



# Agenda



Santa Fe City and County  
Advisory Council on Food Policy  
Thursday, May 23, 2013  
9:00 to 11:00  
Angel Depot Conference Room  
1222 Siler Road, Santa Fe, NM

- A. CALL TO ORDER
- B. ROLL CALL
- C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – April 25, 2013
- E. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE FLOOR
- F. PRESENTATIONS/TRAININGS
- G. STAFF COMMUNICATIONS
  - 1. Action Item Follow-up
  - 2. Calendar, website, SFFPC logo
  - 3. Potential dates for 'Food Plan Charette'
- H. INITIATIVES AND ACTIONS
  - 1. Policy Committee
    - a. Assessment Committee – Food Plan Update
      - i. Stakeholder interview process update
    - b. Upstream Process:
      - i. Quarterly report
    - c. Food Production and Land Use Committee
      - i. County Sustainable Land Development Code
    - d. Procurement
    - e. Education and Outreach
      - i. Review pamphlet inserts
      - ii. SFFPC logo
      - iii. Events with Home Grown NM & SFFMI Film Series
    - f. City-County GMO Labeling and Prohibition of Cultivation Resolution Implementation
    - g. National Issues
      - i. Farm Bill
      - ii. Center for Science and Public Interest
  - 2. Executive Committee
    - a. Executive chair election
    - b. Replacement Suggestions for Emergency Food Service
    - c. Replacement Suggestions for Community Member
    - d. Community Outreach Coordinator
- I. ITEMS FROM THE CHAIR
- J. ITEMS FROM THE COUNCIL
- K. ADJOURNMENT

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE  
DATE 5/13/13 TIME 3:36pm  
SERVED BY Katherine Mortimer  
RECEIVED BY [Signature]

**NOTE: All meetings of the council are open to the public. Persons with disabilities in need of accommodations, contact the City Clerk's office at 955-6520, five (5) working days prior to meeting day.**

**SANTA FE CITY AND COUNTY  
ADVISORY COUNCIL ON FOOD POLICY  
1222 SILER ROAD, SANTA FE, NM 87501**

**THURSDAY, MAY 23, 2013**

**A. CALL TO ORDER**

A regular meeting of the Santa Fe City and County Advisory Council on Food Policy was called to order by Katherine Mortimer, Chair on this date at approximately 9:00 a.m. at the Angel Depot, Conference Room, 1222 Siler Road, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

**B. ROLL CALL**

Roll call indicated a lack of quorum, but was established later follows:

**Members Present**

Katherine Mortimer, Chair  
Maria Bustamante-Bernal  
Tony McCarty  
Susan Perry  
Pamela Roy  
Mark Winne (arrived later)  
Lynn Walters

**Members Absent**

Emigdio Ballon  
Steve Warshawer (excused)  
Elisabeth Salinas (excused)

*Two positions vacant*

**Staff Present**

Alena Paisano, AmeriCorps  
Erin Lloyd Ortigoza

**Others Present**

Isabel Ribe, Adelante Program, SFPS  
Dr. Jim McClure, local coordinator for Food and Water Watch  
Citizen advocates  
Charmaine Clair, Stenographer

**E. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE FLOOR (Revised Agenda Order)**

Chair Mortimer asked guests to introduce themselves:

*Isabelle Ribe*; Adelante Food Distribution Program, said she has talked about joining the F PC.

*Dr. Jim McClure* said he is the local coordinator with the Food and Water Watch. A group in Santa Fe organized GMO-Free Santa Fe and is pushing the County to pass the same resolution as the City passed.

*Liz Wiles* said she is a mother with allergies and her children have allergies and she wants to back up Mr. McClure and follow-up with the concerns regarding genetic engineering. She is afraid of protein strands and doesn't like a food monopoly and having to rely on a corporation to control the food supply.

*Bruce Leta* said he is an interested citizen and affiliated with GMO Free Santa Fe. He believes in GMO labeling so people could make their own decisions and is in favor of the resolution.

*Germaine Shenelle Galler*

*Jan Fletcher* said she came regarding the GMO issues and their propensity to induce allergies.

*Mark Winne* arrived at this time.

Chair Mortimer said the FPC should have a public forum on the GMO issues as she is getting e-mails and interest at the meeting. She said the meeting context is difficult to have a large information gathering on one subject, but they could have a community forum.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza offered to send a calendar of the forums to guests. Chair Mortimer added that the FPC also has e-mail list with the agenda for the meetings. She said citizens could always communicate with the Committee in any form and if something is received a week prior to the meeting, the information could be in the Committee packets.

Chair Mortimer opened the floor to guests who would like to comment.

Jim McClure asked if there was anything in writing developed by the Food Policy Council to give to the County. He said the Commissioners with the County all said that FPC is doing the work.

Chair Mortimer said a subcommittee has just begun that work and the research was assigned by the City Council in response to the City's adoption of the resolution. She said the Food Council was not asked to put together information by the County, but they would be happy to do so, if asked.

She said the subcommittee is looking at what is advisable in the policy direction given by the City. The Food Council will look at what action is logical and appropriate at the local level to advance the policy established. She explained that the Food Council does not set policy, however they can make policy recommendations.

She asked Dr. McClure if he talked with anyone who is introducing a policy and was told Commissioner Kathy Holian. Chair Mortimer said the GMO Subcommittee could be directed to talk with Commissioner Holian and staff would be asked to set up a meeting.

Ms. Paisano said also a white paper is being outlined and is still in draft form that would organize the work and discussions. She said it has not been reviewed by the Food Council as a whole.

Mr. McCarty asked if interested citizens could be invited to join the GMO subcommittee. Chair Mortimer replied they could. She provided the meeting schedule and discussed meeting locations.

Dr. McClure mentioned that a worldwide march would be held at the Railyard to march to the Round House against Monsanto. He invited everyone to participate.

#### **F. PRESENTATIONS/TRAININGS – None**

#### **G. STAFF COMMUNICATIONS (Revised Agenda Order)**

##### **1. Action Item Follow-up**

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said she included the list of action item follow-ups from the last meeting, in her monthly report. She would comment as the items came up on the agenda.

She would compile and present a report to the City and the County for the annual updates and asked for the support of anyone interested. The County presentation is scheduled tentatively June 25 and the date still needs to be set for the City. She said she received a direct request from Terrie Rodriguez and this would be a priority and Food Council members would be e-mailed once she has the details of the meeting.

Ms. Roy said she could follow up with Commissioner Holian.

Chair Mortimer said she would be gone the first three weeks of June. She requested Ms. Perry e-mail the Mayor to let him know about the FPC presentation and the details. She explained the City Clerk would let them know when they are approved and on the agenda for the City Council meeting.

