



Agenda

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATE 12/6/17 TIME 11:07

SERVED BY JGA

RECEIVED BY [Signature]

SUSTAINABLE SANTA FE COMMISSION

Tuesday, December 12, 2017, 3:30 p.m.

Caboose Conference Room, City Railyard Offices (500 Market St., entrance next to REI)

- A. CALL TO ORDER
- B. ROLL CALL
- C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: November 14, 2017
- E. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE FLOOR
- F. ACTION ITEMS REPORT
- G. DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS
 - 1. DISCUSSION: 25-Year Sustainability Plan
- H. ITEMS FROM THE CHAIR & CO-CHAIR
- I. ITEMS FROM THE COMMISSION
- J. ITEMS FROM STAFF
- K. ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC
- L. ITEMS FOR NEXT AGENDA
- M. ADJOURNMENT

NEXT MEETING: January 31, 2018

NOTE: Persons with disabilities in need of accommodations, contact the City Clerk's office at 955-6520, five (5) working days prior to meeting date.

**Sustainable Santa Fe Commission
December 12, 2017
Summary Index**

ITEM	ACTION	PAGES
A. CALL TO ORDER	Began at 3:30	
B. ROLL CALL	Quorum present	1
C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA	Approved as published	1
D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - November 14, 2017	Approved as presented	1-2
E. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE FLOOR	Introductions made	2
F. ACTION ITEMS REPORT	Discussion	2-3
G. DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS		
1. 25-Year Sustainability Plan		
H. ITEMS FROM CHAIR AND CO-CHAIR	Comments	6
I. ITEMS FROM THE COMMISSION	Comments	6
J. ITEMS FROM STAFF	Comments	7
K. ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC	None	7
L. ITEMS FOR NEXT AGENDA	None	7
M. ADJOURNMENT	Adjourned at 4:57 p.m.	7

Next Meeting: January 31, 2018

SUSTAINABLE SANTA FE COMMISSION

Caboose Conference Room
City Railyard Offices
500 Market Street, Santa Fe, New Mexico
Wednesday, December 12, 2017, 3:30 p.m.

A. CALL TO ORDER

The Sustainable Santa Fe Commission meeting was called to order by Chair Beth Beloff at 3:30 pm. Roll call established a quorum was present for taking action on the business of the Commission.

B. ROLL CALL

Members Present:

Beth R. Beloff, Chair
Robb Young Hirsch, Co-Chair [arriving later]
Kathleen S. Holian, Vice-Chair
Bob Mang
Dan Pava
Mary Schruben
Luke Spangenburg

Not Present/Excused:

Tejinder Ciano
Kimberly Griego-Kiel

Others Present:

Seth Roffman, Green Fire Times
Carl Boaz, Stenographer

Staff Present:

John Alejandro
Shirlene Sitton

C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MOTION: Commissioner Holian moved to approve the agenda as published. Commissioner Mang seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote. Commissioner Hirsch was not present for the vote.

Commissioner Hirsch arrived at 3:45.

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: November 14, 2017

Commissioner Schruben requested the following changes to the minutes:

On page 9, 2nd paragraph "*Chair Beloff had concerns so the City had the best solar preventions should be solar options.*

On page 20, "*instead of talking to Nissan should talk to [?]*..." was asked to be clarified. No one recalled the name of the person. Chair Beloff recalled they were discussing the City having an arrangement with Nissan that the community could piggy-back on for electric cars.

Commissioner Mang requested his statement on page 10, 4th paragraph be restated: "If the City is already spending money on an electrical utility bill as part of its operating budget and the cost to its annual bond payment is the same or less, then they are not now, it would not be increasing the City's obligation."

Commissioner Spangenburg on the last paragraph of page 23, the second line should begin, "A proposal to the Verde Fund would map opportunities for the City.

MOTION: Commissioner Schruben moved to approve the minutes of November 14, 2017 as amended. Commissioner Hirsch seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

E. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE FLOOR

Ms. Sitton introduced herself; Mr. Seth Roffman with Green Fire Times, and Mr. Lee Mortensen on behalf the Santa Fe Watershed Association.

F. ACTION ITEMS REPORT

Chair Beloff reviewed the Action Items:

Ongoing:

- Seth with Green Fire Times is working on integrating articles. John and Seth will continue to work on deliverables.
- Beth will continue to follow up with Jim Blackburn. Mr. Blackburn has been hard to reach due to other priorities. She will contact him again about the class and what he needs in January and send him a packet of information. Robb volunteered to work with her.
- The field trip has been moved to the spring and will stay on the action list to remind Kathy to set a date. Also, a date is needed for Eytan from the Forest Stewards Guild to be on the agenda.

