

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE Agenda DATE 8-10-11
SERVLUSY Can RECLIVED B

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING

THURSDAY, August 18, 2011 – 4:30 p.m.

CITY COUNCILORS' CONFERENCE ROOM CITY HALL, 200 LINCOLN AVENUE

- A. CALL TO ORDER
- B. ROLL CALL
- C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: August 4, 2011
- E. ACTION ITEMS
 - 1. Case #AR-12-11. Final Treatment Report for Archaeological Inventory and Testing Results of 0.5094 acres for the Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority, Villa Alegre Development Project (Tract D) on the north side of West Alameda Street and the east side of Camino de Campo in the Historic Downtown Archaeological Review District in Santa Fe, NM. Requested by Ron Winters. (David Rasch).
 - 2. Case #AR-13-11. Final Treatment Report for Archaeological Monitoring for a water main replacement on Delgado Street, Calle Corvo, San Antonio Street, a private drive off of Camino de Cruz Blanca, Mountain Road, and South Spur in the Historic Downtown and Suburban Archaeological Review districts in Santa Fe, NM for Bill Huey, Santa Fe Water Division. Requested by Ron Winters. (David Rasch).
- F. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS
- G. COMMUNICATIONS
- H. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE
- BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR
- **ADJOURNMENT**

For more information regarding cases on this agenda, please call the Historic Division at 955-6605. Interpreters for the hearing impaired are available through the City Clerk's office at 955-6520, upon five (5) days notice.

SUMMARY INDEX CITY OF SANTA FE ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE Land Use Conference Room August 18, 2011

<u>ITEM</u>	ACTION	PAGE
CALL TO ORDER & ROLL CALL	Quorum	1
APPROVAL OF AGENDA	Approved	1-2
APPROVAL OF MINUTES: AUGUST 18, 2011	Approved [amended]	2
ACTION ITEMS		
CASE #AR-12-11. FINAL TREATMENT REPORT FOR ARCHAEOLOGICAL INVENTORY AND TESTING RESULTS OF 0.5094 ACRES FOR THE SANTA FE CIVIC HOUSING AUTHORITY, VILLA ALEGRE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (TRACT D) ON THE NORTH SIDE OF WEST ALAMEDA STREET AND THE EAST SIDE OF CAMINO DE CAMPO IN THE HISTORIC DOWNTOWN ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT IN SANTA FE, NM. REQUESTED BY RON WINTERS CASE #AR-13-11. FINAL TREATMENT REPORT FOR ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONITORING FOR A	Recommended approval w/corrections	2-5
WATER MAIN REPLACEMENT ON DELGADO STREET, CALLE CORVO, SAN ANTONIO STREET, A PRIVATE DRIVE OFF OF CAMINO DE CRUZ BLANCA, MOUNTAIN ROAD AND SOUTH SPUR IN THE HISTORIC DOWNTOWN AND SUBURBAN ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICTS IN SANTA FE, NM FOR BILL HUEY, SANTA FE WATER DIVISION. REQUESTED		
BY RON WINTERS	Recommended approval w/corrections	6-7
ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS	Information/discussion	7-9
COMMUNICATIONS	None	9
MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE	Information/discussion	9

<u>IIEM</u>	<u>ACTION</u>	PAGE
BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR	Information/discussion	9-14
ADJOURNMENT		14

MINUTES OF THE CITY OF SANTA FE ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE City Councilors Conference Room August 18, 2011

A. CALL TO ORDER

A meeting of the Archaeological Review Committee was called to order by Jeremy Kulisheck, Chair, at approximately 4:30 p.m., on August 18, 2011, in the City Councilors Conference Room, City Hall, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

B. ROLL CALL

Members Present

Jeremy Kulisheck, Chair Tess Monahan, Vice-Chair James Edward Ivey David Eck

Members Excused

Gary Funkhouser

Others Present

David Rasch, Land Use Department Melessia Helberg, Stenographer

There was a quorum of the membership in attendance.