*Having established quorum Chair Mortimer returned to the order of the agenda.*

#### **C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

**Ms. Roy moved to approve the agenda as amended. Ms. Perry seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.**

#### **D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES- April, 2013**

**Ms. Roy moved to postpone the approval of the April, 2013 minutes until the June meeting. Ms. Perry seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.**

2. Calendar, Website, SFFPC logo- Not Discussed
3. Potential dates for 'Food Plan Charette- Discussed later.

#### **H. INITIATIVES and ACTIONS**

1. Policy Committee
  - a. Assessment Committee – Food Plan Update
    - i. Stakeholder interview process update

Ms. Paisano said the stakeholder interview process was started and is going well. There is a wealth of information related to policy and understanding the community's vision and relationship with food. She is re-initiating and strengthening the relationships with City Councilors, etc.

Mr. Winne asked that Ms. Paisano give an overview of the Food Plan for the benefit of guests.

Ms. Paisano explained the Food Council is in the process of developing a Food Plan for the City/County of Santa Fe that would have information, a policy recommendation and an action plan. The policy recommendations would come out of a stakeholder interview process reflective of community needs and input. The Food Plan would look at the feasibility of the local food chain, so educated policy recommendations could be made using language that would be relevant and well supported.

She said through the interview process she has connected with Councilor Dominguez on his work for Healthy Communities Initiative. He was excited about the advice on how the policy could be crafted that this would play an educational role for him and his office. They discussed healthy food zones and how to leverage agriculture and other initiatives like park development and land use items.

She said in her interview process she connected with the Reach Committee, a Health Equity Committee. She noted that Patricia Boies and Lynn Walters are on that committee and they were doing the same thing. They agreed to combine their efforts. This is also an opportunity to connect outreach efforts with the FPC and represents the food side of the Health Equity Initiative; related mostly to reducing obesity and increasing access to healthy food within the Hispanic/ Latino population.

Ms. Ribe asked clarification on what is meant by *Hispanic/Latino*. Ms. Paisano explained that was what she was given from the grant. Ms. Boies added this is one of 40 grants across the country and the term is used by CDC (Center for Disease Control.)

Ms. Roy asked if the outreach is also for Pueblo communities within the City and County. Ms. Boies replied she wasn't sure, but she could contact Bonnie Lockner, the project manager.

Ms. Paisano said she developed a time line that will help people understand how the work relates to Upstream and what is currently happening. The interviews would go through the end of June and transition into the policy recommendation.

Ms. Roy thanked Ms. Paisano for her participation in the Santa Fe Farmers Market Initiative.

Ms. Paisano said that was a good opportunity to talk about the Food Plan. She said now is a good time to promote the subcommittees for the GMO conversation.

Mr. Winne said he is interested in highlights of things as they were occurring, rather all of the information at once. Ms. Paisano agreed to send those via e-mail.

Chair Mortimer asked Ms. Paisano to fill out a subcommittee report as a monthly snapshot to refer to.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said they want to tie the Food Plan process and the Upstream together because they are becoming entwined. They often find as discussing the internal organizational goals of both, there is overlap.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said she wants to discuss accessing the expertise on the Food Policy Council, to connect the research with the policy recommendations.

She said one of the first goals is to schedule a charette with Food Council for a half day and have everyone work with the data to generate policy recommendations pertinent to Santa Fe's current Food systems. She said she would like to discuss potential dates in July and Ms. Roy suggested either expanding the July meeting to encompass that and/or a separate day.

Ms. Wiles asked how that would be incorporated with the City/County/ Parks and Trails, etc., the other organizations. She volunteers for more than one community garden and she understands that contributes to the banks (food banks) in the area.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said part of the food Plan is to interview stakeholders in the community and talk with people from the City and Parks Department to get their vision for the future and their perspective for how the spaces could be utilized and what infrastructure is needed and challenges they face and the kind of long-range planning being looked at.

She said it would be key to leverage this in a way that ties to the existing system to support parks programs.

Ms. Paisano said that comes up in conversation with different people from different agencies in nonprofits in the City and is part of what would be embarked on with Councilor Dominguez. She said the Councilor's focus is on healthy development and the area on the Southside. She said how the FPC could support Councilor Dominguez with information is a part of food plan.

Ms. Roy said in addition the Food Council is working with the County and on the Sustainability Plan an injected language about food access issues and now work is being done with the County on the code.

- b. Upstream Process:-
  - ii. Quarterly report

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said she wanted everyone know she participated in a quarterly meeting and submitted the draft milestones and they were received well. Shenetta Martin is pleased with the FPC progress and would like to interview Ms. Roy to highlight the work that is being done.

She said there are other things coming up that are also strategically planned to help address challenges identified by the CCAT survey process.

- c. Food Production and Land Use Committee
  - iii. County Sustainable Land Development Code

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said there's been one meeting with the County and another would be scheduled soon. Work would be done to understand the differences in the existing County code as it supports agriculture and the proposed draft code and where changes would happen.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said the work has been to draft narratives of situations to test the existing code to see holes where things need to be added to support farmers and local food security. She said once they have the models there could be side-by-side comparisons of the old and new code.

d. Procurement

Ms. Roy said Shauna Woodworth and Ms. Paisano met with the school Food Service Directors and worked on an RFP process that is hoped to go statewide. Ms. Roy said she would meet with the State Nutrition to expand the idea. She said the state appropriated \$100,000 to invest in school meals and there would be discussion on how to disseminate the funds equitably.

Ms. Paisano said this is a local bid process that would allow Santa Fe Public Schools to purchase more than \$20,000 local and could buy independent of the Albuquerque Public Schools. This would also pull in some Tribal partners etc. and that could be looked at of how to feed those markets and open eyes to the sovereignty pieces; who would inspect (it couldn't be a County inspector on reservation land) and the important conversations relating to the policy.

She said she and Ms. Woodworth met this week and is a state level initiative and need guidance and what to look at as qualifiers.

Ms. Roy said she would meet with the Director of the School Nutrition Association to continue work. In addition she is also working on Food Safety, because the question about liability issues regarding selling food into the school system always comes up. She said documentation was drafted by Ms. Woodworth and Ms. Paisano to address that and there have been traceability and management trainings with food service directors and farmers across the state over the last few months and that will continue.

Mr. Winne asked if they could start to document growth as it occurs.

Ms. Roy said on the last Farm Bill on they worked on the geographic preference and schools are beginning to utilize that. The schools have the ability to specify in the bid where they would buy from and could pay a little more, but prior to that change in the regulation, the lowest bid had to be taken regardless; unless they fell under the \$20,000. She said the good news is now they use an outreach educational process through policy work at both the local and state level. The Santa Fe Public Schools Food Service got a Federal Community Food Project Grant, which gave the opportunity to start this program in New Mexico.

Ms. Walters said she understood the regulations have to be met in order to sell to school districts. She asked how big of a barrier that is.