Chair Beloff thought it would be February or March. Commissioner Schruben reminded them a date was also needed for Jennifer from the Forest Service Office. The Commission will look at dates at the end

of the Action Report.

- The City Manager and Mayor will review the final draft of the Sustainability Plan - A question was whether City Council needs to approve the Plan.

Ms. Sitton offered her opinion that the Plan should be passed by City Council or it would be a political football.

Commissioner Schruben volunteered as Actioneer.

The Commission discussed the final draft. The timeline is being finalized and the content of the plan should be ready for review by City Council by the end of January before the Mayor leaves office. Chair Beloff has discussed with Brendle how to make that happen.

Commissioner Holian noted the County normally would draft a resolution for someone to adopt.

Chair Beloff will ask the Mayor to have a resolution ready with co-signatures. Councilor Ives will likely be co-author and there should be no more than 10 priority recommendations.

Commissioner Mang thought it useful if the finalized Plan is broadly distributed after the budget, etc. to get public feedback. They need the Councilors, Mayor and Staff behind the Plan and should think about the educational aspect and outreach to the community, so they know what is happening.

Chair Beloff thought John Alejandro and his Staff might print the document. There will not be a lot of time for edits back and forth, but the comments from the community conversations will be incorporated. The Commission had also discussed their website as a viable way people could provide feedback as well as to receive feedback.

The presentation to City Council should be distilled in a 15-minute PowerPoint for City Council and there should be a campaign to introduce to the community. That may call for a forum, which could be in February.

Commissioner Mang thought for the plan to be sustainable would require full participation of everyone and a presentation at a forum would be a good beginning. They should also think about how to help the public internalize what the Commission hopes to accomplish.

Commissioner Schruben pointed out that Action Item #10 does that.

Action Item: To share the Commission's goals with the programs at the SFCC.

A freestanding forum could probably be held in the convention center after the resolution is approved by Council. February makes the most sense, before the next Mayor is elected.

Commissioner Pava suggested when absentee voting started would ideal to put the plan out and get people behind it or for a Councilor to take a stand. Chair Beloff thought the Green Chamber Mayoral Forum

in February would be perfect timing and every candidate could receive a copy of the Plan.

Mr. Roffman offered to cover the Plan in the February issue of the Green Fire Times. Commissioner Hirsch pointed out that the Plan comes out March 1 and the March issue would be more effective.

Ms. Sitton pointed out almost every resolution goes through at least one committee.

Action Item: Beth will talk with the Mayor and Jesse Guillen about the process.

Commissioner Schruben noted the election is on March 6 and the installation is March 14, 2018.

Commissioner Hirsch thought under Mayor Gonzale's term the resolution would be drafted in a way that City Council would approve the Plan. There would be a process of internal education that includes the various committees like Public Works and doing the education process before the Plan is finalized would not happen under Mayor Gonzales' term.

Action Item: Chair Beloff will also talk to the Mayor about presenting the Plan in a public hearing to Council.

Commissioner Holian suggested once the Plan is adopted and in place, a presentation should be made to the County Commission. The BCC could explore if a County Commissioner wanted to sponsor a resolution and show support for the plan.

Chair Beloff said the presentations could be tentatively scheduled in a public meeting to both City Council and the County Commission.

Action Item – Find a County Commissioner to sponsor a resolution and support the Plan.

The Plan will include meeting regularly with the County sustainability group to discuss a Sustainability Authority, and how the City/County could cooperate on issues above and beyond what is happening.

Commissioner Mang volunteered to distribute the Plan to the Mayoral and City Council candidates.

People can be directed to the website draft and the report will include graphics that will make more sense with the text. The body of the Plan is close to 200 pages.

- Kathy is to talk with Leslie Allison - Kathy indicated that was contingent with having time on the agenda and Jim Blackburn. She wanted to get them with other people.

Action Item: Chair will ask Mr. Blackburn what he needs from the Commission for January and get a timeline for his next visit.

- Mr. Roffman received the Sustainability Award winners - Mr. Roffman added that the winners are in the current issue, but he would like to do an in-depth focus on them in the special issue.
- Lisa Randall with SFPS will present when the Sustainable Education Plan is available.

Action Item: Ask Mr. Alejandro to follow up with Ms. Randall on when she expects that to be completed.

- The Economic Development Report was sent to the Commissioners – this item should be removed from the list.

Action Item: Work with Matt Ross to get timely press releases out about the outreach.

Commissioner Spangenburg offered to put it [the press releases?] on the school media system.

New:

- The Green Chamber lunch – the luncheon was sold out and streamed to 3000 people online. This should be removed from the list. Questions covered energy, energy financing, water conservation, affordable housing and transportation. The follow-up session could include issues of health and well-being, food security, built environment and more on economic development. The candidates should have more developed platforms then and the questions could guide their response.

