



Agenda

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATE 1-19-11 TIME 2:10

SERVED BY Billy Mosquera

RECEIVED BY [Signature]

**PUBLIC WORKS/CIP & LAND USE
COMMITTEE MEETING
CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS
MONDAY, JANUARY 24, 2011
5:15 P.M.**

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. ROLL CALL
3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
4. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA
5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM JANUARY 10, 2011 PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEETING

INFORMATIONAL AGENDA

6. UPDATE TO ESCARPMENT OVERLAY DISTRICT RE-MAP PROGRESS (**WENDY BLACKWELL**)
7. PARKS MASTER PLAN BOND UPDATE (**FABIAN CHAVEZ**)

CONSENT AGENDA

8. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PARKS BOND TRAIL PROJECTS BUDGET (**ERIC MARTINEZ**)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)

01/31/11

Council (Scheduled)

02/09/11

9. CIP PROJECT #612 – CITY HALL ROOFING
 - REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 WITH MIKE LOPEZ ROOFING, LLC IN THE AMOUNT OF \$25,846 INCLUSIVE OF NMGR (CHIP LILIENTHAL)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)

01/31/11

Council (Scheduled)

02/09/11

10. CIP PROJECT #684 – SANTA FE COMMUNITY CONVENTION CENTER (SFCCC)
 - REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF BUDGET ADJUSTMENT REQUEST (BAR) IN THE AMOUNT OF \$150,000 (**MARTIN VALDEZ**)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)

01/31/11

Council (Scheduled)

02/09/11

11. REQUEST FOR CONCEPT APPROVAL OF PLATTING ENTITLED "RIGHT OF WAY DEDICATION PLAT FOR WEST EXTENSION OF JAGUAR ROAD" WITHIN LIMITS OF SANTA FE AIRPORT LANDS LOCATED IN SECTION 15, TWP. 16 NORTH, RANGE 8 EAST, N.M.P.M. AND OF "AGREEMENT TO CONSTRUCT AND DEDICATE REQUIRED DEVELOPMENT IMPROVEMENTS" BY COMMERCIAL CENTER AT 599, INC. **(EDWARD VIGIL)**

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)	01/31/11
Council (Scheduled)	02/09/11

12. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF FOURTH RENEWAL OF LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SANTA FE AND SANTA FE HEALTH CLUB, LLC, OF PARKING LEASE WITHIN PROJECTED SECTION 14, TWP. 17 NORTH, RANGE 9 EAST, N.M.P.M. BY B. JOHN MONTOYA, MANAGING MEMBER **(EDWARD VIGIL)**

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)	01/31/11
Council (Scheduled)	02/09/11

13. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO DEVELOP VARIOUS INITIATIVES FOR THE AIRPORT ROAD AREA IN ORDER TO PROMOTE A HEALTHY, PROGRESSIVE AND SAFE COMMUNITY **(COUNCILOR DOMINGUEZ) (KATHERINE MORTIMER)**

Committee Review:

Public Works (Postpone)	12/06/10
Finance Committee (Approved)	01/18/11
Council (Scheduled)	02/23/11

14. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION URGING THE NEW MEXICO STATE LEGISLATURE TO ADOPT AN ACT RELATING TO MOTOR VEHICLES; PROVIDING THAT A MOTORIST MUST PASS A BICYCLIST WITH AT LEAST A FIVE-FOOT DISTANCE; ESTABLISHING A PENALTY ASSESSMENT MISDEMEANOR **(COUNCILOR BUSHEE) (ROBERT SIQUEIROS)**

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Approved)	01/18/11
Public Safety (Scheduled)	01/20/11
Council (Scheduled)	01/26/11

15. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION URGING THE NEW MEXICO STATE LEGISLATURE TO MAINTAIN THE EXISTING NEW MEXICO DRIVER'S LICENSE LAWS AS THEY RELATE TO THE ISSUANCE OF DRIVER'S LICENSES, IRRESPECTIVE OF IMMIGRATION STATUS **(COUNCILORS TRUJILLO, WURZBURGER AND CALVERT) (CARLA LOPEZ)**

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Approved)	01/18/11
Public Safety (Scheduled)	01/20/11
Council (Scheduled)	01/26/11

16. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION URGING THE NEW MEXICO STATE LEGISLATURE TO MAINTAIN THE EXISTING FILM TAX CREDIT INCENTIVE, UNTIL SUCH TIME AS A COMPLETE AND THOROUGH ECONOMIC IMPACT STUDY CAN BE DONE; AND SUPPORTING SENATE BILL NO. 2011-44 AND OPPOSING HOUSE BILL NO. 2011-19 **(MAYOR COSS AND COUNCILOR CALVERT) (FABIAN TRUJILLO)**

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Approved)	01/18/11
Council (Scheduled)	01/26/11

17. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE RE-ESTABLISHMENT OF THE HISTORIC SOUTHWEST REGION OF THE NATIONAL PARK SERVICE AND THE PRESERVATION OF THE NATIONALLY SIGNIFICANT REGIONAL OFFICE BUILDING IN SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO **(MAYOR COSS, COUNCILORS CALVERT AND BUSHEE) (JEANNE PRICE)**

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Approved)	01/18/11
Council (Scheduled)	01/26/11

18. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION AMENDING TABLE 50, PAGE 45 "PLANNED FIRE/EMS IMPROVEMENTS, 2007-2012" AND TABLE 62, PAGE 53 "PLANNED POLICE PROTECTION IMPROVEMENTS, 2007-2012" OF THE CITY OF SANTA FE "IMPACT FEES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN AND LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS, 2007-2012" TO INCLUDE A REGIONAL MOBILE MAPPING SYSTEM AS AN ELIGIBLE PROJECT TO RECEIVE IMPACT FEE FUNDS **(COUNCILOR TRUJILLO) (CHIEF SALAS)**

Committee Review:

Capital Impact Fees Advisory (Approved)	01/13/11
Public Safety (Scheduled)	01/20/11
Finance Committee (Scheduled)	01/31/11
Council (Scheduled)	02/09/11

19. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO NEGOTIATE A LEASE WITH THE SANTA FE RAILYARD COMMUNITY CORPORATION FOR THE SANTA FE, ATCHISON AND TOPEKA DEPOT LOCATED ON THE RAILYARD TO BE USED BY THE CONVENTION AND VISITORS' BUREAU AS A VISITORS' CENTER; AND TO NEGOTIATE OPERATING AGREEMENTS WITH THE SANTA FE SOUTHERN RAILROAD AND THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR USE OF THE RAILROAD CORRIDOR **(MAYOR COSS, COUNCILORS WURZBURGER AND ROMERO) (ROBERT SIQUEIROS)**

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Approved)	01/18/11
Council (Scheduled)	01/26/11

20. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE ADOPTED AS AN URGENT MEASURE ESTABLISHING A SIX MONTH MORATORIUM ON THE APPROVAL OF PERMITS FOR THE INSTALLATION OF TOWERS AND ANTENNAS SUBJECT TO CHAPTER 14 OF THE CITY CODE LOCATED ON PRIVATE PROPERTY OR CITY PROPERTY EXCLUDING PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY **(COUNCILORS CALVERT AND BUSHEE) (MARCOS MARTINEZ)**

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)	01/31/11
Council (Request to Publish)	02/09/11
Council (Scheduled)	03/09/11

21. MATTERS FROM STAFF

22. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE

23. NEXT MEETING: **MONDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2011**

24. ADJOURN

Persons with disabilities in need of accommodations, contact the City Clerk's office at 955-6520
five (5) working days prior to meeting date

**SUMMARY INDEX FOR
PUBLIC WORKS/CIP & LAND USE COMMITTEE
January 24, 2011**

ITEM	ACTION	PAGE
1. Call to Order	Convened at 5:15 p.m.	1
2. Roll Call	Quorum Present	1
3. Approval of Agenda	Approved as amended	1-2
4. Approval of Consent Agenda	Approved as amended	2
5. Approval of Minutes - January 10, 2011	Not Considered	2
Informational Agenda		
6. Escarpment Overlay District Re-Map	Presented and discussed	2-8
7. Parks Master Plan Bond Trail Projects	Postponed to February 21 2011	8-9
Consent Agenda Listing	Listed	9-12
Discussion Agenda		
8. Parks Bond Trail Projects Budget	Postponed to February 21, 2011	12
21. Matters from Staff	None	12
22. Matters from the Committee	None	12
23. Next Meeting	Set for February 7, 2011	12
24. Adjournment	Adjourned at 5:45 p.m.	13

MINUTES OF THE
CITY OF SANTA FÉ
PUBLIC WORKS/CIP & LAND USE COMMITTEE

MONDAY, JANUARY 24, 2011

1. CALL TO ORDER

A regular meeting of the Public Works/CIP & Land Use Committee was called to order on the above date by Chair Carmichael Dominguez at approximately 5:15 p.m. in City Council Chambers, City Hall, 200 Lincoln, Santa Fé, New Mexico.