Ms. Paisano said that is where Ms. Woodworth has been thinking strategically about building the program. She incorporated an introduction to best practices and translated them to what has to be done and has a packet she could give so farmers don't feel a barrier. She said the challenge is more about distribution points and geography that support product and price.

Ms. Paisano said on the senior side, she met with Ms. Rodriguez who really wants to work on the procurement from the City side and she would follow up with Ms. Rodriguez.

Ms. Wiles said she has school-aged children with allergies and there is a gap in training and quality and federal regulations for school lunch programs. She said a lot of food goes into the trash because kids don't find it edible and she wants to know where the "fresh food, tasty food" is and why it doesn't get on the trays.

Ms. Roy offered to get Ms. Wiles on the New Mexico Food and Agriculture Policy Council e-mail list. She said they have been addressing that at the state and federal level and have done a lot of outreach; working with Cooking with Kids in schools and the School Nutrition Association, etc. to build on the efforts. She said Ms. Paisano and colleagues went into the schools to work with parents, teachers, principals and food service directors on the language of how to approach the policy work, etc. that benefits moving the process along.

Ms. Perry asked Ms. Walters if her work in the schools provided opportunity for hands-on training with the cafeteria cooks etc. She thought that the perfect pathway.

Ms. Walters said there was numerous training. She said if those who cook the food for our children were respected and paid like high end chefs, there would be fabulous food. It is a societal value issue; not to say there is a lot that could be done. She said it is easy to blame the cooks and the cafeteria, but if a way could be found to learn about the process, there is an opportunity to help the system.

Ms. Walters said in terms of the farm to school efforts over the last dozen years, nationally and locally, the schools around the state can now buy local apples and other fruit. The Santa Fe Public Schools buy large amounts of fresh salad greens, fruit in season and a small amount of vegetables.

She said so much about school meals is about meeting regulations and how that could be done. She said they are making headway.

Ms. Roy said she would be happy to include Ms. Wiles when the guidelines happen, if that is an issue they can work on.

- e. Education and Outreach
  - iv. Review pamphlet inserts

Chair Mortimer said the major accomplishment is that the Committee met and looked at the website, and an update of the logo. She said they are working on the annual report as an outreach piece, with the two inserts; one is very general. The other insert is about the community gardens, but the information is old. The inserts were intended to be updated and the information would be changed and printed.

The Committee used to be three members and with Ms. Sopoci-Belknap leaving, is down to two. Chair Mortimer invited anyone interested to join the committee.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said she and Nelsey (?) from Farm to Table have strategized about how to incorporate Food Policy Council into Farm to Table and have more of a relationship between the two.

Ms. Paisano said she would be attending the meetings in the future.

Ms. Bustamante-Bernal said Fridays are difficult for her to make the meeting but she would be interested in joining the committee. Chair Mortimer said they could coordinate after the meeting.



v. SFFPC logo- Not discussed

vi. Events with Home Grown NM & SFFMI Film Series- Not discussed

f. City-County GMO Labeling and Prohibition of Cultivation Resolution Implementation

Chair Mortimer said in the City of Santa Fe the resolution doesn't say they require labeling.

Mr. Winne said he turned in a report (Exhibit 1) and the committee has met twice, the last at the end of April. He said the issue is controversial and complicated and the committee has been looking at supermarket chains like Whole Foods and what they are doing. They are looking at the capacity of the City and County to inspect, monitor, etc. if a resolution is put into law.

The committee is also trying to get a sense of the national expertise from various sources about the risk associated with GMOs and what is heard from other states and counties, etc. where labeling GMOs has been tried.

Mr. Winne said there is opposition and the industry is taking a strong position against the City resolution, but the plan is to come up with a practical approach. He said a great policy could be created, but wouldn't be worth the paper it is written on, if it couldn't be implemented. He would have something for discussion by the full FPC meeting in June.

Ms. Roy noted the packets contain information on Bio (Biotechnology Industry Organization.)

g. National Issues

vii. Farm Bill

Ms. Roy said she has worked on the Farm Bill in the last week. The Senate is working on an amendment and she put together a packet with specific requests from the Food Policy Council. She said she summarized the initiatives taken on by the Senators and Congresswoman Lujan Grisham and some have highlights because they are from the Statewide Food Policy Council. (Exhibit 2)

Ms. Roy said Congressman Lujan Grisham is also working with the farmers and ranchers. She said all of the senators and congressmen signed on and co-sponsored and in some cases are taking a lead. She said on the local bill they are working with, the Food and Jobs Act; a compilation of initiatives about local food; local farms, small farms, community food projects, farmers market food promotion programs, WIC and senior programs at farmers markets, etc.

She said today is the Community Food Project Grant that most benefits the type of work Food Policy Council talks about and is \$5 million a year and mandated. She said Senator Brown is trying to get \$10 million into the program and the bill might not pass, because of the added amount to the funding request.

She would try to get Senator Heinrich to introduce an amendment with the same language, if Senator Brown's amendment fails. Senator Heinrich's bill request would be \$5 million.

Mr. Winne said individually the members could contact the Senator Heinrich's office to ask that he take the lead on the amendment. He noted that New Mexico has benefited in every one of these programs.

Ms. Roy said the letter of the Farm Bill priorities was sent to all of the Senators and Congressmen over a year ago and passed by both the City and County.

Ms. Walters said because of federal funding, SNAP is under attack. She said SNAP education, which largely funds nutrition and education work for children and adults that qualify for food stamps, was cut 28% at the fiscal cliff to keep dairy prices low and could now be cut or possibly eliminated.

Ms. Roy said she has only included the amendments of the Senate Agricultural Committee. She said cutting the program has already been felt in New Mexico and she would follow up on the status.

#### viii. Center for Science and Public Interest

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said the topic was brought up by Mr. Warshawer to see if the FPC was in favor of participating in Food Day or of creating an alternative, etc.

Mr. Winne said that Mr. Warshawer's concern was that CSPI is taking the wrong position on food safety to the detriment of smaller farmers; Mr. Warshawer felt that possibly FPC shouldn't be involved in Food Day.

Chair Mortimer said or the Food Council could have its own Food Day and talk about the issue.

The Council discussed food Day and World Food Day.

Ms. Roy asked that this be a part of the Education Outreach Committee and Mr. Warshawer could be a part of that agenda. Chair Mortimer said she would add the topic on the June agenda as an action item.

## 2. Executive Committee

### a. Executive chair election

Chair Mortimer said this position is actually the chair of the Food Policy Council and the position should have been voted on in April. She said that Mr. McCarty was voted as vice chair recently and would serve for two years. She added, in the interest of full disclosure, she has talked with Susan Perry to see if she would be interested.

Ms. Perry said her biggest concern is her skill set does not include the formal part of running a committee.

Mr. Winne said there are other sources for the more technical aspects of running a meeting.

The Committee discussed meetings and Roberts Rules of Order.

