECTION 14-8.6(B)(1)(g) REQUIRING SAFETY BARRIERS FOR SPECIFIED DRIVEWAYS AND PARKING LOT AISLES; AND MAKING SUCH OTHER STYLISTIC OR GRAMMATICAL CHANGES THAT ARE NECESSARY (COUNCILOR RIVERA) (GREG SMITH)

Committee Review:

Planning Committee (Not Approved)	11/07/13
Council (Request to Publish)	12/11/13
Council (Public Hearing)	01/08/14

10. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 14-8.14(E) SFCC 1987 TO EXTEND FOR ONE YEAR THE PERIOD DURING WHICH IMPACT FEES FOR RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS SHALL BE REDUCED BY 100% AND TO REDUCE IMPACT FEES FOR RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS BY 50% FOR A PERIOD OF ONE YEAR THEREAFTER; AND MAKING SUCH OTHER STYLISTIC OR GRAMMATICAL CHANGES THAT ARE NECESSARY (COUNCILOR WURZBURGER) (MATTHEW O'REILLY)

Committee Review:

Planning Committee (Scheduled)	12/05/13
Council (Request to Publish)	12/11/13
Capital Improvements Advisory Committee (Scheduled)	12/12/13
Finance Committee (Scheduled)	01/07/1 4
Council (Public Hearing)	01/08/14

11. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING AN APPROPRIATION OF 1.44 MILLION DOLLARS BY THE NEW MEXICO STATE LEGISLATURE, DURING THE 2014 LEGISLATIVE SESSION, FOR THE HEALTHY KIDS, HEALTHY ECONOMY INITIATIVE (MAYOR COSS AND COUNCILOR IVES) (KATHERINE MORTIMER)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Approved)	12/02/13
Council (Scheduled)	12/11/13

12. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING CITY OF SANTA FE LEGISLATIVE PRIORITIES FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE NEW MEXICO STATE LEGISLATURE DURING THE 51ST LEGISLATURE – STATE OF NEW MEXICO – SECOND SESSION, 2014 (MAYOR COSS) (BRIAN SNYDER)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (No Action Taken)	12/02/13
Council (Scheduled)	12/11/13

13. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION REQUESTING CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES TO LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY'S PROPOSED PLAN OF LEAVING NUCLEAR WASTES BURIED IN PLACE AT TA-45, AREA G; URGING INSTEAD FOR FULL CHARACTERIZATION AND EXCAVATION OF THE WASTES, OFFSITE DISPOSAL OF ANY HIGH-LEVEL OR TRANSURANIC RADIOACTIVE WASTES AND REBURIAL OF REMAINING LOW-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTES IN A MODERN LANDFILL; AND DIRECTING THE CITY CLERK TO INFORM THE NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT OF THIS RESOLUTION (MAYOR COSS) (ALEX PUGLISI)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Approved)	12/02/13
Public Utilities (Scheduled)	12/04/13
Council (Scheduled)	12/11/13

PUBLIC WORKS, CIP AND LAND USE COMMITTEE MEETING DECEMBER 9, 2013 PAGE THREE

- 14. MATTERS FROM STAFF (5 MINUTES)
- 15. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE (5 MINUTES)
- 16. MATTERS FROM THE CHAIR (5 MINUTES)
- 17. NEXT MEETING: TO BE ANNOUNCED
- 18. ADJOURN

Persons with disabilities in need of accommodations, contact the City Clerk's office at 955-6520 five (5) working days prior to meeting date

SUMMARY INDEX FOR PUBLIC WORKS/CIP & LAND USE COMMITTEE

December 9, 2013

ITEM	ACTION	PAGE
1. Call to Order	Convened at 4:45 p.m.	1
2. Roll Call	Quorum Present	1
3. Approval of Agenda	Approved as presented	1
4. Approval of Consent Agenda	Approved as amended	2
5. Approval of Minutes November 25, 2013	Approved as presented	2
INFORMATIONAL AGENDA 6. Update to Purchasing Issues	Presentation by Robert Rodarte	2-5
CONSENT AGENDA LISTING	Listed	5-6
CONSENT AGENDA DISCUSSION 9. Safety Barrier Ordinance	Approved as amended	6-7
11. Legislative Priorities	Approved as amended	8-13
14. Matters from Staff	Discussion	13
15. Matters from the Committee	Discussion	14
16. Matters from the Chair	Discussion	14
17. Next Meeting	TBD	14
18. Adjournment	Adjourned at 5:45 p.m.	14

