



Agenda

SANTA FE REGIONAL JUVENILE JUSTICE BOARD

Strategic Plan

Thursday September 13th, 2018

1:00 pm to 4 pm.

500 Market Street Suite 200

- I. Call to Order
- II. Roll Call
- III. Approval of Agenda
- IV. Introductions of Board Members and Guests
- V. Strategic Planning for FY 18/19 CYFD
- VI. Programs ICM, Educational Re-Engagement and Educational Wrap Around Services.
 - A) Over view of the data info
 - B) New Programs and amounts.
- VII. Adjournment

PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES IN NEED OF ACCOMODATIONS, CONTACT THE CITY CLERK'S OFFICE AT 505-955-6520, FIVE (5) WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO THE MEETING DATE.

RECEIVED AT THE CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATE: 08/31/2018

TIME: 8:44 AM

Santa Fe Regional Juvenile Justice Board

Index

Meeting of September 13th, 2018

ITEM		Pages
Cover Sheet		1
Call to Order	SFRJJB Call to order at 1:15 p.m.	2
Roll Call	Quorum was present	2
Approval of Agenda	Agenda was approved	2
Presentations:	Discussion only	3-13
Strategic Planning for FY 18/19	Discussion only	3-13
Adjournment	SFRJJB Adjournment 3:00 p.m.	13
Signature Page		13

Santa Fe Regional Juvenile Justice Board Meeting

500 Market Station Suite 200

September 13th 2018

1:00 P.M. to 4:00 p.m.

1. The Santa Fe Regional Juvenile Justice Board was called to order at 1:15 p.m.

A quorum was present.

2. ROLL CALL

PRESENT:

Deacon Anthony Trujillo

Jennifer Romero

Mark Dickson

Richard Lindahl

Excused/Not Present:

Judge Mary Marlow Sommers

Sam Jackson

Staff Present:

Richard De Mella, City Liaison

3. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Agenda was approved by Quorum.

4. INTRODUCTIONS OF MEMBERS AND GUESTS

Introductions were made by those present

PRESENTATIONS:
STRATEGIC PLAN 18/19:

Mr. De Mella opened the meeting with a review of the CYFD strategic plan from 2015. CYFD Strategic Plan encompasses all of CYFD functions, from Juvenile Justice to Protective Services and social network. Juvenile Justice looks at prevention, community engagement, and other core functions. Juvenile Justice section spoke of the Cambian Model the old Missouri Model and demonstrated the importance of providing an array of services.

A question of Residential Treatment centers and if there is a waiting list for clients to get in.

Ms. Abyeta stated that there are a handful of services available and that there is no problem of clients getting into treatment. However the Intensive Out Patient Treatment (IOP) is a better way to go.

Mr. Dickson stated that he concurred with Ms. Abeyta, as it pertains to the IOP.

RTC takes clients away from their family and community, and the objective is to keep family and client together.

Mr. Lindahl stated that the Annie E Casey Foundation principle is to keep appropriate kids out of detention and RTC. Asked if Behavioral Health are at JPO and Ms. Abeyta stated yes and that they work with Protective services also.

The fact that numerous clients go to treatment and come back to the same environment is problematic. IOP and drug court work with both client and family and is beneficial in that matter.

The question of insurance came about and Ms. Abeyta stated that Medicaid pays for all of the treatment for MST. This includes family members and that private insurance does not pay for MST or has limited funding. MST funding is utilized quite rapidly.

Ms. Romero stated that a provider in Albuquerque, Serenade took clients and that they were very inexpensive and that they took Medicaid and private insurance and charged \$500.00 a month for services. Some programs cost as much as \$15,000.00 per client, the cost of Serenade was much cheaper.

Programs:

Mr. De Mella stated that currently the Board runs both prevention programs and alternative to detention programs. The question was put forward as if the prevention programs that the Board is doing has had an effect on the declining numbers in referrals to JPO.

Ms. Romero stated that the local police department is overwhelmed due to the number of officers leaving the department. Are the police not referring juveniles and letting them go or has prevention taken hold.

Deacon Trujillo stated if there was a way to show thru data that the prevention programs worked and how to accomplish it.

Ms Romero stated that either they are working or the PD is short handed.

Ms. Abeyta stated that for Fiesta Weekend she received 6 calls when on other times was 25 to 30 calls.

Deacon Trujillo asked how CIS tracking system works. He stated that he saw how on school calls another on a student who maybe having difficulties. He stated that a client from ICM many years ago is working and doing well.

Mr. Dickson stated that its ignorant of people who believe that nothing happens to a juvenile when arrested. In fact a juvenile stays in jail longer due to the fact that they have no bail.

Ms. Abeyta stated the Re-engagement program is a prevention program and should be labeled accordingly.

Mr. Dickson stated that State wide boards act as a business incubator. State gives funding to local municipalities under the guise that the local city, county know what to do in their respective communities.

Mr. Lindahl stated that some sites have been funded for many years for the same program. Many sites due to financial strains are unable to provide funding. Also that the 2 million dollar budget for the Continuums is buried in the overall CYFD budget. So as Mr. Dickson stated who or what is asked as it pertains to the data/evaluation, the State Government is looking at big ticket items and has not asked about programs.

Mr. De Mella explained that in order to receive funding our model programs must be evidence based and approved by OJJDP. The site must show where the program falls on the OJJDP matrix.

Mr. Lindahl stated its good to be in the Base Budget of CYFD because of recurring funding. Some sites get more funding than others and explained how some have had programs in their communities for years and the municipality does pick up the program or help with some kind of funding. The need for new program what does a client need not what's available.