Ms. Perry said there are people who are far more qualified on the Council in understanding the national and political issues, but she has considered the position because capacity building through the Upstream process has cross training members and that is something she is interested in being a part of.

She agreed to give the position a shot, but said she would need a lot of coaching.

**Mr. McCarty nominated Ms. Perry as the chair of the Food Policy Council. Mr. Winne seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.**

Ms. Roy thanked Chair Mortimer for the work she did. She said Ms. Mortimer took on the lion's share of the FPC from the beginning and helped to move things along.

Chair Mortimer said she hopes now to spend more time on subcommittee work. Mr. McCarty added that in the Kitchen Angels they found it helpful for the past chair to sit in on the Executive Committee for at least a year.

**b. Replacement Suggestions for Emergency Food Service**

Chair Mortimer said this position was previously held by Sherry Ms. Hooper.

Mr. McCarty said the suggested candidate was Isabelle Ribe who runs the emergency food program for Adelante.

Ms. Paisano said she has talked with Ms. Ribe and asked her to attend the meeting and get an idea of their work. Ms. Ribe had to leave early today, but they have talked about requirements. She said this is a good opportunity to diversify and Ms. Ribe would bring an introspective to the table because she represents the immigrant population in Santa Fe that is hard to contact.

Ms. Paisano offered to follow up with Ms. Ribe and said she is aware that her resume and a letter of interest would be needed.

**c. Replacement Suggestions for Community Member**

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said the effort was headed by Mr. Warshawer. Ms. Salinas had e-mailed the list of the Estancia Basin members, but she isn't sure the status.

Ms. Roy said several on the list that serve on the Estancia Basin Board live outside Santa Fe County in Torrance.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said she would resend the list later today. Ms. Roy agreed to follow up with Mr. Warshawer.

Ms. Paisano suggested that the Food Council also investigate Communities for Clean Water for membership, since they are from the Upstream Collaborative. She thought someone from Nambe Pueblo would have an interesting perspective on the Council's work and the water as it relates to the other projects.

Ms. Roy agreed to follow up with Ms. Paisano and have a recommendation for the next meeting.

**d. Community Outreach Coordinator**

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said they are starting to hone in on their position regarding the core Food Council as an advisory group. The focus is on building relationships that are more programmatic and developing a task force of interested parties whose time commitment would not be as high, similar to a technical advisory group.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said in thinking about the capacity to coordinate that; her role is internal operations for the Council and is now moving beyond the scope of the position's capacity. She said it is recommended there be a Community Outreach Coordinator position. That person would be complementary to what she does and have direct coordination with the new task group. She asked Ms. Paisano to speak about that position.

Chair Mortimer explained that the person under consideration is Ms. Paisano.

Ms. Paisano said her background is in education outreach community development and community management. She said the advisory group is about making this accessible and pulling policy to a place of education and the first step is educating people why they need to believe in government. There would be an opportunity to harness different opinions and make culturally appropriate, and she is a fluent Spanish speaker.

She said they would continue the process of the stakeholder interviews. This would be an opportunity to start building trust relationships and get involved with other initiatives such as the Reach Initiative and make sure there is capacity to be present at other committees, etc.

Chair Mortimer said funding the position has been discussed. She asked Ms. Roy to talk about that.

Ms. Roy said they discussed using part of the funding from the Upstream Grant and funding from the City/County and to look for other funding as well. She said also a question is if the position was half-time; the Council would have two half time positions; and if there is enough funding as Ms. Paisano transitions out of her AmeriCorps position in July, to contract her to work on the Food Plan.

Ms. Roy said Farm to Table recently built Ms. Paisano into a Farm to School Grant, but they won't know [outcome] until January. She said another question is how much time this position would be needed. There are some immediate resources to take them to the end of the year.

Chair Mortimer said the Council would know about City and possibly County funding next month. Ms. Roy added she signed the current County funding contract.

Mr. Winne said he would really like to see what the total plan looks like on the positions and what Ms. Paisano currently does and would be doing later. He would like something more comprehensive.

Mr. McCarty said given the almost disaster of the transition between the third survey and the Food Plan, Ms. Paisano has done a remarkable job bringing the Food Plan into focus and completing someone else's work. He said continuity is very important and if there are funds to have someone devoted to the implementation of the Food Plan- no one else is going to put it into action. He said part of the focus of the Food Plan should be life beyond the written plan.

Ms. Perry said she believes that's what the charette concept is about. She said the group could spend an hour talking about the Upstream tasks and where that is going and how that fits in. She thought that would give a better sense of what the position is about.

Ms. Roy said they could do this two-stage. She said on Mr. Winne's request; she could work on a plan and in the immediate, there is the opportunity to keep Ms. Paisano part time. She said she would reiterate what Mr. McCarty said, that this is so important. She would be worried if there isn't continuity.

Ms. Walters said it would also strengthen the work to have two staff people, as long as there is a funding plan. She suggested there be a job description.

Ms. Paisano said once the Food Council gets into the Upstream process that would also be a piece of this strategically, in talking about the food action plan. That would put light on the roles that need to be played and the deliverables with that type of work.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said the collaboration she and Ms. Paisano have and their discussions are helpful. She said with the CCAP a summary of the areas was sent that they hope to collectively work on, such as programmatic resources that include staff adaptability and capacity building.

Chair Mortimer said that is on the next agenda as an action item.

Mr. Winne said he would like to see the big picture; not just one type of work versus another type. He wants to see the job inventory or skill inventory. He said it felt that the Council was looking to be more expedient than strategic. He preferred not to hire a certain position or give it a certain title now, but see what all the needs are.

Mr. McCarty said the timeline goes through September of 2013 and Ms. Paisano is here until the end of July. He said this is one of the most important things being worked on by the Food Council.

Ms. Lloyd Ortigoza said she started a process diagram and she would have that for the next meeting.

#### **I. ITEMS FROM THE CHAIR**

Chair Mortimer said as the outgoing chair, she wanted to thank everyone for their work and support over the years she has done this. She said as the members get back into subcommittee reports and staff reports there were many that came in at the 11<sup>th</sup> hour. She said it is helpful if they would give themselves the deadline of a week before the meeting to turn in the reports and those things happening in the last week could be reported on verbally.

She explained that the less handouts the better, because if not included in the packet the City Clerk's office could be asking for it years from now when the minutes are audited. Logistically it is easier if in the packet.

