

## ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE HEARING

**THURSDAY, DECEMBER 6, 2012 – 4:30 P.M.** 

#### CITY COUNCILORS' CONFERENCE ROOM

## CITY HALL, 200 LINCOLN AVENUE, SANTA FE

- A. CALL TO ORDER
- B. ROLL CALL
- C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

November 15, 2012

- E. ACTION ITEMS
  - 1) <u>Case#AR-27-12</u>. Consideration of proposed archaeological test excavation plan covering the approximately 1.6 acres of the Judge Steve Herrera Judicial Complex, located in the Historic Downtown Archaeological Review District. The request is made by the Office of Archaeological Studies for the Santa Fe County Public Works Division.
  - 2) <u>Case#AR-28-12</u>. Consideration of a negative survey abstract covering a proposed 2,321'-long trench for installation of a cable line. The project is located near the southeast quadrant of the St. Francis and St. Michaels Drive intersection, located in the Suburban Archaeological Review District. The request is made by the Office of Archaeological Studies for the Public Service Company of New Mexico.
- F. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS
- G. COMMUNICATIONS
- H. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE
- I. BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR
- J. ADJOURNMENT

Persons with disabilities in need of accommodations, contact the City Clerk's office at 955-6520 five (5) working days prior to meeting date

# Index Summary of Minutes Archaeological Review Committee Hearing December 6, 2012

<u>INDEX</u>	ACTION TAKEN	PAGE(S)
Cover Page		1
Call to Order	Mr. Eck called the	2
	meeting of the	
	Archaeological Review	
	Committee to order at	
	4:30 pm.	
Roll Call	A quorum was declared by	2
	verbal roll call.	
Review and Approval of Agenda	Member Pierce moved to	2
	approve the agenda of	
	December 6, 2012 as	
	presented, second by	
	Member Ivey, motion	
	carried by unanimous	
	voice vote.	
Annuarial of Minutes	36 1 36 1	2.2
Approval of Minutes November 15, 2012	Member Monahan moved	2-3
November 13, 2012	to approve the minutes as	
Corrections:	amended, second by	
Page 7: 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph <del>Loan</del> Lone	Member Funkhouser,	
Mountain	motion carried by	
	unanimous voice vote.	
Males in the minutes were referred to by		
either Member or Mr., Females were		
referred to by first name and they should		
be referred to respectfully as Ms. or		
Member and name.		
Section $F$ – Administrative Matters: $2^{nd}$		
paragraph ends with the word analogy		
should be strategy		
3 <sup>rd</sup> paragraph, last sentence. I will check		
up on that.		
Mr. Pierce moved to approve the minutes		
of November 1, 2012 as amended, second		
by Mr. Ivey, motion carried by unanimous		
voice vote.		
rolle role.		
Page 3, 4 <sup>th</sup> paragraph: That Chair asked		
Member Ivey if he had anything to offer		
on this particular case. Member Ivey: No		
on this particular case. Member Ivey. 140		

# Index Summary of Minutes Archaeological Review Committee Hearing **December 6, 2012**

8 <sup>th</sup> paragraph: Ms. Deyloff stated that they are not removing the easement requested that it be contracted.  9 <sup>th</sup> paragraph, 4 <sup>th</sup> line: impact intact midden. There is still that upper seven VII that we talk about.  Page 4, second paragraph, line 3: we did find an intact midden 3 <sup>rd</sup> paragraph, 2 <sup>nd</sup> line: she was not comfortable leaving them with and having to deal with excavation  Page 5, first paragraph, second line: Everything under here is basically elinical mechanical fills.  Fourth paragraph, 3 <sup>rd</sup> line: in to into Ninth paragraph, 2 <sup>nd</sup> line. Add period after noted. Thank you,  Page 6: Member Monahan requested that comment by each person be moved to the margins.		
Action Items  Case #AR-27-12. Consideration of proposed archeological test excavation plan covering the approximately 1.6 acres of the Judge Steve Herrera Judicial Complex, located in the Historic Downtown Archaeological Review District. The request is made by the Office of Archaeological Studies for the Santa Fe County Public Works Division.	Member Pierce moved to approve <u>Case #AR-27-12</u> . Consideration of proposed archeological test excavation plan covering the approximately 1.6 acres of the Judge Steve Herrera Judicial Complex, located in the Historic Downtown Archaeological Review District with suggested amendment, second by Member Monahan, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.	3-7