NOTE: All items in the Committee packet for all agenda items are incorporated herewith to these minutes by reference; and the original Committee packet is on file in, and may be obtained from, the Historic Planning Division.

C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MOTION: Tess Monahan moved, seconded by David Eck, to approve the Agenda as published.

VOTE: The motion was approved on a voice vote, with Tess Monahan, David Eck and Chair Kulisheck voting in favor of the motion, none voting against, and Jake Ivey absent for the vote.

Mr. Ivey arrived at the meeting

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: AUGUST 18, 2011

The following corrections were made to the minutes of August 18, 2011:

Page 17, paragraph 3, line 4, correct as follows: "..doesn't need think trails need.."

Page 17, paragraph 9, line 3, correct as follows: "... as [inaudible] road ..."

Page 18, paragraph 2, line 3, correct as follows: "... and not significant..."

Page 18, last paragraph, line 2, correct as follows: "...wrote a literary literature..."

Ministerial corrections were made on pages 3, 8 and 12, and replacement pages issued.

MOTION: Tess Monahan moved, seconded by David Eck, to approve the minutes of the meeting of July 21, 2011, as amended.

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

E. ACTION ITEMS

Mr. Rasch noted that both cases this evening fall under the jurisdiction of SHPO, and are for recommendation by this Committee. He noted the format change and said in the future all items will be listed as action items and there no longer will be any New Business or Old Business listing on the agenda.

1. CASE #AR-12-11. FINAL TREATMENT REPORT FOR ARCHAEOLOGICAL INVENTORY AND TESTING RESULTS OF 0.5094 ACRES FOR THE SANTA FE CIVIC HOUSING AUTHORITY, VILLA ALEGRE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (TRACT D) ON THE NORTH SIDE OF WEST ALAMEDA STREET AND THE EAST SIDE OF CAMINO DE CAMPO IN THE HISTORIC DOWNTOWN ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT IN SANTA FE, NM. REQUESTED BY RON WINTERS. (DAVID RASCH)

Mr. Winters said in the rush to get the report submitted to this Committee, he didn't identify the project location on the historic maps, although it went to SHPO with those identified. He said because it's not required, the NAIF and the site form for both cases were not included.

The Committee commented as follows:

Mr. Ivey said in the Abstract, page i, Paragraph 4, line 9, Mr. Winters says, "Prehistoric features, including canals.."

Mr. Winters said he is speaking of acequias.

Mr. Ivey said he felt it needed 2-3 more words indicating what canals, and assumes he is speaking of some sort of human built irrigation system structures.

Mr. Winters said yes. He said many of the "acequias follow the paths of the prehistoric."

Mr. Ivey said then "you actually mean the word canal in the same general sense like it would be used in Phoenix," and Mr. Winters said yes.

Mr. Ivey said the text looks like the usual Ron Winters presentation. He said on page 15, Paragraph 3, line 11, correct as follows, "..maps indicate that the San Francisco..."

Mr. Ivey said on page 43, correct Isolated Occurrence 7 as follows: "...at its' its...

Mr. Eck said he had no comments. He expressed appreciation for the geomorphological discussion and said it would be great if we had more.

Mr. Winters said a lot of that was to explain why they are finding what they are finding downtown.

Ms. Monahan said on page 17, paragraph 1, line 10, correct as follows: "... it had build built to.."

Ms. Monahan said it is a wonderful report and it is nice to know about the area, commenting it is surprising that this is all we could find.

Chair Kulisheck said his understanding is that this not an addendum, but a second report in a series. He said Mr. Winters came before the Committee previously with the rest of this project area, and this is the last half acre of this project area east of Campo which is being considered.

Mr. Winters said it was done in three phases. Initially, it was a survey of all three areas, the second was "A,B and C, which A & B are provided in the big one, and C is the one you described, and this is D which is the furthest east."

Chair Kulisheck said then the inventory of this parcel was discussed in a previous report.

Mr. Winters said yes, and the reason the IO's are 4, 5, 6 and 7.