#### **J. ITEMS FROM THE COUNCIL- None**

#### **K. ADJOURNMENT & NEXT MEETING: June 27, 2013**


*Having no further business to discuss the meeting adjourned at 11:00 a.m.*

Approved by:



Katherine Mortimer, Chair

Submitted by:



Charmaine Clair, Stenographer

**GMO \_\_\_\_\_ Committee**  
*[date]*

**Attendance:** Mark, Katherine, Alena, Erin, and Elizabeth

**Accomplishments:** Held a meeting on April 29

**Committee Action Items:** Continue research

**Needs of the Committee from the Council:** A full discussion of the item for its June meeting

**Needs of the Committee from Other Committees:** None

**Needs of the Committee from Staff:** Continued research

**Next Meeting Date:** May 23

**Respectfully submitted by:**

Mark Winne, Committee Chair

## **MORTIMER, KATHERINE E.**

---

**From:** Elisabeth Salinas [esalinas@co.santa-fe.nm.us]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 22, 2013 11:13 PM  
**To:** Mark Winne; MORTIMER, KATHERINE E.; alena.paisano@gmail.com; charmiecw@aol.com; treehouse@treehousepastry.com; pamelaroy@aol.com  
**Subject:** RE: Opposition to Resolution Dealing with GMO Labeling & Crops  
**Attachments:** ag census data santa fe county.xlsx

Dear all,

First off, Katherine, I apologize for the late notice but I'm not going to be at tomorrow's meeting. By our meeting tomorrow, I'll be less than 24-hours into a 2-week antibiotic cycle and I don't want to get anyone sick.

I did, though, want to pass on some of the deliverables I promised when our subcommittee last met.

1. County capacity to enforce GMO labeling/prohibition is very limited and would likely only apply to a prohibitin. Assuming that these proposals were made law, it's unlikely that the County would ever inspect for type-of-seed in the context of permit review (doing so would require that permit applications neatly coincided with the growing season). Ban on the sale of GMOs might be more viable context for enforcement, but I'm not sure what the legal or other real consequences of that are. Enforcement after the \*planting\* fact is even stickier. Currently the County has 3 code enforcement officers responsible for attending to code violations across our County. As you might imagine, the County has no schedule for preventive/proactive enforcement and only initiates compliance investigations and code enforcement action or system after complaints from neighbors so even if legislation was passed and the County Land Use Department was given the authority to enforce the legislation, it might not succeed in eradicating GMOs. I hope that muddy bit of context helps.

2. At our last meeting we discussed the need to figure out what is being grown across the County and how much is likely associated with GMOs as either feed or crop. Attached is a spreadsheet showing how much acreage of particular crops/livestock production existed in Santa Fe County in 1997 and 2007, which we can use to guesstimate how much GMO exists in our County.

Thanks,

---

**From:** Mark Winne [win5m@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 22, 2013 6:36 PM  
**To:** kemortimer@ci.santa-fe.nm.us; alena.paisano@gmail.com; charmiecw@aol.com; Elisabeth Salinas; eballon.fourbridges@live.com; eballon@pueblooftesuque.org; katlynjennings@gmail.com; lwalters@cookingwithkids.net; treehouse@treehousepastry.com; Martin A. Vigil; crpadilla@ci.santa-fe.nm.us; pamelaroy@aol.com; Patricia A. Boies; sjperry@ci.santa-fe.nm.us; mnsanchez@ci.santa-fe.nm.us; stevew@plateautel.net; sfangels@qwest.net  
**Subject:** Re: Opposition to Resolution Dealing with GMO Labeling & Crops

GMO \_\_\_\_\_ Committee  
[date]

Attendance: Mark, Katherine, Alena, Erin, and Elizabeth



Accomplishments: Held a meeting on April 29

Committee Action Items: Continue research

Needs of the Committee from the Council: A full discussion of the item for its June meeting

Needs of the Committee from Other Committees: None

Needs of the Committee from Staff: Continued research

Next Meeting Date: May 23

Respectfully submitted by:

Mark Winne, Committee Chair Mark Winne

41 Arroyo Hondo Trail

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87508

(505) 983-3047; mobile (860) 558-8226

email: win5m@aol.com

www.markwinne.com

Author of Food Rebels, Guerrilla Gardeners, and Smart-Cookin' Mamas: Fighting Back in an Age of Industrial Agriculture (Beacon Press 2010) -----Original Message-----

From: MORTIMER, KATHERINE E. <kemortimer@ci.santa-fe.nm.us>

To: Alena Paisano <alena.paisano@gmail.com>; Charmaine Clair <charmiecw@aol.com>; Elizabeth Salinas <esalinas@co.santa-fe.nm.us>; Emigdio Ballon <eballon.fourbridges@live.com>; Emigdio Ballon 2 <eballon@pueblooftesuque.org>; Katlyn Jennings <katlynjennings@gmail.com>; Lynn Walters <lwalters@cookingwithkids.net>; Maria Bustamante-Bernal

<treehouse@treehousepastry.com>; Mark Winne <win5m@aol.com>; Martin Vigil

<mavigil@santafecounty.org>; PADILLA, CINDY R. <crpadilla@ci.santa-fe.nm.us>; Pam Roy

<pamelaroy@aol.com>; Patricia Boies <pboies@co.santa-fe.nm.us>; PERRY, SUSAN J.

<sjperry@ci.santa-fe.nm.us>; SANCHEZ, MANUEL N. <mnsanchez@ci.santa-fe.nm.us>; Steve

Warshawer <stevew@plateautel.net>; Tony McCarty <sfangels@qwest.net>

Sent: Wed, May 22, 2013 4:29 pm

Subject: FW: Opposition to Resolution Dealing with GMO Labeling & Crops

FYI:

Katherine Mortimer

Sustainable Santa Fe Programs Manager

Environmental Service Division

Public Utilities Department

City of Santa Fe

(505) 955-2262

From: Trujillo, Anthony J. [mailto:AJT@gknet.com<mailto:AJT@gknet.com?>]

Sent: Wednesday, May 22, 2013 4:28 PM

To: BUSHEE, PATTI J.; WURZBURGER, REBECCA D.; DOMINGUEZ, CARMICHAEL A.; TRUJILLO, RONALD S.;

CALVERT, CHRIS; IVES, PETER N.; RIVERA, CHRISTOPHER M.; DIMAS, BILL;

dmayfield@santafecountynm.gov<mailto:dmayfield@santafecountynm.gov>;

mchavez@santafecountynm.gov<mailto:mchavez@santafecountynm.gov>;

ranaya@santafecountynm.gov<mailto:ranaya@santafecountynm.gov>;

kholian@santafecountynm.gov<mailto:kholian@santafecountynm.gov>;

lstefanics@santafecountynm.gov<mailto:lstefanics@santafecountynm.gov>; MAYOR

Cc: MORTIMER, KATHERINE E.; ROMERO, ROBERT P.; ZAMORA, GENO I.;

countymanager@santafecountynm.gov<mailto:countymanager@santafecountynm.gov>;

rgurule@santafecountynm.gov<mailto:rgurule@santafecountynm.gov>

Subject: Opposition to Resolution Dealing with GMO Labeling & Crops

Dear Mayor Coss, Councilors, and Commissioners:

On behalf of the Biotechnology Industry Organization (BIO), along with support from several associations, I hereby submit on behalf of my client, BIO, the attached information setting forth opposition dealing with efforts to mandate labeling of foods derived from genetically engineered crops and to enact a prohibition against such crops. In addition, I respectfully request that such information be distributed to the Santa Fe City and County Advisory Council on Food Policy for its consideration.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at your convenience.