Commissioner Hirsch indicated the next meeting is in January and they will decide the date for the February forum then.

Chair Beloff thought the forum might need to be sooner to get it on the Commission's calendar.

- Staff to clarify the timeline to provide the Commission and Brendle an update – there is a tentative timeline. This item should be removed.
- Mr. Alejandro to craft an amendment to the PNM resolution – the amendment is slated to go before Council tomorrow, but will likely be pulled. Chair Beloff represented the Commission and talked about the important points to the Commission, such as transparency on how the numbers were derived from PNM, having a more competitive process, including RFPs to the solar industry to develop proposals. The legality of PNM entering an agreement to provide a special rate to the City was discussed. There were questions about a more competitive process and the assumption that PNM would get the contract, and skepticism about why PNM came to the table without disclosing the arrangements would not work under current law.

Mr. Alejandro arrived at 4:15 p.m.

Chair Beloff said she recommended the Mayor either rewrite the resolution or make significant amendments. She preferred rewriting the resolution and opening it up to City Staff and possibly members of the Commission to explore the options to get Santa Fe to 3.5 MW with PNM and the solar industry.

She asked Mr. Alejandro about the direction the PNM resolution is taking.

Mr. Alejandro did not know, but what was discussed at Public Works was recapped and amendments may be forthcoming.

Chair Beloff noted the change in the January meeting for the 31st on the last Wednesday in the month at 3:30 and the last Wednesday of February (28th) at 3:30 p.m. Thereafter they would return to the regular third Wednesday of the month.

G. DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

1. DISCUSSION: 25-Year Sustainability Plan

Chair Beloff shared the status of the Plan and the intention to complete a draft in time for Staff, the Mayor and the City Manager to review in mid-January.

Most of the pieces around the planning approach, framework, and pathway elements are almost completed. The economic development piece has been difficult to get Matt Brown's attention, so she pledged to write the Economic Vitality piece and Brendle will do the economic development piece sent by Matt in outline form. The Economic Vitality uses a lot of what Commissioner Mang had written and will be shared with him and Commissioner Spangenburg to do a quick review.

She will also send Commissioner Mang the economic development outline created by Matt to see if pieces removed from the introductory section could be embedded in it.

Chair Beloff noted that Ms. Sitton decreased the size of the Eco-system section and Built Environment has outstanding items to be completed in a day or so, if not Chair Beloff would write them.

There is a timeline to put the two sections into one voice if the contract with Brendle can be extended. Much of it was the strategies names are inconsistent and what might be missing. Brendle will use an Excel spreadsheet to look at strategy names that overlap. They recommended having spotlights in each chapter to show what the County is doing. The Environmental Resilience section would spotlight climate change in greenhouse gas emissions; the Economic Vitality section spotlights economic development and a possible need for more digital connectivity and quality of life, affordable housing, and ending homelessness.

Brendle will give a triple bottom line synthesis by the end of the month with the methodology to fill in the elements. Chair Beloff will do a first pass at the most impactful strategies. An example is that many places called for sustainability coordination, and the need for a focal point for sustainability in the City like there is in the County, with ways to promote the sustainability plan and help with implementation and working with members of the City.

Many Staff members have been identified for implementation and continue to develop the planning process and that could be a priority recommendation. Many sections have a call-out for more outreach around sustainability as it relates to their topics. Ms. Sitton has pointed out that many of the Staff in different divisions are working on outreach. The first step is to coordinate and integrate sustainability issues into their current efforts.

Additional outreach support may be needed. That means website development and maintenance and people to respond to the feedback and development of an outreach plan that coordinates with the other

entities. That will have funding implications.

A timeline in January has been started.

Commissioner Mang recommended priority recommendations have a preamble that these must be viewed systemically and framed in a way that looks at how they are interrelated. Staff from different parts of the City should be working systemically.

Chair Beloff agreed. There are two graphic pieces considered by Brendle as part of the preamble; to show a diagram of the strategies that intersect with other areas and the interrelationship of working together. The second is the triple bottom line matrix showing the level of impact. The high priority recommendations must be the most impactful to meet their objectives.

Action Item: Commissioner Mang will review those two items (preamble and matrix).

Chair Beloff invited the Commissioners to review the draft and she would welcome their thoughts. Commissioner Mang and Commissioner Spangenburg are doing the development issues and Commissioner Pava is reviewing the Ecology section and Ms. Schruben will look at that.

Somewhat confusing and complicated is having fully developed strategies for midterm and long-term, because in five years what they say today will not matter as much. Brendle will articulate in detail the near-term strategies defined by not just whether there are funds to support it, but if there are already strategies funded that could be carried out. Also, there could be higher priority strategies they want in the immediate or near-term. The others would not have the detail, but could be in a separate document.