MINUTES OF THE

CITY OF SANTA FÉ

PUBLIC WORKS/CIP & LAND USE COMMITTEE

MONDAY, DECEMBER 9, 2013

1. CALL TO ORDER

A regular meeting of the Public Works/CIP & Land Use Committee was called to order on the above date by Chair Rebecca Wurzburger at approximately 4:51 p.m. at 500 Market Station, Suite 200, Santa Fé, New Mexico.

2. ROLL CALL

Roll Call indicated the presence of a quorum as follows:

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Councilor Rebecca Wurzburger, Chair Councilor Christopher Calvert Councilor Peter Ives Councilor Christopher Rivera Councilor Ronald S. Trujillo

MEMBERS ABSENT:

STAFF PRESENT:

Isaac Pino, Public Works Director Bobbi Mossman, Public Works Staff

NOTE: All items in the Committee packet for all agenda items were incorporated herewith by reference. The original Committee packet is on file in the Public Works Department.

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Councilor Trujillo moved to approve the agenda as presented. Councilor lves seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

4. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

Councilor Ives requested discussion on item #12.

Councilor Rivera requested discussion on item #9.

Councilor Trujillo moved to approve the Consent Agenda as amended. Councilor lves seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM NOVEMBER 25, 2013 PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEETING

Councilor Ives moved to approve the minutes of November 25, 2013 as presented. Councilor Rivera seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

INFORMATIONAL AGENDA

6. UPDATE TO PURCHASING ISSUES (ROBERT RODARTE)

- Contracts Related to CIP
- Efficiencies RFP

Mr. Rodarte said he put together per the Committee's request all bids since July 1, 2013. The goal was to look at where they were as far as local companies getting some of the City's business. In all that were on the list, the City had about 5 companies based in Santa Fé County that got awards. Bid 14-8 on second page was Mike López Roofing. They identified local subcontractors that had been used with Albuquerque contractors also. Roadways in 14-10- B had a couple of locals on that one. BDD in bid 14-12-B would come out of the joint venture and had used quite a few locals. But in City Phase 3 Repairs, 14-17-B, Sarcon was not using any locals. In looking further, he said they did have a couple of locals with very small amounts. Carpet One and McFarland Roofing just had very small portions of the contract.

Chair Wurzburger said it was just a regular remodel on an existing building and questioned that they couldn't find framers, carpenters, electricians or plumbers.

Mr. Rodarte said he did identify one or two and the rest were from Albuquerque. That was fairly common. The Albuquerque companies don't use our locals. TLC does use local surveyors. But it was only for minor things and nothing major.

They know the City was trying to push local subcontractors but in the bidding they want to be as competitive as possible. He didn't think locals were being competitive like Albuquerque companies.

Chair Wurzburger asked as the bottom line what percentage he would see if he was going away from

this list.

Mr. Rodarte said he couldn't do a percentage from this list because some were just for materials like chemicals. Probably they were doing about 50% on these listed here.

Chair Wurzburger said it has been an issue in the past. She was confident she could put together a local team for a construction of a \$700,000 house.

Councilor Ives said they had talked several times about having more statistics and following up on each contract. The report was really targeted at those issues. He was trying to identify the reasons why non-locals were used. He suggested that maybe during the study session they could do that.

Chair Wurzburger agreed.

Mr. Rodarte went to the Efficiencies report.

