



Agenda

DATE 10/2/12

TIME 9:00am

SET BY

RECEIVED BY

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE HEARING

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 18, 2012 – 4:30 P.M.

CITY COUNCILORS' CONFERENCE ROOM

CITY HALL, 200 LINCOLN AVENUE, SANTA FE

- A. CALL TO ORDER
- B. ROLL CALL
- C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

October 4, 2012

E. ACTION ITEMS

- 1. Case#AR-24-11. Approval of draft monitoring report covering trenching for a telecommunications line along East Palace Avenue and Marian Hall, located within the Historic Downtown Archaeological Review District. This request is made by Robert Dello-Russo, Office of Archaeological Studies, for CenturyLink, Inc. (CenturyLink Project No. 12521PK).

- F. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS
- G. COMMUNICATIONS
- H. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE
- I. BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR
- J. ADJOURNMENT

Persons with disabilities in need of accommodations, contact the City Clerk's office at 955-6520 five (5) working days prior to meeting date

**Index Summary of Minutes
Archaeological Review Committee Hearing
October 18, 2012**

<u>INDEX</u>	<u>ACTION TAKEN</u>	<u>PAGE(S)</u>
Cover Page		1
Call to Order	Mr. Eck called the meeting of the Archaeological Review Committee to order at 4:30 pm on October 18, 2012.	2
Roll Call	A quorum was declared by verbal roll call.	2
Review and Approval of Agenda	No changes from Staff. <i>Ms. Monahan moved to approve the agenda as presented, second by Mr. Funkhouser, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.</i>	2
Approval of Minutes	<i>Not included in packet.</i>	2
Action Items <u>Case #AR-24-11</u>	<i>Mr. Pierce moved to approve <u>Case #AR-24-11</u> as amended and that it be forwarded to HD with the corrections indicated, second by Mr. Ivey, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.</i>	2-5
Administrative Matters	<i>Informational</i>	5
Communications	<i>None</i>	5
Matters from the Committee	<i>None</i>	5
Matters from the floor	<i>None</i>	5
Adjournment	<i>There being no further business to come before the Archaeological Review Committee, the meeting was adjourned at 4:55 pm.</i>	5
Signature Page		6

**ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING
MINUTES
THURSDAY, OCTOBER 18, 2012
CITY COUNCILORS' CONFERENCE ROOM
CITY HALL, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
4:30 PM - 4:50 PM**

A. Call to order

Mr. Eck called the meeting of the Archaeological Review Committee to order at 4:30 pm on October 18, 2012.

B. Roll Call

Members Present:

David Eck, Chair
Derek R. Pierce
James Edward Ivey
Tess Monahan, Vice-Chair
Gary Funkhouser

Staff Present:

John Murphy, Land Use Department

Others Present:

Stephen Lenz
Laura Calvert for Fran Lucero, Stenographer

C. Approval of Agenda

Ms. Monahan moved to approve the agenda as presented, second by Mr. Funkhouser, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

D. Approval of Minutes

Not included in packet.

E. Action Items

Case #AR-24-11. Approval of draft monitoring report covering trenching for a telecommunications line along East Palace Avenue and Marian Hall, located within the Historic Downtown archaeological Review District. This request is made by Robert Dello-Russo, Office of Archaeological Studies, for Century Link, Inc. (Century Link Project No. 1252PK).

Staff report included and nothing further to report. Dr. Dello-Russo not present, the author of the report present, Stephen Lenz. Mr. Lenz did not have anything more to add to the report.

Committee Member Comments:

Mr. Ivey had no comments at this time.

Mr. Pierce: If we could refer to the LA form at the beginning there is a typo, Section 18, 2nd page for field dates, you have 2/17 thru 2/03 and it should be 2/23. Page 1 of the report, Introduction, 3rd paragraph begins, "The part from the standard artifacts throughout the essential integrity no impact social resources were encountered." That seems odd with the fact that you have.... (Mr. Lenz acknowledged and understood what Mr. Pierce was referring to as sentence was not complete). Same page: 6th paragraph – Miriam Hall is not rich in property although there is potential for it to be eligible for inclusion under criterion D. The old form actually says criterion AB&D. In the Cultural History, maybe this is something no one else is concerned with, you have the territorial periods, and I think we are getting to the point where we should include the other statehood periods as well. On page 30 – last paragraph, where you are talking about the Army code button, I am going to give a nod to the former Chair of this Committee and remind you that you might want to have a citation there for determining the date of it. It references Global Manufacturing Company dating back to the mid 19th Century, which could use a reference.

Mr. Funkhouser: None

Ms. Monahan: Why is this a draft? Staff stated that it provides the committee an opportunity to comment before it goes to HD with corrections. Ms. Monahan asked if it isn't a final report would they be seeing it again. Staff responded if you don't want to pass it. When it goes to HD and conceivably they will make corrections and we will get it back and finalize it. Ms. Monahan continued: Page 7 on the form LA061535 on the last page it talks about the upper edge of the vault, what vault are you talking about? Was it destroyed, is there a dome, what does that mean. Mr. Lenz said he was sorry that it has the LA form reference; I believe that the vault referred to is for jury. This looks like something that Moore would have been done on the Drury exposition.