# Index Summary of Minutes Archaeological Review Committee Hearing December 6, 2012

	T	T
Case #AR-28-12. Consideration of a negative survey abstract covering a proposed 2,321'-long trench for installation of a cable line. The project is located near the southeast quadrant of the St. Francis and St. Michaels Drive intersection, located in the Suburban Archaeological Review District. The request is made by the Office of Archaeological Studies for the Public Service Company of New Mexico.	Member Monahan moved to approve Case #AR-28-12. Consideration of a negative survey abstract covering a proposed 2,321'-long trench for installation of a cable line. The project is located near the southeast quadrant of the St. Francis and St. Michaels Drive intersection, located in the Suburban Archaeological Review District as amended, second by Member Funkhouser, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.	
Administrative Matters	Informational	7
Communications	None	7
Matters from the Committee	None	7
Matters from the Floor	None	7
Adjournment Signature Page	There being no further business to come before the Archaeological Review Committee, Member Pierce moved and Member. Funkhouser second to adjourn at 5:15 pm, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.	7
SIVIIAITITE PAGE	i .	7

Signature Page

7

# ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING THURSDAY, DECEMBER 6, 2012 CITY COUNCILORS' CONFERENCE ROOM CITY HALL, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 4:30 PM - 5:10 PM

## **MINUTES**

## A. Call to order

Mr. Eck, Chair for the Archaeological Review Committee called the meeting to order at 4:30 pm on December 6, 2012.

# B. Roll Call

# **Members Present:**

David Eck, Chair Derek R. Pierce Gary Funkhouser James Edward Ivey Tess Monahan, Vice-Chair

# **Staff Present:**

John Murphey, Land Use Department

## **Others Present:**

Matthew Barbour, Office of Archaeological Studies (OAS) Karen Wening, OAS Susan Moga, OAS Ethen Giedraitis, Public Service Company of New Mexico

Fran Lucero, Stenographer

## C. Approval of Agenda

Member Pierce moved to approve the agenda of December 6, 2012 as presented, second by Member Ivey, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

# D. Approval of Minutes November 15, 2012

# Corrections:

Page 7: 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph Loan Lone Mountain

Males in the minutes were referred to by either Member or Mr., females were referred to by first name and they should be referred to respectfully as Ms. or Member and name.

Section F – Administrative Matters:  $2^{nd}$  paragraph ends with the word analogy should be strategy

3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph, last sentence. I will check up on that.

Mr. Pierce moved to approve the minutes of November 1, 2012 as amended, second by Mr. Ivey, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

Page 3, 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph: That Chair asked Member Ivey if he had anything to offer on this particular case. Member Ivey: No

 $8^{th}$  paragraph: Ms. Deyloff stated that they are not removing the easement requested that it be contracted.

 $9^{th}$  paragraph,  $4^{th}$  line: impact intact midden. There is still that upper seven VII that we talk about.

Page 4, second paragraph, line 3: we did find an intact midden  $3^{rd}$  paragraph,  $2^{nd}$  line: she was not comfortable leaving them with and having to deal with excavation...

Page 5, first paragraph, second line: Everything under here is basically <del>clinical</del> mechanical fills.

Fourth paragraph, 3<sup>rd</sup> line: -in to into Ninth paragraph, 2<sup>nd</sup> line. Add period after noted. Thank you,

Page 6: Member Monahan requested that comment by each person be moved to the margins.

Member Monahan moved to approve the minutes as amended, second by Member Funkhouser, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

#### E. Action Items

<u>Case #AR-27-12</u>. Consideration of proposed archeological test excavation plan covering the approximately 1.6 acres of the Judge Steve Herrera Judicial Complex, located in the Historic Downtown Archaeological Review District. The request is made by the Office of Archaeological Studies for the Santa Fe County Public Works Division.