Mr. Rodarte noted that under the rules he could not talk about some of the things but they were headed in negotiations to this efficiency study. When it started back in June, the two big areas were police and fire departments. For those areas, Robert Romero felt that was the place to start. They would look at overall functions and structure; whether there was income from other departments; and look across departments for how they interacted with other departments. They would look at HR, staffing, wages. Once they completed their analysis, based on their findings, they would proposed an approximate amount of savings that could be achieved. If they said they could prove that they would save the City \$1 million, they would bill the City up to \$200,000 annually. He had no idea where that cost would be paid from.

This was a four year term but they needed to determine how to pay for it. They were still in negotiations with them and he would bring it back to the Public Works Committee in January.

Councilor Calvert asked if they were to pay up front.

Mr. Rodarte said no. That would happen once they had identified the savings.

Councilor Calvert understood they would have to pay them before realizing the savings.

Mr. Rodarte said the consultants would have many places of look and add them together to find the million dollars or whatever they identified and then the Council would pay them 10%.

Councilor Ives noted some skepticism on Ms. Amer's face.

Ms. Amer said this was the first she had heard of it and didn't know what they put into the RFP.

Councilor Calvert thought Council should give them half of that 10% and the other half when the City realized the savings.

Councilor Rivera asked if it would involve each department analyzing personnel, etc.

Mr. Rodarte said the most important part was to have key staff there to participate 100% with anybody involved in the work. Based on what he saw, they were finding stuff in government entities elsewhere. He was confident they would find something.

Councilor Rivera added that police and fire were the largest expenses.

Mr. Rodarte agreed and Public Works was third.

He wanted to start with one department and see how it was going to work so they were not obligated for all of them at once.

Councilor Calvert said also the City had to use staff time to help them do their analysis.

Mr. Rodarte agreed. It was just like the Water consultant. They were using City staff big time.

Councilor Calvert clarified that there was another way the City was paying up front with staff salaries (for time devoted to their data collection).

Mr. Rodarte added that the ten percent was still a negotiable item.

Chair Wurzburger said this needed to be in administration. We would start here and do more based on the results of what we actually were experiencing.

Mr. Rodarte said that although the Police Department was their primary place to look, the Council could go into another department that didn't have unions to work with.

Chair Wurzburger thanked Mr. Rodarte for his report.

CONSENT AGENDA LISTING

7. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF RFP #14/11/P AND LEASE OPERATING AGREEMENT FOR FOOD AND BEVERAGE SERVICE FACILITY WITH NORTHERN VENTURES LLC (D.B.A. THE LINKS BAR & GRILL) AT MARTY SANCHEZ LINKS DE SANTA FÉ (JENNIFER ROMERO)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Approved)
Council (Scheduled)

12/02/13 12/11/13 8. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF EXEMPT PROCUREMENT AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES AGREEMENT – IMPLEMENT CIP HIGH SPEED INTERNET PROJECT FOR CITY OF SANTA FÉ; CYBER MESA COMPUTER SYSTEMS INCORPORATED IN THE AMOUNT OF \$132,100 (SEAN MOODY)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Approved) 12/02/13 Council (Scheduled) 12/11/13

10. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 14-8.14(E) SFCC 1987 TO EXTEND FOR ONE YEAR THE PERIOD DURING WHICH IMPACT FEES FOR RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS SHALL BE REDUCED BY 100% AND TO REDUCE IMPACT FEES FOR RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS BY 50% FOR A PERIOD OF ONE YEAR THEREAFTER; AND MAKING SUCH OTHER STYLISTIC OR GRAMMATICAL CHANGES THAT were NECESSARY (COUNCILOR WURZBURGER) (MATTHEW O'REILLY)

Committee Review:

Planning Committee (Scheduled)	12/05/13
Council (Request to Publish)	12/11/13
Capital Improvements Advisory Committee (Scheduled)	12/12/13
Finance Committee (Scheduled)	01/07/14
Council (Public Hearing)	01/08/14

11. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING AN APPROPRIATION OF 1.44 MILLION DOLLARS BY THE NEW MEXICO STATE LEGISLATURE, DURING THE 2014 LEGISLATIVE SESSION, FOR THE HEALTHY KIDS, HEALTHY ECONOMY INITIATIVE (MAYOR COSS AND COUNCILOR IVES) (KATHERINE MORTIMER)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Approved)	12/02/13
Council (Scheduled)	12/11/13

13. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION REQUESTING CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES TO LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY'S PROPOSED PLAN OF LEAVING NUCLEAR WASTES BURIED IN PLACE AT TA-45, AREA G; URGING INSTEAD FOR FULL CHARACTERIZATION AND EXCAVATION OF THE WASTES, OFFSITE DISPOSAL OF ANY HIGH-LEVEL OR TRANSURANIC RADIOACTIVE WASTES AND REBURIAL OF REMAINING LOW-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTES IN A MODERN LANDFILL; AND DIRECTING THE CITY CLERK TO INFORM THE NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT OF THIS RESOLUTION (MAYOR COSS) (ALEX PUGLISI)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Approved)

12/02/13

CONSENT DISCUSSION AGENDA

9. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, CHAPTER 14 SFCC 1987; CREATING A NEW SUBSECTION 14-8.6(B)(1)(g) REQUIRING SAFETY BARRIERS FOR SPECIFIED DRIVEWAYS AND PARKING LOT AISLES; AND MAKING SUCH OTHER STYLISTIC OR GRAMMATICAL CHANGES THAT ARE NECESSARY (COUNCILOR RIVERA) (GREG SMITH)

Committee Review:

Planning Committee (Not Approved)	11/07/13
Council (Request to Publish)	12/11/13
Council (Public Hearing)	01/08/14

Councilor Calvert wondered why the Planning Commission did not recommend this for approval. It would require regulation of existing parking lots and entries near public streets (reading from the report. Do we really need something like this?

Mr. O'Reilly said they did need it. There had been instances he knew of and there were others.

Councilor Calvert said Conscencia was not at an entry. It was a plate glass window.

Councilor Rivera related one that happened long ago. Then mentioned several others. He brought this forward because it had the ability to save lives. The main thing at the Planning Commission meeting was specifically about what needed to be put up. He thought the design committee could figure out alternatives. They couldn't create a design that would stop everything but at least could it would alert occupants before the vehicle went through the entry way.

Councilor Calvert asked if the ordinance only applied to new construction.

Mr. O'Reilly agreed. The idea was to protect the aisle where if someone slipped off the brake or was avoiding a swerve would protect those in the store or when a car was headed straight for the entry way at a business. He referenced, Sam's Club, the new Walmart, Office Depot all of which had barriers at the entry way as a way to avoid penetration. Recently Target put big balls in front that included their logo. Capitol Ford had a large planter with 3.5' high concrete.

He related that Commissioner Padilla had asked what the performance standards would be because that would dictate what the design might be.

Councilor Calvert asked if some of it could be taken care of by better designs.

Mr. O'Reilly agreed. There was a threshold of \$100,000 in remodeling so it could also apply to existing buildings at a threshold of renovation to require it. As drafted now, it applied only to new construction and the Planning Commission discussed including remodeling in the ordinance. The cost wouldn't be that much in the overall construction budget. Walmart cost \$14 million and spent only a few thousand on the bollards.

Councilor Calvert thought they would put them up for a variety of reasons but if public safety was one, why not try to avoid that problem by design in the plan. Maybe that design could be addressed in this also. If that was feasible.

Mr. O'Reilly said there would be times when it wasn't possible.

Councilor Calvert suggested adding "wherever possible."

Mr. O'Reilly agreed.

Councilor Calvert said he was trying to suggest something more. Maybe your first cut of design shows it that way where otherwise, you wouldn't. It could possibly be an amendment to this ordinance. So the design would try to avoid the need for that protection in the first place.

Councilor Rivera moved to approve the ordinance as amended by adding Councilor Calvert's addition "wherever possible." Councilor Calvert seconded the motion.

Councilor Trujillo had a concern that if they did this in new construction whether someone could sue the City because others were not required to do that. This was a very good idea but there would be aesthetic issues about this. He recalled that a few years back a kid plowed through a portal on the plaza.