Mr. Ivy added and referenced the square hole in the ground with white plaster that they called a vault in reference to an excavation for bodies. Staff said that this was the LA number for Drury, the only thing we did within that site which was LA061535 was to that testing and this discovered what they found in the Drury and I think the vault was given a lot of attention. I wasn't there during the excavation. Mr. Ivy said that it looked most likely to be a lime slating pit that was used as a trash dump.

Ms. Monahan stated; so it was a pit and not an enclosures.

Mr. Ivy: It was a square hole in the ground with white lime plaster on the walls and they made the initial assumption that it might be a burial space. So the word vault got stuck to it.

It was noted that even though the word is not correct, don't change it, that is actually the original site.

Mr. Ivy said; if you were going to do a change somewhere, you best talk to Moore. The last I heard from him was that he liked the idea of it being a lime slating pit as the least improbable explanation for a whole in the ground with a lot of lime in it. Very neat square hole with the lime on the walls but it wasn't plastered it was remnant, gummiest look like when you shovel out but didn't want to scrape the wall. The report for the Drury is still in progress.

Ms. Monahan: Thank you, I like that explanation.

Mr. Eck: Page 7, last line – urgently intended as an industrial school for boys.

Page 24 – 1st sentence of 3rd paragraph: Trade School for Girls

(Comment: I could see where they get confused). Mr. Lenz said that when he first wrote this he was confused, I think it was originally intended as a school for Girls. When Sister came here she was a real advocate for women and she started building this with the intention of it being a school for girls. Half way through they said it was going to be a hospital and she went into a depression and she went to Albuquerque. This is the evolution of the Miriam Hall – St. Vincent situation. There is a forthcoming report where DeeDee has in her historic section she really talks about that area in great detail. It was a school for girls and it was intended to be a school for girls. Miriam Hall actually burned down in 1886.

Page 27: Right hand column, speaking of the straggle light flints or chispas this is more a question than anything; do you have a color photo with you? Mr. Lenz: Yes. I can't see anything in Figure 11 and 12 that is being referred to. If they show up in the color, then I am fine with that. Mr. Lenz said that they are not as clearly indicated in color but Gavin assures me that under the microscope they found metal filings and some edge ware. Mr. Eck asked if that could be steel. Mr. Lenz said that when someone strikes it, it leaves microscopically these metal inclusions. That is more of a thing that led him to the finding of the chispas.

Page 29: Discussion of indulgence items; this is just a suggestion. If we have a stone air ale bottle with a Bristol lip I think we ought to illustrate it. Mr. Lenz concurred. Mr. Eck said that would be kind of cool to show people what that looks like.

Page 30: Transportation items. I must admit I am not up on all the terminology regarding horseshoes, but the 3rd sentence on the transportation items discussion refers to chocks and I think it is normally caulks.

Page 32: Last paragraph on page - 2nd sentence of that paragraph – something may have happened in the cutting and pasting. It appears that the entire range of domestic species was represented in the assemblage and that there may have been *be* no real indication of preference. Maybe one of those should have been removed, either it is *be* or *have been*. Next sentence: Many of the items, especially the cow bones were ax sawed, I think it is a cut and paste error. She talks about some things being ax cut and saw cut I think it just got conflated. Investigate missing verbiage.

Page 33: Continuation of the same paragraph – I think I know what is meant because it is said elsewhere. This particular sentence does not make any sense. All of the cut and saw marks on cattle and on the sheep or goat bones are abrasions left by de-fleshing. They are either cut or saw marks or they are abrasions, something is missing I think. Later in the summary of all the findings it makes more sense. There is a statement to the effect that the cow bones seem to be saw cut and the goat and sheep bones are abraded leading to the entrance that cows were from a commercial butcher and others were from shade tree processing. But I noticed that the sample size is tiny, that might also get mentioned.

On Page 35, right hand column, first full paragraph, the coherent statement of what all this means is made. It says that all of the butchering marks were on cattle, saw and steak cuts while abrasions left by de-fleshing were on the sheep or goat. I think that is the language you wanted over in the other place.

Lastly, right hand column – first paragraph which actually begins in a previous column next to the last sentence. Begins with CT snow Every house has at least one fruit tree ... but it goes on to say that there was an orchard on the east side of East Palace Avenue and I am having a hard time with directions. East of E. Palace? Wouldn't it be south of E. Palace?

The Chair clarified that the Committee would be considering this for approval.

Mr. Pierce moved to approve Case #AR-24-11 as amended and that it be forwarded to HD with the corrections indicated, second by Mr. Ivey, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

F. Administrative Matters

Staff mentioned that we will have sufficient materials for the next hearing.

G. Communications

None

H. Matters from the Committee

None

I. Business from the Floor


None

J. There being no further business to come before the Archaeological Review Committee, the meeting was adjourned at 4:55 pm.

Archaeological Review Committee Meeting: October 18, 2012

SIGNATURE PAGE

David Eck, Chair



Fran Lucero, Stenographer