Mr. Dickson inquired about Teen Court funding and the clientele. Ms. Romero stated that funding comes from several sources. Mr. Dickson observed teen court clients and he said that they were a greater cross section then what he handles. Ms. Romero said that 10% of teen court clients later become Mr. Dickson's clients, usually because of several violations.

A problem with Child Protective Services is that they do not enter into any court proceedings with delinquent cases. The family in a majority of these cases are the issue and hence the need for involvement. If issues with families are not addressed the challenges remain.

Mr. De Mella showed the application for CYFD and went through the items. By-laws, needs assessment, and Strategic Plan. One of the requirements is to have a youth representative. At this time the youth that was going to be placed on the Board has disappeared. A new recruitment of a youth member is on, looking at the Ambassadors program at local community college.

Mr. De Mella spoke on current programs the first one was ICM (Intensive Community Monitoring) and asked if more funding was needed. The current contractor Youth Works uses a team approach in handling clients. There was also

no gaps in services and that the quality of service was outstanding according to Ms. Abeyta.

Mr. De Mella informed the group that the ages for kids in programs now is 10 to 17.

Mr. Dickson asked why and Mr. Lindahl stated it was a policy decision.

Ms. Abeyta felt that it is important that the earlier intervention, prevention is put in place the chances of a child succeeding is great.

Mr. De Mella asked the group if we should apply for more funding for Community in Schools so services can be provided in the high school. Ms. Romero said yes to that decision.

The question of truancy came up and it was explained that funding for truancy came from PED and CYFD would not fund a truancy program.

Ms. Abeyta stated that Re-Engagement should have more funding. The reason being is that since truancy is not being funded that clients who are truant amongst other issues are going to the Re-engagement. She sites improvement in the clients.

Mr. Lindahl stated we can expand on both CIS and Re-engagement. The need to explain why we need more funding for re-engagement. More truancy issues from the Santa Fe public schools. Spoke on performance measures and outcomes.

Mr. De Mella asked what about adding a new program(s) to the upcoming application. All programs new or current have to be an evidence based program.

Reviewing the county data for delinquent offenses first is shop lifting. The Questions was asked what is being shop lifted?

Ms Romero said everything, food, booze, makeup, electronics, cloths, whatever they can take.

Ms Romero bought up the point that what is needed is a gender specific programs for both boys and girls. Also Straighten Families program to help with both kids

and families, to help with kids in middle school. The cost that would be asked for is \$40,000.00

Ms. Abeyta stated that it is very important to get the right program and individual that can relate to the family.

Deacon Trujillo was concerned about the funding being so small and how to let our legislatures know.

Mr. Lindahl stated that we need to meet with legislatures but as a Board to ask for more funding. There is a new administration coming in in January and can lobby in the coming year.

Deacon asked why there had not been an increase in funding when more sites were added?

Mr. De Mella stated that Santa Fe had an advantage in that there are auxiliary services that are utilized with our CYFD funded programs.

Mr. De Mella stated that ICM for FY 18/19 was \$57,165 and for 68 youth.

Mr Dickson said how can ICM show that CYFD is getting a bang for their buck.

Mr. Lindahl asked how many clients were served ?

Mr. De Mella, stated that all 70 youth were served more than what was required.

Deacon Trujillo asked are kids are getting services other than ICM?

Ms. Abeyta stated that because our current provider has a multitude of services and the ICM clients go back to the provider for education or job services, they are quite familiar and comfortable with their surroundings. Many ICM clients go to JCC programs run by our provider.

Mr Lindahl asked about additional funding what would it go too?

Mr. Dickson said more clients and all agreed. The court is seeing about 150 clients per year and not all are ICM clients. He stated about 90 would be a good number of clients that would be eligible for the program.

Mr. De Mella said that would be a 22 client increase.

Mr Dickson stated an outcome for the ICM program is that a client is not in detention again. An easy measure for the program.

Mr. De Mella asked about the Educational Wrap around services and expansion into Santa Fe High. What would be the number of clients to be served?

Ms. Abeyta said she could get those numbers

Mr. De Mella asked about Educational Re-engagement which handles 30 clients per year at \$24,000.00

Mr. Dickson asked what are the outcomes for that program?

Ms. Abeyta stated that staying in school or in an educational setting but it can be as limited to a client showing up and enrolling in school.

Mr. Dickson stated those are good measures/outcomes because they are easily identifiable.

Staying in school passing and graduating.

Mr. De Mella spoke on a gender specific program and stated that the last time that the Board ran a gender program, Girls Circle it was for \$24,000.00

Ms. Romero stated that it was done in two cohorts. Adding a Boys Council would be another \$24,000.00 so a total of \$48,000.00

Strengthening Families was the next program that the Board wanted to apply for.

Ms. Romero stated to apply for \$40,000.00 and to utilize it for forty families. 8 to 10 families per cohort.

Mr. De Mella asked about enhancing Educational wrap Around Services to Santa Fe High.

Mr. Dickson asked if there was service at Capitol High school and there are services. He asked how many clients are served and outcomes. Had referrals gone down are more kids attending school are they on track to graduate?

MS. Abeyta stated she could help with that info.

Mr. Lindahl stated that the Needs Assessment has to reflect the programs that are being considered by JJAC. Dropout rate, graduation rate, attendance rate.

Criteria for the Boys circle as to placing participants into the program. Ms. Abeyta said ages 10 to 17 needed to be broken up because of the issues that might be presented.

Ms. Romero said not to single it out on one item. But for kids who have experienced Trauma. Divorce, death in family, suicidal tendencies.

Mr. De Mella, stated that he has his marching orders. Added up it came \$263,000.00 for all programs for FY19/20.

SIGNATURES

Sam Jackson, Chair

Richard De Mella, Stenographer