Mr. O'Reilly said the second issue at the Planning Commission was the design and was why there was no performance scale put to it.

Councilor Trujillo commented that unless there was a steel column, the post wouldn't stop a vehicle.

Mr. O'Reilly agreed and it would require staff input. Commissioner Padilla had asked if it meant he could install a two inch bollard and Mr. O'Reilly replied that it would require Land Use staff to review and approve or deny the proposed design. He didn't know if there were national standards for bollards and his concern was also dealing with historic districts sites.

Councilor Trujillo said the scale would be if it was concrete or steel, what would stop a vehicle at a certain speed.

Mr. O'Reilly clarified that it was what the City could do legally. It would be the same as the ordinance

with parking landscaping. Through the years, more people would come into compliance. He respectfully asked for renovation over \$100,000 be added.

Councilor Rivera amended his motion to include renovations of over \$100,000 and Councilor Calvert agreed with the amendment. The motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

12. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING CITY OF SANTA FÉ LEGISLATIVE PRIORITIES FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE NEW MEXICO STATE LEGISLATURE DURING THE 51ST LEGISLATURE – STATE OF NEW MEXICO – SECOND SESSION, 2014 (MAYOR COSS) (BRIAN SNYDER)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (No Action Taken) 12/02/13 Council (Scheduled) 12/11/13

Chair Wurzburger said Councilor Dominguez was introducing something new on the resolution.

Mr. Snyder referred to the letter from Santa Fé Prevention Alliance.

Councilor Ives said the "hold harmless" was very appropriate and he wanted to understand the pros and cons behind the minimum sentencing in Section 3.

Councilor Calvert thought what needed to be clear in Section 3 was that they were talking about drug dealers rather than just users of illegal drugs. That would reduce the content in Section 2. Dealers vs. those using illegal drugs.

Councilor Ives agreed.

Councilor Ives asked if the construction contract on police station was the same project.

Councilor Calvert said he had that same question last time.

Mr. Pino said Mr. Duran was correct - this was additional work.

Councilor Calvert believed the legislators thought that project was already done.

Mr. Pino asked the Committee to keep in mind that what was in sections 1, 2, and 3 was all to the Police Department's main station.

Deputy Chief John Schaerfl said going back about ten years, they had wanted to fund the project with bond money and that didn't happen but the state legislature did appropriate money for completion of phase 3. At its heart and its intent, it was the same project package. But there were other projects that were totally independent from that. There were things not addressed in phase 3 but now they were doing more things

on phase 1 and 2.

Councilor Ives said they talked about \$100,000 for a backup generator and the last two were \$500,000.

Mr. Snyder had a quote of \$490,000.

Councilor Ives noted that the airport expansion had been at \$300,000 and was now \$600,000 after another assessment.

Mr. Snyder said that was for the support module.

Councilor Ives recalled the only other thing was for shade structures in the ICIP that was promoted by Representative Egoff to seek funding for.

Mr. Snyder saw that as #8. But as he and Mr. Duran wrote the resolution, they looked at the top six and covered each district.

Councilor Ives thought perhaps they could ask Mr. Duran to consider that project too.

Ms. Amer said she had done some research on minimum sentencing for trafficking and found that the more serious offenses would be subject to federal jurisdiction. Most likely with the state, they would negotiate a plea.

Councilor Ives apologized for having to leave.

Councilor Ives excused himself from the meeting.

Councilor Calvert asked if the Swan Park request was just phase 1 at \$150,000 and this would be for subsequent phases.

Mr. Pino said they didn't complete some things.

Councilor Calvert thought they should say it was phase 1.

Councilor Trujillo asked for clarification on the Water History Park Museum.

Mr. Pino said it should say "construction on the museum."

Councilor Calvert understood there would be an educational element there for education about water in Santa Fé.

Councilor Rivera was still confused on the amount for the Police request. He had heard \$1.1 million and thought Mr. Pino said it was significantly less.

Mr. Pino agreed.

Councilor Rivera asked then if this was to complete Phase 3 only.

Mr. Pino said that was the way it was worded.