Chair Kulisheck said, perhaps in the Abstract or the Introduction, it would be good to describe that, which he believes would be helpful. He said because Mr. Winters reported on the inventory in another report, he doesn't know that he needs to report on the inventory again in this report. He said he wonders if this could have been written as an addendum to the report.

Mr. Rasch said he will be changing the way cases are numbers, noting he doesn't want to give new numbers to final treatment reports, and those will be listed as A, B, C and such, so the cases track easier.

Chair Kulisheck said he recalls we made a deal with Mr. Winters that he didn't need to do subsurface testing until demolition took place which would be more logical to do after the buildings were removed. He assumes, because this is a municipal contract, this is the reason the testing didn't happen until 2011, as opposed to the rest of the work which was done in 2008.

Mr. Winters said this is correct, noting this was slated for another phase, and the reason for this.

Chair Kulisheck suggested that Mr. Winters write paragraph discussing the relationship of this project to the remainder of the project and the reason they're going to read a geomorphology section that's going to cover the whole project area, as opposed to this part of it.

Mr. Winters said he made reference, but didn't explain it in the Abstract. He said the other reason he included the geomorphology report was because it was applicable for this parcel.

Chair Kulisheck said on page 16 the discussion of the West San Francisco Historic Neighborhood is fabulous in terms of its detail in explaining what is happening here, and believes it will be very useful for the people at the State because they won't make any distinction between archaeological and historic properties like we do on this Committee.

Chair Kulisheck said in the second full paragraph he was confused by the language, "By 1924, Park Avenue had been developed between San Francisco and Rosario Streets (called Arny Street in 1912, then Jefferson, and now Guadalupe Street)." He said he is confused about Rosario Street and Arny being associated with Jefferson and Guadalupe. He said Guadalupe Street goes through 4 name changes, but he thought Rosario was a different street and is now Paseo de Peralta.

Mr. Winters said he will look to see what happened and clarify this matter.

Chair Kulisheck said on page 19, Figure 3, on the map, the arrow and dot actually points to the intersection of Dunlap and Ambrosio, and not the intersection of Camino del Campo and Alameda.

Chair Kulisheck said he would echo the other Committee members in appreciation of the geomorphology, because the expectations were high that you would be finding 17th Century and 18th Century and perhaps even pre-Spanish materials in this area, and you weren't coming up with much of anything. He appreciates him going the extra mile to demonstrate this. He said, as Mr. Winters said, this is a terrible place to live because it floods. He said, ironically, even with the constructions of dams in the canyon, downtown Santa Fe experienced some significant flooding into the 1950's.

Mr. Winters agreed, saying, as mentioned in the report, it is located between the arroyo and the river.

Chair Kulisheck appreciates Mr. Winters demonstrating that we shouldn't make assumptions about where we expect to find settlements [?] along the Santa Fe River.

MOTION: Jake Ivey moved, seconded by Tess Monahan, with respect to Case #AR-12-11, that the Committee recommend acceptance to the State Archaeologist, at the State Historic Preservation Division, of the Final Treatment Report for Archaeological Inventory and Testing Results of 0.5094 acres for the Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority, Villa Alegre Development Project (Tract D) on the north side of West Alameda Street and the east side of Camino de Campo in the Historic Downtown Archaeological Review District, Santa Fe, NM, requested by Ron Winters, with the minor changes suggested.

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

Mr. Ivey asked, procedurally, if he moves, and Ms. Monahan seconds the motion, do they vote on the motion.

Mr. Rasch said yes, all members of the Committee can vote on any motion before the Committee. He said the Chair can vote to change the outcome of the action, whether to break a tie or create a tie.

1. Case #AR-13-11. FINAL TREATMENT REPORT FOR ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONITORING FOR A WATER MAIN REPLACEMENT ON DELGADO STREET, CALLE CORVO, SAN ANTONIO STREET, A PRIVATE DRIVE OFF OF CAMINO DE CRUZ BLANCA, MOUNTAIN ROAD AND SOUTH SPUR IN THE HISTORIC DOWNTOWN AND SUBURBAN ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICTS IN SANTA FE, NM FOR BILL HUEY, SANTA FE WATER DIVISION. REQUESTED BY RON WINTERS. (DAVID RASCH)

Mr. Winters said he did a project for the Housing Authority. He said the recommendation from Michelle Ensey is to make it a site if items are discovered during subsurface testing, and this is the reason you see a site number, even though he can't find the limits of it because of the pocket of artifacts, and the concentration and the diversity and nature of the artifacts.