Respectfully Submitted,

Anthony (T.J.) J. Trujillo

[cid:image001.gif@01CE5709.2C759A70]

Anthony (T.J.) J. Trujillo  
Gallagher & Kennedy, P.A.  
1233 Paseo de Peralta  
Santa Fe, NM 87501  
Phone: 505-982-9523  
Cell: 505-231-0504  
Fax: 505-983-8160  
E-mail: [ajt@gknet.com](mailto:ajt@gknet.com)<<mailto:ajt@gknet.com>>  
Web site: [www.gknet.com](http://www.gknet.com)<<http://www.gknet.com>>  
Attorney Profile<<http://www.gknet.com/attorneyprofile.aspx?id=112>>

This message and any of the attached documents contain information from the law firm of Gallagher & Kennedy, P.A. that may be confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you may not read, copy, distribute, or use this information, and no privilege has been waived by your inadvertent receipt. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message. Thank you.

Program	Year	County	Data Type	Designation	Value
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	ANIMAL TOTALS, INCL PRODUCTS - OPERATIONS WITH SALES	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED 271
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	ANIMAL TOTALS, INCL PRODUCTS - SALES, MEASURED IN \$	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED 6,289,000
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	BEANS, SNAP ACRES HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED (D)
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	BEANS, SNAP OPERATIONS WITH AREA HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED 7
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	BEDDING PLANT TOTALS, IN THE OPEN - ACRES IN PRODUCTION	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED 6
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	BEDDING PLANT TOTALS, UNDER PROTECTION SQ FT IN PRODUCTION	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED 192,600
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, CALVES - OPERATIONS WITH SALES	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED 127

CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, CALVES - SALES, MEASURED IN HEAD	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	3,691
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, GE 500 LBS - OPERATIONS WITH SALES	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	116
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, GE 500 LBS - SALES, MEASURED IN HEAD	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	5,907
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES - OPERATIONS WITH SALES	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	181
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES - SALES, MEASURED IN \$	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	5,391,000
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES - SALES, MEASURED IN HEAD	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	9,598
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, ON FEED - OPERATIONS WITH SALES FOR SLAUGHTER	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	16
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, ON FEED - SALES FOR SLAUGHTER, MEASURED IN HEAD	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	(D)
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CHICKENS, BROILERS - OPERATIONS WITH SALES	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	1

CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CHICKENS, BROILERS - SALES, MEASURED IN HEAD	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	(D)
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CHICKENS, LAYERS & PULLETS - OPERATIONS WITH SALES	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	3
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CHICKENS, LAYERS & PULLETS - SALES, MEASURED IN HEAD	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	50
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CHICKENS, LAYERS - OPERATIONS WITH SALES	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	3
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CHICKENS, LAYERS - SALES, MEASURED IN HEAD	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	50
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CORN, SILAGE - ACRES HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	3,745
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CORN, SILAGE - OPERATIONS WITH AREA HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	6
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CORN, SILAGE - PRODUCTION , MEASURED IN TONS	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	82,580
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CORN, SILAGE, IRRIGATED - ACRES HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	3,745

CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CORN, SILAGE, IRRIGATED - OPERATIONS WITH AREA HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	6
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CROP TOTALS - OPERATIONS WITH SALES	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	96
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CROP TOTALS - SALES, MEASURED IN \$	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	8,507,000
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CUCUMBERS - ACRES HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	2
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CUCUMBERS - OPERATIONS WITH AREA HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	4
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	FLORICULTU RE TOTALS, IN THE OPEN ACRES IN PRODUCTION	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	(D)
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	FLORICULTU RE TOTALS, UNDER PROTECTION SQ FT IN PRODUCTION	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	249,700

CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	FLOWERING PLANTS, POTTED, INDOOR, UNDER PROTECTION SQ FT IN PRODUCTION	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	(D)
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	FRUIT & TREE NUT TOTALS - OPERATIONS WITH SALES	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	24
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	FRUIT & TREE NUT TOTALS - SALES, MEASURED IN \$	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	40,000
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HAY, ALFALFA - ACRES HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	3,568
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HAY, ALFALFA - OPERATIONS WITH AREA HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	94
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HAY, ALFALFA - PRODUCTION, MEASURED IN TONS	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	11,573
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HAY, ALFALFA, IRRIGATED - ACRES HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	3,470
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HAY, ALFALFA, IRRIGATED - OPERATIONS WITH AREA HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	91

CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HAY, SMALL GRAIN - ACRES HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	371
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HAY, SMALL GRAIN - OPERATIONS WITH AREA HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	8
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HAY, SMALL GRAIN - PRODUCTION , MEASURED IN TONS	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	841
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HAY, SMALL GRAIN, IRRIGATED - ACRES HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	351
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HAY, SMALL GRAIN, IRRIGATED - OPERATIONS WITH AREA HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	7
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HAY, TAME, (EXCL ALFALFA & SMALL GRAIN) - ACRES HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	647
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HAY, TAME, (EXCL ALFALFA & SMALL GRAIN) - OPERATIONS WITH AREA HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	16



CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HAY, TAME, (EXCL ALFALFA & SMALL GRAIN) - PRODUCTION , MEASURED IN TONS	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	526
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HAY, TAME, (EXCL ALFALFA & SMALL GRAIN), IRRIGATED - ACRES HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	597
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HAY, TAME, (EXCL ALFALFA & SMALL GRAIN), IRRIGATED - OPERATIONS WITH AREA HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	15
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HOGS - OPERATIONS WITH SALES	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	8
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HOGS - SALES, MEASURED IN \$	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	5,000
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HOGS - SALES, MEASURED IN HEAD	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	51
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HORTICULTU RE TOTALS, (EXCL CUT TREES), IN THE OPEN, IRRIGATED - ACRES IN PRODUCTION	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	23

CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HORTICULTURE TOTALS, (EXCL CUT TREES), IN THE OPEN, IRRIGATED - OPERATIONS WITH AREA IN PRODUCTION	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	18
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	MILK, INCL OTHER DAIRY PRODUCTS - OPERATIONS WITH SALES	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	1
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	MILK, INCL OTHER DAIRY PRODUCTS - SALES, MEASURED IN \$	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	(D)
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	NURSERY TOTALS, IN THE OPEN - ACRES IN PRODUCTION	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	12
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	NURSERY TOTALS, UNDER PROTECTION SQ FT IN PRODUCTION	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	(D)
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	ORCHARDS - ACRES	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	225
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	ORCHARDS - NUMBER OF OPERATIONS	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	63
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	ORCHARDS, IRRIGATED - ACRES	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	177

CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	ORCHARDS, IRRIGATED - NUMBER OF OPERATIONS	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	56
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	POULTRY TOTALS, INCL EGGS - OPERATIONS WITH SALES	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	19
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	POULTRY TOTALS, INCL EGGS - SALES, MEASURED IN \$	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	24,000
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	POULTRY, OTHER, INCL DUCKS & GEESE - OPERATIONS WITH SALES	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	5
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	PUMPKINS - ACRES HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	(D)
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	PUMPKINS - OPERATIONS WITH AREA HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	4
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	SHEEP, INCL LAMBS - OPERATIONS WITH SALES	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	22
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	SHEEP, INCL LAMBS - SALES, MEASURED IN HEAD	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	213
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	SQUASH - ACRES HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	12

CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	SQUASH - OPERATIONS WITH AREA HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	7
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	SWEET CORN - ACRES HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	43
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	SWEET CORN - OPERATIONS WITH AREA HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	8
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	TURKEYS - OPERATIONS WITH SALES	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	3
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	TURKEYS - SALES, MEASURED IN HEAD	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	(D)
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	VEGETABLE TOTALS, IN THE OPEN - ACRES HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	144
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	VEGETABLE TOTALS, IN THE OPEN - ACRES IN PRODUCTION	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	143
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	VEGETABLE TOTALS, IN THE OPEN - OPERATIONS WITH AREA HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	25
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	VEGETABLE TOTALS, IN THE OPEN - OPERATIONS WITH AREA IN PRODUCTION	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	25

CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	VEGETABLE TOTALS, IN THE OPEN, IRRIGATED - ACRES IN PRODUCTION	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	143
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	VEGETABLE TOTALS, IN THE OPEN, IRRIGATED - OPERATIONS WITH AREA IN PRODUCTION	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	25
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	VEGETABLES, MIXED - ACRES HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	12
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	VEGETABLES, MIXED - OPERATIONS WITH AREA HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	5
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	WHEAT - ACRES HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	(D)
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	WHEAT - OPERATIONS WITH AREA HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	4
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	WHEAT - PRODUCTION , MEASURED IN BU	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	(D)
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	WHEAT, IRRIGATED - ACRES HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	(D)
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	WHEAT, IRRIGATED - OPERATIONS WITH AREA HARVESTED	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	4

CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	WOOL - OPERATIONS WITH PRODUCTION	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	21
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	WOOL - PRODUCTION , MEASURED IN LB	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	1,513
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, COWS - INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	7,336
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, COWS - OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	162
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, COWS, BEEF - INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	(D)
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, COWS, BEEF - OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	158
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, COWS, MILK - INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	(D)
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, COWS, MILK - OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	6
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF CATTLE	INVENTORY OF CATTLE: (1 TO 9 HEAD)	433

CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF CATTLE	INVENTORY OF CATTLE: (10 TO 19 HEAD)	535
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF CATTLE	INVENTORY OF CATTLE: (100 TO 199 HEAD)	1,137
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF CATTLE	INVENTORY OF CATTLE: (20 TO 49 HEAD)	1,843
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF CATTLE	INVENTORY OF CATTLE: (200 TO 499 HEAD)	3,059
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF CATTLE	INVENTORY OF CATTLE: (50 TO 99 HEAD)	876
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF CATTLE	INVENTORY OF CATTLE: (500 OR MORE HEAD)	12,668
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	20,551
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF CATTLE	INVENTORY OF CATTLE: (1 TO 9 HEAD)	94
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF CATTLE	INVENTORY OF CATTLE: (10 TO 19 HEAD)	41

CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF CATTLE	INVENTORY OF CATTLE: (100 TO 199 HEAD)	8
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF CATTLE	INVENTORY OF CATTLE: (20 TO 49 HEAD)	57
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF CATTLE	INVENTORY OF CATTLE: (200 TO 499 HEAD)	10
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF CATTLE	INVENTORY OF CATTLE: (50 TO 99 HEAD)	13
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF CATTLE	INVENTORY OF CATTLE: (500 OR MORE HEAD)	4
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CATTLE, INCL CALVES OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	227
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CHICKENS, BROILERS - INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	53



CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CHICKENS, BROILERS - OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	6
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CHICKENS, LAYERS - INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	705
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	CHICKENS, LAYERS - OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	52
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HOGS - INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF HOGS	INVENTORY OF HOGS: (1 TO 24 HEAD)	(D)
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HOGS - INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF HOGS	INVENTORY OF HOGS: (25 TO 49 HEAD)	(D)
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HOGS - INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	125
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HOGS - OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF HOGS	INVENTORY OF HOGS: (1 TO 24 HEAD)	12
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HOGS - OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	INVENTORY OF HOGS	INVENTORY OF HOGS: (25 TO 49 HEAD)	2
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HOGS - OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	14
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HOGS, BREEDING - INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	(D)

CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HOGS, BREEDING - OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	2
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HOGS, MARKET - INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED (D)	
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	HOGS, MARKET - OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	14
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	POULTRY TOTALS - OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	75
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	POULTRY, OTHER, INCL DUCKS & GEESE - OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	42
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	SHEEP, EWES, BREEDING, GE 1 YEAR - INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	205
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	SHEEP, EWES, BREEDING, GE 1 YEAR - OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	24
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	SHEEP, INCL LAMBS - INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	349

CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	SHEEP, INCL LAMBS - OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	27
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	TURKEYS - INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	68
CENSUS	1997	SANTA FE	TURKEYS - OPERATIONS WITH INVENTORY	TOTAL	NOT SPECIFIED	5



*Promoting locally based  
agriculture through  
education, community  
outreach and networking.*

EXHIBIT 2  
Food Policy Council  
May 23, 2013

May 23, 2013

From: Pam Roy, Farm to Table and the  
NM Food and Agriculture Policy Council

To: Santa Fe Food Policy Council

Re: Farm Bill

Dear Santa Fe Food Policy Council Colleagues:

The Farm Bill discussions are in full swing. Our New Mexico delegation are doing an excellent job of taking leadership on many of our requested priorities and those of the New Mexico Food and Agriculture Policy Councils, and numerous other groups we work with. Herein is some of the recent progress.

**As per the information that follows there are some immediate things we could do.**

- 1) Request that Senator Heinrich draft an amendment in support of Senator Brown's amendment in case this amendment doesn't pass.**
- 2) Send a note to all of our New Mexico Congressional delegation thanking them for their leadership and for addressing our requests.**

Senator Sherrod Brown (D-OH) will be introducing an amendment today that includes several great provisions including:

- 1) Fix to Community Food Project language and increased funding to \$10m/year in mandatory funds
- 2) Increase Senior Farmers Market Nutrition Program mandatory funding
- 3) Funding for FoodCorps
- 4) Increased funding for Value Added Producer Grants
- 5) Increased funding for Farmers' Market and Local Food Promotion Grants

The members whose names are highlighted below have already signed on as co-sponsors of the amendment and we need help FAST to get the remaining Democrats signed on as cosponsors AND to tell Senator Stabenow's staff that getting a vote on this amendment is a top priority for them. This amendment includes several pieces of the Local Farms, Food and Jobs Act.