2. ROLL CALL

Roll Call indicated the presence of a quorum as follows:

MEMBER PRESENT:

Councilor Carmichael Dominguez, Chair
Councilor Rosemary Romero, Vice Chair
Councilor Christopher Calvert
Councilor Miguel Chávez [arriving later]
Councilor Ronald S. Trujillo

MEMBERS ABSENT:

STAFF PRESENT:

Mr. Ike Pino, Public Works Director
Ms. Bobbi Mossman, Public Works Staff

NOTE: All items in the Committee packet for all agenda items were incorporated herewith by reference. The original Committee packet is on file in the Public Works Department.

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Mr. Pino asked the Committee to consider postponing presentations 7 and 8 to the second meeting in February. He thought the packet information was not sufficient.

Councilor Calvert moved to approve the agenda with items #7 and #8 postponed to the second meeting in February. Councilor Trujillo seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

4. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

Councilor Romero moved to approve the consent agenda as presented (with #8 already postponed). Councilor Trujillo seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM JANUARY 10, 2011 PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEETING

The minutes were not considered.

INFORMATIONAL AGENDA

6. UPDATE TO ESCARPMENT OVERLAY DISTRICT RE-MAP PROGRESS (WENDY BLACKWELL)

Ms. Blackwell provided this update. She explained that it was a follow up from four community meetings held in November on the escarpment overlay district re-mapping.

What they got was feedback that the resulting map would not protect what was already protected. The work group had been working with that input and scientific analysis in the last six weeks. The concept proceeded on how to correct the map. The group decided to run the analysis based on the original viewpoints and corridors. There were 13 viewpoints and 6 corridors. She had the list if the Committee needed it. Instead of 7,100 viewpoints, using the original ones turned out to be 274 viewpoints - mostly downtown, Cerro Gordo, etc.

She referred to the two maps that had been handed out [Exhibits A and B]. She explained that one was the high visibility zone - similar to Ridgetop now where a 14' structure would break the ridge line. She showed a diagram of how it was calculated as an example. If a 14' high structure broke the ridge line it was part of the skyline analysis.

The legend was stapled onto the map. What appeared in red showed the areas that had the highest number of viewpoints where 14' would break the ridge line. Currently the ridgetop was 588 acres. Red and orange covered 466 acres in high visibility. With yellow added it was 724 and with green added it was over 900 acres. That was cumulative. So the Escarpment work group worked through that, doing the skyline part first.

The view shed map for the second analysis was shown to the Committee in a diagram - if at all visible, the structure was included in the view shed map. The resulting map was a compilation of the two.

That was what they took out to the community meetings.

Councilor Calvert asked then if the high visibility was a subset of the view shed.

Ms. Blackwell said it was in some areas. Part of it was not part of the general escarpment. They needed input from the Committee before finalizing it. The numbers stapled on were also cumulative as each color was added. 2,278 was current acreage.

In the meetings staff heard people say they wanted to know what the resulting map would protect and only protect those areas.

So it was the transition from 7,100 back to the original points. The solid black lines showed current boundaries on the maps. She wanted guidance on where the cutoff should be placed.

Councilor Chávez arrived at this time.

Ms. Blackwell said there were three pages of data on parcels going in and parcels taken out and acres going in and taken out. It was pretty complicated.

Chair Dominguez asked if with staff's proposal there was anything staff were not anticipating.

Ms. Blackwell said regarding community involvement that the work group wanted to get the maps settled into a version and then consider how the ordinance should be modified. People wanted to see what the text would be before going to the formal process. Other concepts on the map would affect the text. She indicated they could generate maps quickly after determining where the cutoff should be. She asked how much time for community input they should allow.

Councilor Chávez thought there was some participation on this and the drafts reflected that public participation to get to this point.

Ms. Blackwell agreed. The maps were based on the four meetings in November.

Councilor Chávez asked how or where it would dovetail into Chapter 14. It seemed like a never-ending process.