The Committee commented as follows:

Mr. Eck asked if there is a profile of the site in the report – the location of discovery of disparate material.

Mr. Winters said there is a photograph, but there is not a profile.

Mr. Eck said it is always good to include a profile, because it helps to "nail it down."

Mr. Winters said he could recreate one from his color photograph. He said the problem is that they are actively digging, and although he was in the trench recording artifacts, he does have notes and he can draw up a good profile.

Mr. Eck said the schematic would help the reader to make sense of what Mr. Winters is saying. He said he has no further comments.

Ms. Monahan said she has no comment, noting she found no typos.

Mr. Ivey said correct Paragraph 3, line 1 in the Abstract as follows: " \dots trenches, Though though numerous..."

Mr. Ivey noted there is a slight difference between the title of the Report, and the caption for this case on the posted agenda, noting the change in geophysical sequence made it easier to follow. He said no change needs to be made, but he wanted to point out this slight difference for the record.

Mr. Winters said the title was composed as the case progressed, noting it went over several months.

Chair Kulisheck said he appreciated the high level of detail in terms of the artifact inventory for LA17352, and asked Mr. Winters if he can provide references for the dates which he has supplied for some of the artifacts. He said he provided dates for some of them, certainly for the lysol bottle.

Mr. Winters said he thought he did when he talked about glass in general, but perhaps he didn't.

Chair Kulisheck said he saw a reference in the previous report. He would like Mr. Winters to add those, or a covering paragraph saying "I looked at this and this to date."

Chair Kulisheck said on page 47 in the Summary of Recommendations, Mr. Winters has the same sentence as Mr. Ivey mentioned in the Abstract. He said Mr. Winters could change "Though" to "However" and it would make sense as a complete sentence.

Chair Kulisheck said what is missing is Mr. Winters' recommendation of the significance of the site under the City Ordinance and its eligibility to the State Register of Cultural Properties, commenting that it would be useful in the report. He said his assumption is that Mr. Winters is recommending that it is not eligible because he is saying that none of the deposits that he encountered throughout the project area were significant. He would like him to say that specifically for the site in the report, and believes it would be valuable.

MOTION: Tess Monahan moved, seconded by David Eck, with respect to Case #AR-13-11, that the Committee recommend approval to the State Archaeologist, at the State Historic Preservation Division, of the Final Treatment Report for Archaeological Monitoring for a water main replacement on Delgado Street, Calle Corvo, San Antonio Street, a private drive off Camino de Cruz Blanca, Mountain Road, and South Spur in the Historic Downtown and Suburban Archaeological Review Districts, in Santa Fe, NM, requested by Ron Winters, with the aforementioned suggested minor changes.

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

F. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

Chair Kulisheck said we had a discussion at the previous meeting with regard to how to deal with the printing of reports, especially enormous size reports.

Mr. Rasch said he spoke with his supervisor, and there is no problem getting the packet to the production line earlier than necessary, and if it looks like the Mail Room can't get it done in time, they need to notify us and let us know, and if there is no delivery person, he needs to know that as well. He noted a similar problem with an H-Board packet. He said staff understands "we can't allow this to happen."

Mr. Kulisheck asked if it is permissible for the report to be delivered in alternative form – on CD.

Mr. Rasch said he can request that easily, and asked how many members of the Committee would like a CD instead of, or with, the printed report.

Chair Kulisheck said he is asking if that would be an alternative in the instance where a printed packet could not be done timely – as an emergency fallback.

Mr. Rasch said he can request that, noting he does provide certain Committee Stenographers with a CD instead of a printed packet. He said we already are set up to do that. He said the Mail Room scans all of the material which then can be put on a CD or printed.