Mr. Duran said one thing they had been able to do, since police was such a priority, was to identify it as a city-wide priority and worked hard to get that completed. Now, it would be very difficult to say this was priority one and hear them say they thought that was already completed. In consultation with Mr. Snyder, Councilor Calvert and others, they decided not to that all in ICIP as natural priorities in legislation. So they took the top six ICIP projects. The smaller projects could be as an alternative to the million dollar project but the million dollar project was police. So he asked if the top priority should be the airport or if there would be a city-wide priority in this request.

Councilor Rivera noted that in years past Mr. Duran had an idea of what the legislature was likely to give. He asked what it was for this year.

Mr. Duran said last year it was based on the amount of severance tax bond money available. It would be close to what last year's was. And if approached correctly the Council would maximize what they could get from the delegation. And if they could identify a priority, they could get one funded year by year in the range of \$600,000 to \$1 million and then work on the others as they could.

Councilor Rivera wondered if they could bump up that project to be quick enough so they could get \$1 million for the airport.

Councilor Calvert asked if the \$300,000 was for temporary improvements.

Chair Wurzburger said it was now \$600,000.

Mr. Snyder said it was modular construction.

Councilor Calvert asked if it included restaurant space.

Mr. Snyder said with that it would expand exponentially.

Councilor Calvert asked how the modular part would integrate with the rest of the airport.

Chair Wurzburger reminded them that the master plan was to build the whole thing with a walkway. It was not all master planned.

Councilor Calvert suggested moving the police request down a little to avoid sending a bad signal.

Chair Wurzburger said she would favor that but they were talking about evidence storage also. She felt very strongly about moving the airport forward.

Mr. Bulthuis said, in terms of scope of the airport project, the immediate need was for secure holding but there were other needs. They could easily add to that scope to address the other needs if the airport was primary. For instance, there was an open door to the outside and no air lock. They were actually moving that facility away from the airport and it would be right beside where the rental cars were washed. These were standard improvements that were in place at most facilities but not ours.

Chair Wurzburger said they would need to have a number based on something.

Mr. Bulthuis said his gut feeling was that with a possible new scope the request would double the \$600,000 to \$1.2 million.

Councilor Calvert asked if that would be redone with a more permanent solution so they could undo some of those things if they were included.

Mr. Bulthuis agreed that at \$1.2 million for improvements they could look at a more permanent solution.

Chair Wurzburger thought it might be their only choice.

Councilor Trujillo wondered how many of us use the airport. These airlines that go in and out don't offer the economical fares. He asked what the airlines were going to put into our airport.

Mr. Bulthuis said competition was what would bring that price down and Santa Fé now had two airlines competing.

Councilor Trujillo understood the more we get here, the better our economy. But it was cheaper for him to drive 60 miles to Albuquerque than to fly out of Santa Fé.

Councilor Calvert added that the more inviting you make it the more likely we would bring in more competition. You have to spend a little to save some.

Councilor Calvert was cautious about doubling the figure without documenting the projects. He asked if that figure could be justified in two days.

Mr. Snyder said it was now shown at \$300,000. Looking at the airport expansion there were several projects on it which he identified verbally. So there were some things they could use in the rationale.

Councilor Calvert suggested a figure of \$750,000 as something they could stand by.

Chair Wurzburger said okay.

Councilor Calvert reasoned that they would move the airport to the top of the list and move the others down.

Chair Wurzburger said she didn't have that power.

Councilor Calvert proposed to place the police request to the bottom of the list to avoid having it seen as a bottomless pit.

Councilor Rivera and Councilor Trujillo agreed.

Councilor Trujillo was not in favor of moving the airport to the top. He felt Cerrillos Road completion should be a higher priority.

Mr. Pino said that was slated for 2015.

Councilor Calvert moved to establish the priority with the airport terminal moved up to A with \$750,000 as the request and to follow with B, C, and D where they were and that "Water History Park Museum Construction" should be the title of that project.

Chair Wurzburger asked if that number would become \$1 million for the Museum.

Mr. Snyder said that the amount was based on the ICIP numbers and that was how it was listed.