618 B Paseo de Peralta  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501  
505-473-1004  
fax 505-473-3421  
[www.farmtotablenm.org](http://www.farmtotablenm.org)

<b>Member</b>	<b>Ag Staff Contact</b>
Sen Casey, Robert P., Jr. [D]	Liz Hermsen
Sen Cowan, William M. [D]	Clare Sierawski
Sen Durbin, Richard [D]	Trevor Reuschel
Sen Gillibrand, Kirsten E. [D]	Kathryn Tanner
Sen Harkin, Tom [D]	Mark Halverson
Sen Heinrich, Martin [D]	Dan Alpert
Sen King, Angus S. Jr. [I]	Marge Kilkelly
Sen Leahy, Patrick J. [D]	Adrienne Wojciechowski
Sen Merkley, Jeff [D]	Adrian Deveny
Sen Mikulski, Barbara A. [D]	Brigid Houton (with Approps Staff)
Sen Reed, Jack [D]	Diana Bowen
Sen Sanders, Bernard [I]	Leah Drew (Dairy and Sustainable) Lori Kearns (Hunger Nutrition & Food Safety)
Sen Schatz, Brian [D]	Paige Heckathorn
Sen Shaheen, Jeanne [D]	Erica Anhalt
Sen Tester, Jon [D]	Nathan Taylor
Sen Udall, Tom [D]	Jeanette Lukens
Sen Warren, Elizabeth [D]	Bruno Freitas
Sen Whitehouse, Sheldon [D]	Regan Fitzgerald
Sen Wyden, Ron [D]	Andre Nakazawa
Sen. Baldwin	Colleene Benson
Sen. Merkley	Adrian Deveny
Sen. Hagan	Aaron Suntag
Sen. Blumenthal	Jeff Long
Sen. Murray	Jaime Shimeck
Sen. Franken	Ali Nouri
Sen. Carper	Laura Haynes
Sen. Cardin	Josh Klein
Sen. Cantwell	Paul Wolfe
Sen. Hirono	Pat Devney
Sen. Schumer	Sean Byrne

**Below is additional amendment support that and information about our Congressional Leadership progress:**

**AMENDMENTS TO SUPPORT**

**Title II: Conservation**

- SA 1049 – **Udall-Heinrich** – Irrigation Efficiency and Water Conservation

The Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) currently provides payments to producers who want to improve their irrigation systems; however, it does not explicitly link such practices to water conservation. This amendment would ensure that USDA payments for irrigation efficiency also generate water conservation benefits, such as enhanced in-stream flow and water storage.

- **SA 1058 – Whitehouse-Udall – Conservation Payments for Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation**

The Regional Conservation Partnership Program (RCPP) is an innovative program that encourages non-federal partners to come together with farmers and ranchers to tackle local conservation needs. Through such partnerships, RCPP helps producers address resource concerns such as water quality and wildlife habitat. In the face of ongoing drought and more frequent and extreme weather events, this amendment would add climate change mitigation and adaptation as an eligible activity within RCPP.

#### **Title VI: Rural Development**

- **SA 1088 – Brown-Blumenthal – Food and Agriculture Market Development, Entrepreneurship, and Education**

Increasing markets for farmers and increasing access to healthy food contribute to strong communities and a thriving economy. This amendment would provide much-needed funding for and/or make important technical policy changes to the Value-Added Producer Grants, Business & Industry Loans for Local Food Enterprises, Farmers Market and Local Food Promotion Program, Community Food Program, and Senior Farmers Market Nutrition Program.

- **SA 978 – Merkley-Tester-Blumenthal-Begich-Heinrich-Boxer – Repeal of Biotechnology Rider in the Continuing Resolution**

The Continuing Resolution passed by Congress earlier this year contained a provision that strips federal courts of the authority to halt the sale or planting of biotechnology products that have not been adequately reviewed for their economic and environmental impacts. This amendment would strike that harmful provision.

- **SA 1055 – Udall-Heinrich – Socially Disadvantaged and Veteran Producer Training**

The Outreach and Assistance for Socially Disadvantaged Farmers and Ranchers program is a historic program that provides training and technical assistance to African-American, American-Indian, Asian-American, and Latino farmers and ranchers. The Committee-passed bill expands program eligibility requirements to include veteran farmers and ranchers and yet decreases annual funding. This amendment would restore funding of \$17 million per year in order to serve both the traditional and new producers now eligible for the program.

**Congresswoman Michelle Lujan-Grishem sponsored the Socially Disadvantaged Farmers and Ranchers amendment for the farm bill however it did not pass committee. She will continue**

to work on this. She is also cosponsoring the Local Farms, Food, and Jobs Act of 2013 as well as the Beginning Farmer and Rancher Opportunity Act of 2013.

**Additional information of amendments that may be of interest:**

**Udall (NM) Receipt for Service – SUPPORT:** This amendment adds authority to require the issuance of a receipt for service or denial of service to any current or prospective participant in USDA programs serving farmers and ranchers as operated by the Farm Service Agency, the Natural Resources and Conservation Service and in any other USDA program directly serving producers. In a time of tight resources, a receipt for service will help assure that all farmers and ranchers receive clear information on programs available to them and what they need to do to access them, and verify that information has been provided.

**Udall (NM) EQIP Acequia Language – SUPPORT:** This amendment would allow USDA to make alternate payment arrangements so members of irrigation associations including acequias could receive support for conservation practices through their association so long as the payment limit for any individual producers in the association is not exceeded.

**Udall (NM) EQIP Irrigation Water Saving – SUPPORT:** This amendment would strengthen the requirements for producers to use EQIP irrigation funds for true water savings.



**Gillibrand (NY) (#931) SNAP Restoration:** This Gillibrand amendment would restore \$4.1 billion ten year funding to avoid the “Heat and Eat” cut that would undermine the linkages between SNAP and Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) benefits.

**Gillibrand (NY) – Restore Funds to SNAP Nutrition Title – SUPPORT:** This amendment restores the \$4 billion ten year funding cut to the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) to avoid the “Heat and Eat” cut that would undermine the linkages between SNAP and Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) benefits.



**Boxer (CA) GE Labeling Amendment – SUPPORT:** This amendment expresses the sense of the Senate that the United States should join 64 other nations in giving their consumers the right to know whether there are genetically engineered ingredients in their food. At least 93 percent of Americans want to know whether there are GE ingredients in their food, regardless of race, income, education, or party affiliation and 26 states are moving to require GE labeling.