Mr. O'Reilly said there were certain items in Chapter 14 that were specifically left out of the update process going on now. One of them was the escarpment section. He expected the Planning Commission to be finished with its review in 60-90 days and come forward to Council and committees. The tracking of boundaries was not part of that rewrite process.

Councilor Chávez what the differences were between high visibility and view shed. The areas seemed to be different.

Mr. O'Reilly explained again that the high visibility, as Ms. Blackwell reported, was what once was the Ridge top. The reason the work group felt high visibility was a better definition for that was because it would break the view of the skyline. The View Shed was sort of analogous with Foothills - whether a building was visible at all from the same viewpoints and corridors as the ordinance presently had.

Ms. Blackwell explained it further for him.

Mr. O'Reilly clarified that it was from 6 corridors and 13 view points as shown in the little box. They had identified over 7,000 points from the city and the public found that objectionable because they were not the original points and corridors. These maps used the original locations.

Councilor Chávez asked if there could be a legend on the map showing the reference points.

Mr. O'Reilly said once they came up with a draft map they would describe those points.

Ms. Blackwell shared the list with them. [Exhibit C] She explained that some of the corridors actually overlapped.

Councilor Calvert said he misunderstood. He thought in this process they had increased the number of viewpoints.

Mr. O'Reilly clarified that was the original strategy of the committee but it was not well received so they went back to the original ones and did the analysis from them.

Councilor Calvert asked if these categories with different colors were what they were asking to be used.

Mr. O'Reilly referred to the high visibility map and the paper stapled in the corner. It showed the categories and corresponding colors. As you moved down the list, more acres were included and those areas were visible from fewer points.

He pointed out that the northwest areas were never mapped but were now part of the city. This was a new analysis of the original area in the Ridge top.

Councilor Calvert asked what defined the northwest acreage - if there was a particular color.

Ms. Blackwell pointed it out on the map

Councilor Calvert surmised that the purple represented the annexed areas on the east side and on the far west.

The other thing he didn't see was the differences in the number of points.

Ms. Blackwell said she didn't have that.

Councilor Calvert said to know the numbers would help him decide.

Mr. O'Reilly said the green represented about 6-7 view points.

Councilor Calvert suggested putting that number in the stapled part.

He asked if they were increasing or decreasing the acreage. If the goal was to keep it the same then staff would need to help with the criteria.

Councilor Chávez said there was not enough detailed information to understand the nuances between high visibility and ridgetop. He thought it didn't make much sense to decrease the area. He would want to know what rationale was used to decrease or increase. If it increased to the max it would be 978.

Mr. O'Reilly thought they could say that the work group felt comfortable presenting a range to Council and staff was not prepared to say whether it should be the same or change - that was a policy decision. But they came up with a more accurate way to portray it.

Councilor Chávez asked when they wanted that policy decision.

Mr. O'Reilly said they would like some guidance from the Committee. If the Committee chose only the red or only red and orange, it would be fewer acres. If the red, orange, yellow and green were chosen it would have more acreage and more lots would change.

Councilor Chávez favored using red, orange, yellow and green as his recommendation.

Councilor Romero said the subgroup working on this was an extra arm of Public Works and Land Use. She was surprised with the acreage piece. Because of annexation and more scientific analysis it didn't focus on acreage but came pretty close with the new viewpoints. Some of the push back came from the community groups and it would affect their property. So the meetings were held and the new analysis shifted to this analysis.

Ms. Blackwell said the feedback was that the extra viewpoints skewed the area that would be protected. Then the work group had the idea to go back to the original points after mulling through the community feedback.

Mr. O'Reilly said staff wanted to bring the maps down with the analysis done in October and present them to the community and explain to them the analysis that was used. They created a set of maps where they forced the acreage to be the same and that could be done here as well if the Councilors wished. That was part of the criticism from the public and staff was trying to respect what they heard from the community but staff and the work group felt it was beyond their authority to recommend tripling the ridgetop area.

What they saw was that the escarpment area wasn't exactly what they had in it before. This was better scientific analysis.

Councilor Romero was leaning toward more views rather than number of lots affected.

Councilor Trujillo felt the 7000 points included views from the entire city and now the work group went back to the original which was much less.

Mr. O'Reilly agreed. The original set was not viewed from every street corner - only six roads and certain important points in the city and maps were created from those. With 7100 points, it shifted the escarpment area to the west and left some in the east unprotected. This was done by human beings driving along and marking maps.