Chair Kulisheck asked the Committee members if this would be an acceptable alternative in situations where printing of a large packet could not be done timely.

Ms. Monahan said she prefers a hard copy, because she doesn't do well reading from a computer screen, and it is harder with a particularly big report, commenting that she winds up printing it herself. However, if it has to happen, it is okay.

Mr. Rasch said he could request for Ms. Monahan's CD that it come with a bundle of paper.

Chair Kulisheck said he feels exactly the same as Ms. Monahan. He said he wants to make it clear that it is his preference that this be done only as a last resort.

Mr. Rasch said he can get the CD to the Committee on Friday, but they would still print the packet for delivery the following week.

Chair Kulisheck said this would give the Committee a head start in reading a large packet.

It was the consensus among the Committee, as a last resort, that providing the packet on a CD would be an acceptable alternative in situations where printing of a large packet could not be done timely.

Chair Kulisheck asked about the submittal deadline.

Mr. Rasch said staff is going to look at submittal deadlines, posting of the agenda, noting this is the tightest deadline of all land use committees, but he doesn't know the reason. He said staff will talk about the ramifications. He said he can do anything earlier, and he doesn't have to wait until the legal deadline to do everything.

Chair Kulisheck said he is proud that we have a short turn-around because it provides very quick service to the people who need to get the work done and allows work to move forward without too much delay, commenting that it is no hardship for the Committee.

Chair Kulisheck would like to implement the different strategies to be able to get packets timely, especially the very large ones. He would not like to go to a longer period and cause inconvenience to the applicants. He acknowledged that the City is working with significantly reduced resources right now.

G. COMMUNICATIONS

There were no Communications.

H. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE

Chair Kulisheck reminded the Committee that he likely will be absent for the meeting of September 15, 2011.

Ms. Monahan requested copies of the External Policies.

Mr. Rasch said he can provide that for the Committee members in the next packet. He said with the rewrite of the Code, the External Policies will be incorporated into the Code.

I. BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

A copy of a letter dated August 18, 2011, to the Archaeological Review Committee, from Ethan A. Giedraitis, St. Environmental Scientist, PNM Resources, with attachment, regarding PNM relocation of existing facilities into City of Santa Fe street for the Santa Fe County Courthouse project, in the vicinity of Montezuma and Sandoval Streets, is incorporated herewith to these minutes by reference. Copies can be obtained by contacting Mr. Rasch.

A copy of Figure 1. Plan of the project area showing sites, features and trenches from previous projects, is incorporated herewith to these minutes by reference.

Copies of two color maps titled "Ft. Marcy 14 Electric Feeder," are incorporated herewith to these minutes by reference. Copies can be obtained by contacting Mr. Rasch.

Ethan Giedraitis, Sr. Environmenal Scientist, PNM Resources, said PNM has done a lot of work in Santa Fe, commenting the infrastructure is aging and there will be an increasing need for that kind of work. He said they are here this evening to "say hello and we've got some stuff coming up."

Mr. Giedraitis said the SF County Courthouse project has necessitated moving lines in the vicinity of Montezuma and Sandoval Streets. He said the City's Underground Ordinance requires PNM to move those lines underground in City Streets. This is the first project PNM has contracted with TRC to obtain the necessary permits and to initiate a monitoring program to complete that work.

Kenneth Brown, TRC Environmental, Albuquerque, said TRC has been contracted to do the monitoring and "installation piece for buried, relocated electrical lines at the intersection of Montezuma." He said the two lines total 822 feet and the trenching will be 36 inches wide and approximately 42 inches deep, about 5 feet off the east curb of Sandoval and 5 feet from the north Curb of Montezuma, so that will be in the street itself.

Mr. Brown said they are here this evening to look at protocol they need to follow to get this project moving forward. He said PNM already is behind schedule on this. He asked if a letter monitoring report to SHPO, such as been done previously by OAS in the same general area earlier in the summer would be sufficient, or if they need to provide the additional documentation to the Archaeological Review Committee.