Staff had nothing further to add.

Mr. Barbour: Unfortunately because of the previous archaeological work that has been done in the surrounding area, this testing plan is really testing. Not present so much as intact archaeological deposits but really examining the nature and extent buried cultural

resources on the property. OAS proposes to accomplish this task through the mechanical-excavation of ten 12.7 m (42 ft.) long test trenches and the hand-excavation of two 1-by-1 m wide test pits. Based on previous archaeological work in the area, I think it is potentially very likely that we will hit remains. I have already discussed that that with the HPD and any encountering of such remains will quickly start with a notification of the County, City HPD and State HPD as far as how to proceed on things like that. This is a testing plan. They plan to develop the structure and renovate the Judge Steve Herrera municipal complex in coming years. They would like to see what they will encounter so they can start looking at the reality of the situation before they go too far in to the plan.

Member Monahan: The only question was regarding what they had planned to do and I got that answer.

Member Funkhouser: On page 5 under the Previous Archaeological Investigations, 2<sup>nd</sup> line: previously recorded archaeological activities – suggests the word resources or sights.

Mr. Barbour confirmed that is correct, that is what it should be.

Member Pierce: Asked if a color copy of Figure 2 was available for a better review.

Member Ivey: No comments.

Chair Eck noted that there was a handwritten correction on the name of the complex on the cover letter and this correction should be made throughout the complete document.

Chair Eck: Referred to Page 4: History of the Griffin/Grant Triangle Historic Neighborhood. First paragraph – 6<sup>th</sup> line: Ventura was the son-in-law of Antonio Lucero de Godoy, who owned a large estate immediately to the west east of the Griffin/Grant Historic Neighborhood (see Lentz and Barbour 2011).

Chair Eck: Page 5 – last paragraph. Are you referring to the Spanish Colonial Hacienda owned by the Godoy family?

Mr. Barbour: Yes it is.

Chair Eck: We have addressed the smaller items but the bigger issue, it occurs to me that there is likelihood in finding a whole lot of intact archaeology here and I am wondering if we are going to be in a situation of doing all the hand excavation first? Because, if you find so much of intact archaeology with a hand excavation, I kind of don't like the idea of slamming backhoe trenches into it.

Mr. Barbour: The only problem with that, and I think we will hit excavation when we get out there is that because so much of it is under asphalt, the backhoe trenches offer me an opportunity to test other parts of the parking lot that I can't necessarily get to hand

excavation wise. My goal is start the hand excavation units in the north right-of-way as well as work in the south area to look at extent right away. I think there is actually potential in this case that we could take so much right away things could be cut short depending on what we encounter. I guess what I am saying is, I was out during the original testing and I never hit trenches where we found three bodies in one trench. I am not saying it is going to be that bad here, I don't know. There may be a potential that we don't even need to damage that much of the archeological record to find and I completely agree with you that we might be at a point much earlier in the testing process. Then there is just no way, it all requires intensive excavations. My thought on placing the trenches when I get near the building and things like that was to see if areas around immediately adjacent to the building had been completely destroyed. At least if we can tell them that they can potentially work in these areas with monitoring because there are no archaeological finds, it has all been damaged in the 20<sup>th</sup> Century. At least they know they know they can renovate the structure in those area as opposed to going full forward in to colonial, prehistoric and obviously American territorial deposits. This is a first step to get some knowledge on that. I agree with you that it might be a mute point; it might be that we get a trench or two in the ground and the test that is way enough to prove way beyond a doubt. There is no way that it will go to data recovery right away and that anything that gets done in here is going to require data recovery. My thoughts are that we can test some of those areas that might also be a little bit potential to be mixed or disturbed and give them some base line data to know what they are really looking at. I was not able to provide a picture for this testing report due to time and constraints. The Presbyterian Mission School that was on the property had a partial subterranean basement at a very minimal point. It has lime stone walls or sand stone walls and to excavate into the ground I'm sure it has a concrete floor. There is Colonial structure that should be right on the property. There are a lot of things on this property we will probably need to visit and I will try to minimize the impact of cultural resources but at the same time being sure I can confirm for my client that without a shadow of doubt this is where they would have to go if they chose to renovate that structure.