Councilor Trujillo asked if people who were 5-6 miles away could still see those areas.

Mr. O'Reilly said most of the points were along roadways and everyone could drive on them. So it was not just what you could see from your back yard. Also the direction originally was to remap and correct deficiencies in the map.

Councilor Trujillo thought they did a great job. He just didn't know what was best.

Ms. Blackwell pulled up a slide to show where the 7100 points were on the map. The blue lines were of people looking up (1437 points) and seeing what broke the view line. Now they were using 200+ for the analysis.

Chair Dominguez asked if any of the legislation that got them to this point directed them to go further.

Ms. Blackwell clarified that in September 2006 the Council passed a resolution to correct the maps based on better analysis.

Chair Dominguez said the problem was that the mapping should have a cutoff based on the method. He asked what methods were used to first create the maps.

Ms. Blackwell explained that it was done by staff going out with binoculars and looking at the ridge and they drew where they felt the ridgetop and foothills fell. The new map was done by computer generation. The 14' cutoff was imposed by the work group in 2008 when it started. They came to Council in December 2008 and Council agreed with the group's statement.

Mr. O'Reilly said the original group made mistakes but not by intention. What they found from re-analyzing with the new mapping technology was that there were places that should have been included and others that should not have been included. So the outline was changing. But if the Council said they should have 508 using the new technology, it could easily be done that way. He didn't think that required new legislation but just remapping.

Chair Dominguez asked if they were confident in the new technology they were using. There were other ways.

Mr. O'Reilly said they were confident with the GIS mapping. It was not absolutely perfect but so much more accurate than what they used before.

Chair Dominguez noted that on the east side there was a canopy trees that could affect that technology so he wanted to make sure they were confident with it.

Mr. O'Reilly explained that the analysis assumed that there were no trees or buildings blocking the views of the hills. It was a conservative analysis. With trees and buildings in, there would be views blocked from some points. It was possible for trees to die and buildings to be demolished. They could have added in those effects.

Councilor Calvert asked what the current Foothills area was.

Mr. O'Reilly said it was about 2,700 acres.

Councilor Calvert asked if they were stuck with the criteria shown (range of view points).

Mr. O'Reilly said they could do different ranges as the Council wished and staff would bring that back to the Committee.

Councilor Calvert asked if the justification was partly due to scale i.e., when looking from Nava Adé you couldn't pick out much detail on the landscape but closer in you could actually see structures.

Mr. O'Reilly agreed that was exactly it. They went out on field trips and stopped at 1 mile away, 2 miles away, etc. and looked at the hills. After about 3 miles it was hard to determine if a structure was there or not. So they based the analysis on 3 miles or less.

Councilor Chávez asked if the number of viewpoints was 1437.

Mr. O'Reilly said it was 274. The 1400 points didn't have anything to do with it now. Those were used in the October maps but the community rejected those. 7,100 represented 330' interval points along every street and roadway in the city.

Councilor Chávez asked if the 274 viewpoints generated these maps then. Mr. O'Reilly agreed.

Councilor Chávez wanted the 274 defined. Even with the 3 mile cutoff, they might want to look at other protected areas as the city grows. He was not so concerned about the number of lots but thought there should be some provision for lots being in or out and not based on whether they were legal.

Mr. O'Reilly pointed out that with all those changes and maps there were certain changes to the ordinance and one was how to deal with existing properties. They did not yet have a recommendation but once that was done they could better know what to recommend to Council.

Councilor Romero asked if staff could clarify the difference between view point and view gate.

Ms. Blackwell referred to the handout and said they just typed up what was originally on it. A corridor was along a road way; a view gate was a specific intersection.

She agreed to retype this list and be clearer on the 13 view points and 6 view corridors.

Councilor Romero had been comfortable looking at the big picture first and then narrowing it down. She thought the analysis needed to include the annexed areas. She didn't favor an exact number of acres.

Ms. Blackwell said the map did include annexed areas but not an analysis of viewpoints within the annexed areas. There were no roads in the NWQ from which view points were included.

Councilor Romero favored including that. She wanted to get the information back and consolidate it for bigger public meetings. At the focus groups they got people who were deeply concerned about this issue. The final public meetings would tell if the analysis made sense.

Ms. Blackwell agreed to provide the extra information right away so it would be ready for the next meeting.