Chair Kulisheck said, "So this photo was taken prior to the demolition."

Mr. Brown said yes. He said there is one archaeological site in the vicinity which is LA156207.

Chair Kulisheck asked if that is beneath Montezuma, and Mr. Giedraitis said yes.

Chair Kulisheck said the ARC received quite an extensive report from Office of Archaeological Studies [at the Museum of New Mexico] regarding their monitoring.

Mr. Rasch said, however, that project did not incorporate this area.

Chair Kulisheck said he understands. He said what Mr. Brown is asking is what protocol they need to initiate this project.

Chair Kulisheck said monitoring would be the appropriate form of investigation associated with this type of undertaking.

Mr. Rasch said, even though the project location is in the Historic Downtown Archaeological Review District, which requires 2% testing, we are not following those guidelines, because we are following the utility main guidelines which has a threshold of linear feet. He said it is also because this area is very disturbed for that upper portion.

Chair Kulisheck said he recalls there was a "massive disturbance layer sitting atop, based on the OAS work, the whole lot." He said it may be that this project won't penetrate that garage layer before you actually hit some of the intact deposits, but we'll see. He said what is required is a letter to initiate the project and a report describing the results.

Responding to a question from Mr. Brown, Mr. Rasch said the letter can be addressed to City staff or SHPO.

Chair Kulisheck said he believes the letter should be addressed to Mr. Rasch for staff approval.

It was the consensus among the Committee to have the letter addressed to Mr. Rasch and to allow staff approval.

Chair Kulisheck said he wants to get PNM moving forward on this as soon as possible.

Mr. Brown said, "They want us out of the way so they can build as close as they can to Montezuma Street there, and we're just in the way. So what we did this last week was to effectively take our lines down, so the rest of the system in that area is at risk because the circuit isn't connected in there, so it is being fed in different directions, hence the need to try to expedite this and I appreciate everyone's willingness to help us."

Mr. Giedraitis said they look forward to bringing the results of the project to this Committee.

Chair Kulisheck asked about the State involvement in this project.

Mr. Rasch said it is City right-of-way, so the State would see the final report because it is City property.

Responding to a question from Mr. Brown, Chair Kulisheck said it is our understanding that all of the work must be supervised by a person who is permitted by the City, but all of the workers, the archaeological professionals, who are carrying out the work, do not need to be permitted. He said this means an on-site project director is required.

Mr. Giedraitis said there is an issue in the Sierra del Norte Subdivision area, between Bishop's Lodge Road and Hyde Park Road. He said there isn't sufficient electricity to keep the area stable. He said PNM is proposing to connect a feeder along Bishop's Lodge Road to an underline electric line on Hyde Park Road. He said in this neighborhood, everyone has built right to the curb. He said there was an utility easement which is now "fancy landscaping and walls," so it isn't cost effective to stay in the utility easement. He said PNM has elected to go forward with building in the street itself. He said this particular feeder is about 11,700 feet, and would require cutting asphalt along the existing streets, and demonstrated the site on the maps entered for the record. He said it all will be on existing road, so it hits the water tank at the southeastern part of the project, and then follows an existing utility corridor down the hill to Hyde Park Road.

Mr. Giedraitis said they made initial contact with Ms. Ensey, and she was unsure whether or not she wanted to monitor it.

Mr. Brown said part of the project doesn't go through any sites, noting these on the maps incorporated for the record. He said the question is, since SHPO isn't too committed for the need for monitoring, what would be the ARC requirements.

Mr. Rasch said it appears it will meet the threshold for some sort of investigation, and recommended monitoring rather than any testing.

Chair Kulisheck, referring to the maps incorporated for the record, said, "I'm assuming these yellow areas are areas where previous surveys have been conducted."

Mr. Brown said this is correct.

Chair Kulisheck said, "So, from where Barranca exits, the previous survey here on the west, and then it looks like it comes down the hill, runs along the base of the ridge there, and then connects with Bishop's Lodge there. Is that paved."