The Chair asked Mr. Barbour if he has had this discussion with Ms. Michelle Enze.

Mr. Barbour said, he has not had a verbal conversation but they were in communications via e-mail. Mr. Barbour said he advised Ms. Enze that she could expect to hear from him the first day or two that they are out there. The reality is that he does not think it is going to be a surprise to anyone when they have findings and certainly not a surprise to him. There is a limit within what and the spirit of the wall as to what you are actually doing with the testing program and we will definitely come up against that. Mr. Barbour said he is fully aware of the problems with it. Since writing this request they encountered a human burial on Griffin Street, adjacent to the project area.

Mr. Barbour stated to the committee; "if you were to approve this plan, we could start the work on Monday." This was noted to show how fast it could actually come up.

Member Pierce moved to approve <u>Case #AR-27-12</u>. Consideration of proposed archeological test excavation plan covering the approximately 1.6 acres of the Judge Steve Herrera Judicial Complex, located in the Historic Downtown Archaeological Review District with suggested amendment, second by Member Monahan, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

Mr. Barbour stated for the benefit of the committee members, that they are welcomed to come by when the work starts next week.

<u>Case #AR-28-12.</u> Consideration of a negative survey abstract covering a proposed 2,321'-long trench for installation of a cable line. The project is located near the southeast quadrant of the St. Francis and St. Michaels Drive intersection, located in the Suburban Archaeological Review District. The request is made by the Office of Archaeological Studies for the Public Service Company of New Mexico.

Staff Report and Comments: Mr. Murphey noted that initially there was some concern that this proposal did not meet the minimum threshold for submittal for the suburban district. Matt Barbour worked diligently on a report to accompany that proposal and therefore it is not reflected in the case synopsis. Mr. Murphey deferred to the committee on whether these two components are enough to move forward in giving clearance to this trench. There was some initial thought that the city's bike and walk trail had done the archaeology but it has not been learned that they only did a part of the archaeology. There was a hope that we could tie in to that report but apparently that report is not available.

Member Ivey: No comments at this point.

Member Pierce: The only question I had was the discussion above noted in Mr. Murphey's comments; why wasn't the original archaeology for the bike trail done. It sounds like it was not. In terms of what we are deciding here, I think the inclusion of this report by Mr. Barbour covers the submittal requirements. Do we all concur on that?

Chair Eck: I believe that will be the sense of things, we will here from the full committee.

Member Funkhouser: No comment.

Member Monahan: No comment.

Chair Eck: "I do think that this is sufficient and that we should allow this to be considered as is." The Chair pointed out the following; page 6, last paragraph, 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence beginning with several surveys which encountered no archaeological sites. I don't find any mention of what those surveys were, who did them?

Mr. Barbour responded that he had only listed the archaeological sites that were encountered in the area. There was limited time available in preparing this report.

Chair Eck reiterated that we had addressed the bike trail which shows evidence of archaeological compliance.

Mr. Barbour: Commented that he checked and could not find anything on the bike trail which doesn't mean it doesn't exist, it may very well not be entered yet. Mr. Barbour said that he could find no evidence of it; he could not even find someone who knew someone who had done the work.

Chair Eck stated that the bike trail is fairly new and could very well be in the chain or review.

Member Pierce commented that someone should look at this at some level before the dirt started to move around.

Member Monahan moved to approve <u>Case #AR-28-12</u>. Consideration of a negative survey abstract covering a proposed 2,321'-long trench for installation of a cable line. The project is located near the southeast quadrant of the St. Francis and St. Michaels Drive intersection, located in the Suburban Archaeological Review District as amended, second by Member Funkhouser, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

# F. Administrative Matters

Mr. Murphey noted that there will be three applicants for the December 20<sup>th</sup> hearing.

- G. Communications
  None
- H. Matters from the Committee None
- I. Business from the Floor None
- J. There being no further business to come before the Archaeological Review Committee, Member Pierce moved and Member Funkhouser second to adjourn at 5:15 pm, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

nature Page:	
id Eck, Chair	
n Lucero, Stenographer	
v	