Chair Dominguez noted that two members would miss the February 7th meeting. So this would come back to the second meeting in February.

Ms. Blackwell asked if the Committee would like to suggest certain roadways to include.

Councilor Calvert thought that would depend on whether they kept the original 3 mile limit. He didn't think the annexed area to the east would add other view points. So of the annexed areas or the NW area it would include the roads that were within the 3 miles. And then the clarification of terminology - the list should have clear terminology. So it could tell how many view points were in each view corridor.

Councilor Chávez said there might be other places of interest to consider within the 3 miles.

Councilor Romero said when the analysis was finished, some places were left out so increasing the viewpoints would bring that area back in.

Mr. O'Reilly agreed. One of the complaints was that areas like the back side of Cerro Gordo were getting pushed out of the escarpment because having 7,100 viewpoints diluted the view points along Cerro Gordo and Canyon Road. Adding too many new viewpoints would dilute what the original area included. Councilor Romero agreed.

Mr. O'Reilly added that these were the areas from which the community had come to expect to view the hills and were adopted in the original mapping.

Chair Dominguez thought the staff now had some clear direction. As a cartographer, the more

viewpoints - the more accurate the map.

7. PARKS MASTER PLAN BOND UPDATE (FABIAN CHÁVEZ)

This agenda item was postponed to February 21, 2011 under Approval of Agenda.

CONSENT AGENDA

9. CIP PROJECT #612 – CITY HALL ROOFING

- **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 WITH MIKE LÓPEZ ROOFING, LLC IN THE AMOUNT OF \$25,846 INCLUSIVE OF NMGR (CHIP LILIENTHAL)**

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)

01/31/11

Council (Scheduled)

02/09/11

10. CIP PROJECT #684 – SANTA FÉ COMMUNITY CONVENTION CENTER (SFCCC)

- **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF BUDGET ADJUSTMENT REQUEST (BAR) IN THE AMOUNT OF \$150,000 (MARTIN VALDEZ)**

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)

01/31/11

Council (Scheduled)

02/09/11

11. REQUEST FOR CONCEPT APPROVAL OF PLATTING ENTITLED “RIGHT OF WAY DEDICATION PLAT FOR WEST EXTENSION OF JAGUAR ROAD” WITHIN LIMITS OF SANTA FÉ AIRPORT LANDS LOCATED IN SECTION 15, TWP. 16 NORTH, RANGE 8 EAST, N.M.P.M. AND OF “AGREEMENT TO CONSTRUCT AND DEDICATE REQUIRED DEVELOPMENT IMPROVEMENTS” BY COMMERCIAL CENTER AT 599, INC. (EDWARD VIGIL)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)

01/31/11

Council (Scheduled)

02/09/11

12. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF FOURTH RENEWAL OF LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SANTA FÉ AND SANTA FÉ HEALTH CLUB, LLC, OF PARKING LEASE WITHIN PROJECTED SECTION 14, TWP. 17 NORTH, RANGE 9 EAST, N.M.P.M. BY B. JOHN MONTOYA, MANAGING MEMBER (EDWARD VIGIL)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)
Council (Scheduled)

01/31/11
02/09/11

13. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO DEVELOP VARIOUS INITIATIVES FOR THE AIRPORT ROAD AREA IN ORDER TO PROMOTE A HEALTHY, PROGRESSIVE AND SAFE COMMUNITY (COUNCILOR DOMINGUEZ) (KATHERINE MORTIMER)

Committee Review:

Public Works (Postponed)
Finance Committee (Approved)
Council (Scheduled)

12/06/10
01/18/11
01/26/11

14. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION URGING THE NEW MEXICO STATE LEGISLATURE TO ADOPT AN ACT RELATING TO MOTOR VEHICLES; PROVIDING THAT A MOTORIST MUST PASS A BICYCLIST WITH AT LEAST A FIVE-FOOT DISTANCE; ESTABLISHING A PENALTY ASSESSMENT MISDEMEANOR (COUNCILOR BUSHEE) (ROBERT SIQUEIROS)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Approved)
Public Safety (Scheduled)
Council (Scheduled)