Mr. Giedraitis said he doesn't know off-hand, but believes it is paved. He said, "The question there is once we get off the top of the hill and closer to Bishop's Lodge, there may be the possibility of going back into utility easement, because a lot of that landscaping isn't immediately on the road as much."

Mr. Rasch asked when the utility easement was established.

Mr. Giedraitis said it was established when the road was platted, noting some of the subdivisions were first platted in the late 1970's.

Mr. Rasch said then that was before the Archaeological Ordinance was adopted.

Mr. Giedraitis said there are three subdivisions, and the first was in 1979, and the latest was in the early 1990's.

Chair Kulisheck said it appears that "the stuff that is all to the west was after the ordinance. It's all been surveyed, and so it's only this eastern-most stretch of Barranca... excuse me, only the western-most stretch of Barranca that looks like it would warrant additional investigation. So, my sort of, because we do have a trigger of the Ordinance here, my feeling would be, if it's not paved and if the road surface is relatively close to native, I think a pedestrian inventory would be warranted. If it is paved, I think monitoring would be the more appropriate treatment for this particular stretch of road. And what I would say, is for the portion that has been previously surveyed, I would recommend a literature review, and if the review determines that survey is adequate, I wouldn't think that an additional survey would be needed on that stretch. Is that appropriate under the Ordinance."

Mr. Rasch said, "Yes, and the Barranca part that hasn't been surveyed, is in the Suburban District which is a pedestrian survey anyway, follows that same standard."

Chair Kulisheck said, "So I would proceed that way, because I think what you're saying is, given the giant size of these dots, because we don't have the actual site boundaries here, what you're saying Ken is that where it does pass through the edges of these giant dots, is it doesn't actually pass through the sites, is what you're telling me. And that would be my expectation. And so it looks like, if that's the case, we're looking at it being site free through that whole stretch, and if you determine, upon reviewing that report, that it was conducted to a standard appropriate to the City today and you don't believe that there were any properties that were missed, or any properties that may have become eligible since, you know, since it was surveyed, that the likelihood of finding any of those properties is going to be small, then we can go ahead and consider that survey valid. And then, it will be the remainder of the unsurveyed portion of the project area that will need to be investigated."

Mr. Brown asked if all the paved roads need to be monitored, or just where the previously recorded sites are.

Mr. Eck said, "What I think I'm hearing Jeremy say, is that if the literature review establishes a reasonable level of effort and an expectation based on that, then you probably wouldn't have to mess with monitoring the stuff that's already been surveyed and talked about."

Chair Kulisheck said, "If you determine that the survey is valid, that it meets our current standards and you don't think that surveying it again is going to find any additional properties, then what I would say is only monitor along that section if you have a concern about a site being in the vicinity that you think you're going to encounter subsurface. If you don't have that concern, I wouldn't monitor anything along there. Let's go ahead and try to minimize the costs in this particular project and only investigate what requires investigation. If you figure that there's no investigation required, then we're not going to do it... and just simply deal with that in a report in a standard literature review saying we've reviewed the literature, the survey is valid, there are no properties within the project area, we're done. But certainly if you do find there is a property you have a concern about, go ahead and monitor it, just like you would with any project."

Responding to the Chair, Ms. Helberg said she can Incorporate the maps and other materials by reference to the minutes, noting the originals are on file in Mr. Rasch's office.

Chair Kulisheck said he would like to do it this way so we can keep the privileged information privileged.

Mr. Giedraitis said there were outages in the Plaza area over Christmas last year. He said PNM is having to reevaluate all of the downtown system around the Plaza, noting it is completely insufficient. He said toward the end of 2011, they will be initiating Phase 1 of work around the Plaza area, with new line, line replacement, and looking more to the area to the east of the Plaza and to the southeast. He said they will be contacting the City regarding future work.

J. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION: Jake Ivey moved, seconded by David Eck, to adjourn the meeting.

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote, and the meeting was adjourned at approximately 5:50 p.m.

Jeremy Kulisheck, Chair

Melessia Helberg, Stenographer