Councilor Rivera seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

Deputy Chief Schaerfl pointed out that in less than three weeks the City would have the annexation which brought the need for the improvements at main and other facilities. It all went to their ability to handle the additional costs of service.

Mr. Pino believed at that level, they could make the changes to the Professional Standards and the Evidence Room and also the building on Alameda.

Councilor Trujillo suspected the legislature would say they just gave Santa Fé the money for this. He asked what the chances were of them giving us more.

Mr. Duran agreed the sole project had been completed. The year before, the County had the big County Courthouse and now it was the City's turn. They wanted complete projects for appropriations and now the City is saying, "We were not quite through with it."

Chair Wurzburger asked if he sold the project as something that was ongoing or something needed for annexation. It was not the completion of that project because it was a new project. She thought of this as a new priority that the City needed to solve.

Deputy Chief Schaerfl said when the money was awarded by the legislature, it was only to be used at the main address. The Police Department wanted to consider other locations and were prohibited from doing so.

Councilor Calvert understood and agree with most of it but this figure included more work to be done at main. He thought they would have to cut that part out.

Mr. Pino said that part was \$25,000.

Councilor Calvert reasoned they would reduce the request to \$1 million and call it something else. He asked if they were changing the order by doing that.

Chair Wurzburger said although she thought bridges were important, she wondered if it would be better to do the police project instead.

Councilor Calvert agreed that bridges were important.

Councilor Trujillo countered that bridges were a state responsibility too.

Councilor Calvert thought they already had some state money for design.

Mr. Pino agreed. It was \$500,000 for downtown bridges and \$1.5 million to complete the plans. For all of the years, it would be \$7.7 million.

Councilor Calvert asked if for the \$1.5 million, they couldn't do some construction too. He thought maybe they should change that description. We narrowed it down to the top two bridges.

Mr. Pino agreed. It was DeFouri and Guadalupe.

Councilor Trujillo asked which one was worse.

Mr. Pino said it was Guadalupe and they already had money for construction at DeFouri. They could get the planning all done before next year's legislature.

Councilor Trujillo asked how long it would take to construct it.

Mr. Pino said it would take over a year but they had the money for over a year.

Mr. Duran thought if it was on ICIP, they could get the right language for that -

Councilor Calvert thought it best to take out "Main" and emphasize the annexation for the police request.

Mr. Snyder agreed - new stations renovation and support.

Mr. Duran reminded them they would still run these by their legislators to see what rises to the top.

Chair Wurzburger asked further about Water History Park Museum.

Mr. Pino said the city got \$50,000 already.

Councilor Calvert moved to add these changes to the legislative priorities request including making the request \$750,000 for the airport, changing the police request to \$1 million, taking out the main facility improvements in favor of the other facilities based on the annexation, emphasizing construction of the Water History Park Museum and giving the Guadalupe Bridge the priority bridge of that request. Councilor Rivera seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

14. MATTERS FROM STAFF

There were no matters from staff.

15. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE

Councilor Trujillo thanked staff for the information about the prairie dog ordinance work. Since 2001, under the prairie dog ordinance, \$559,331 had been spent. The City could have used that money a lot better in other places.

Chair Wurzburger said she would be interested in knowing the projected costs for prairie management in the next five years.

Councilor Calvert asked if the Burro Alley project got completed with the brick pavers that were being worked on. Bricks were removed on Burro Alley. If it would be open a long time, perhaps a cover should be put over it.

Councilor Rivera thanked Mr. Pino for the snow removal on Airport Road. The removal seemed to be slower there than most.

Mr. Pino said Airport Road was a major arterial so they made it a priority. He also noted that they lost four truck this morning for various reasons.

16. MATTERS FROM THE CHAIR

Chair Wurzburger wished everyone a Merry Christmas.

17. NEXT MEETING: To Be Determined

The meeting date was set for January 6, 2014, tentatively.

18. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 6:40 p.m.

Approved by:

Rebecca Wurzburger, Chair

Submitted by:

Carl Boaz, Stenographer