01/18/11
01/20/11
01/26/11

15. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION URGING THE NEW MEXICO STATE LEGISLATURE TO MAINTAIN THE EXISTING NEW MEXICO DRIVER'S LICENSE LAWS AS THEY RELATE TO THE ISSUANCE OF DRIVER'S LICENSES, IRRESPECTIVE OF IMMIGRATION STATUS (COUNCILORS TRUJILLO, WURZBURGER AND CALVERT) (CARLA LÓPEZ)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Approved)
Public Safety (Scheduled)
Council (Scheduled)

01/18/11
01/20/11
01/26/11

16. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION URGING THE NEW MEXICO STATE LEGISLATURE TO MAINTAIN THE EXISTING FILM TAX CREDIT INCENTIVE UNTIL SUCH TIME AS A COMPLETE AND THOROUGH ECONOMIC IMPACT STUDY CAN BE DONE; AND

SUPPORTING SENATE BILL NO. 2011-44 AND OPPOSING HOUSE BILL NO. 2011-19 (MAYOR COSS AND COUNCILOR CALVERT) (FABIAN TRUJILLO)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)	01/18/11
Council (Scheduled)	01/26/11

- 17. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE RE-ESTABLISHMENT OF THE HISTORIC SOUTHWEST REGION OF THE NATIONAL PARK SERVICE AND THE PRESERVATION OF THE NATIONALLY SIGNIFICANT REGIONAL OFFICE BUILDING IN SANTA FÉ, NEW MEXICO (MAYOR COSS, COUNCILORS CALVERT AND BUSHEE) (JEANNE PRICE)**

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Approved)	01/18/11
Council (Scheduled)	01/26/11

- 18. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION AMENDING TABLE 50, PAGE 45 "PLANNED FIRE/EMS IMPROVEMENTS, 2007-2012" AND TABLE 62, PAGE 53 "PLANNED POLICE PROTECTION IMPROVEMENTS, 2007-2012" OF THE CITY OF SANTA FÉ "IMPACT FEES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN AND LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS, 2007-2012" TO INCLUDE A REGIONAL MOBILE MAPPING SYSTEM AS AN ELIGIBLE PROJECT TO RECEIVE IMPACT FEE FUNDS (COUNCILOR TRUJILLO) (CHIEF SALAS)**

Committee Review:

Capital Impact Fees Advisory (Approved)	01/13/11
Public Safety (Scheduled)	01/20/11
Finance Committee (Scheduled)	01/31/11
Council (Scheduled)	02/09/11

- 19. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO NEGOTIATE A LEASE WITH THE SANTA FÉ RAILYARD COMMUNITY CORPORATION FOR THE SANTA FÉ, ATCHISON AND TOPEKA DEPOT LOCATED ON THE RAILYARD TO BE USED BY THE CONVENTION AND VISITORS' BUREAU AS A VISITORS' CENTER; AND TO NEGOTIATE OPERATING AGREEMENTS WITH THE SANTA FÉ SOUTHERN RAILROAD AND THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR USE OF THE RAILROAD CORRIDOR (MAYOR COSS, COUNCILORS WURZBURGER AND ROMERO) (ROBERT SIQUEIROS)**

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Approved)
Council (Scheduled)

01/18/11
01/26/11

20. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE ADOPTED AS AN URGENT MEASURE ESTABLISHING A SIX MONTH MORATORIUM ON THE APPROVAL OF PERMITS FOR THE INSTALLATION OF TOWERS AND ANTENNAS SUBJECT TO CHAPTER 14 OF THE CITY CODE LOCATED ON PRIVATE PROPERTY OR CITY PROPERTY EXCLUDING PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY (COUNCILORS CALVERT AND BUSHEE) (MARCOS MARTÍNEZ)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)
Council (Request to Publish)
Council (Scheduled)

01/31/11
02/09/11
03/09/11

DISCUSSION AGENDA

8. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PARKS BOND TRAIL PROJECTS BUDGET (ERIC MARTÍNEZ)

Committee Review:

Finance Committee (Scheduled)
Council (Scheduled)

01/31/11
02/09/11

This agenda item was postponed to February 21, 2011 under Approval of Agenda.

21. MATTERS FROM STAFF

There were no matters from staff.

22. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE

There were no matters from the Committee.

23. NEXT MEETING: MONDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2011

24. ADJOURN


Having completed the agenda and with no further business to come before the Committee, the meeting

was adjourned at 6:45 p.m.

Approved by:

Carmichael Dominguez, Chair

Submitted by:



Carl Boaz, Stenographer