At question is the budget process, because there is a one-year budget and a 5-year horizon. Those that should be considered in the next year or two are some of the critical pieces to put before the budget process in February and March. Given the 5-year CIP horizon, it makes sense to articulate some over a 5-year period.

Commissioner Schruben was not sure the City could do budgets and five-year horizons, because they may not have all of the software. She looked at projects that could be impacted or would not be impacted and about one third of those in the 2-3 years of the five-year plan would be impacted in some way. That will require a lot of interdepartmental coordination and is a big issue for the City.

Chair Beloff thought that fell under implementation. She asked Commissioner Schruben to write under financing or budgeting, from her evaluation of the CIP plan, the budget process for sustainability issues she would like to see incorporated into the planning process.

Commissioner Schruben thought it was a matter of getting into the project plans, much of which is straightforward engineering work. She was not sure they could take specific tasks in the operational budget process but would talk with René Martinez. There are projects that are ready to go for July 1 that could all be looked at and would just take coordination with the departments.

Commissioner Mang thought it a good opportunity for people to see what is possible.

Commissioner Schruben was not sure how many ideas had been instigated into department/division leadership ideas. Two departments she talked with regularly proposed some, but are not quite there.

Commissioner Mang said getting feedback could help the Commission create easier ways to do things.

Commissioner Schruben would like to meet with departments; Parks, Street, Water, etc. and give them the Commission's priorities and the projects they need. She would ask them to tell the Commission where they fit in and how many of the projects are cut in stone; or have a design modification, etc.

Commissioner Mang said for members to work with Staff to facilitate the Plan is part of the implementation plan and the role of the Commission.

The short-term strategies should be more specific, but if not in relation to where they are trying to get to and where they want to be, it would be if the strategy leads to that and could be incorporated.

The Commission further discussed the strategy.

Commissioner Pava said they should fast-track and a challenge is 1) to be specific enough and 2) not be too specific. He liked Commissioner Mang's statement about flushing out the higher priority strategies.

As for implementation, they might want to leave it vague. The new Mayor would have an opportunity to do quite a bit of reorganization and is important to structure their plan to get the ideas for sustainability across and implemented no matter what the structure.

He cautioned about being too specific with naming departments, roles and responsibilities, other than in the matrix. They would basically have policy documents but need teeth and structure, so the Governing Body could embrace them and pick and choose what they want.

Chair Beloff noted that some of that has been done. The question is how to compress that and how much to leave unsaid.

Commissioner Pava shared his experience in preparing a long-term comprehensive plan for the Laboratory. He found it useful to compress the most essential message of the plan into a poster in terms of policies, strategies and implementation with the best graphics. That could be a marketing tool and Brendle could look at formatting and posting that on the website.

He also thought if the Commission could rank the strategies it would add legitimacy and possibly make Chair Beloff's job easier.

Chair Beloff indicated she has looked at and ranked the individual chapters. More importantly is to look at all of them and their relation to each other and inform that with where you get the greatest impact.

Brendle did an economic strategy that will be refreshed with new strategies and that helps to inform. The Commission could do that and Brendle could show where the greatest impacts are and where repeated strategies are being recommended.

Commissioner Pava said Brendle may have the capability to make it visual and when presenting the strategies and the document to City Council, they could say these are the top [priorities] and this is how we decided that.

Mr. Alejandro saw the Executive Summary as a place to pull out the high priority goals; out of the 30 strategies what the top five immediate goals are to get done.

Chair Beloff said essentially, they prioritized by time line, which is not the same as prioritizing by impact.

Ms. Sitton thought Brendle was also helping with was the top priorities by impact.

Chair Beloff replied they are working with that and she was asked to give the first pass of what they might be and Brendle will do the impact assessment.

Ms. Sitton suggested taking the priority recommendations by impact with agreement by the Commission and if something is missing that could be addressed.

Chair Beloff thought Ms. Sitton said per section; the top three recommendations for Water; the top three for Ecology, etc. There are 11 sections and that would be 33 recommendations per element until they put them together and designate the top 10 or 11 for the whole plan.

They could do a survey monkey and make the document available and redraft headlines of each strategy. The Commission could rank the top three per section and identify the top 10 for the whole plan and Staff could do the same ranking. That will require a process between the departments, the Governing Body, Staff and the Commission.

Commissioner Pava the plan is there with the strategies and background documentation and that should be viable and would be the intent.

Also in implementation, there should be something annually, biannually, 3-5 years, that instigates the need to review the plan. If the resolution endorsed the plan, Staff could ask for resources to update it.

Chair Beloff added before the plan is updated they would have community conversations to get feedback and that needs to be in the planning process.

Ms. Sitton commented on her work with the City and the Railyard Park Conservation easement. The easement states that the playground equipment must be maintained properly, but for almost three years, the equipment has been extremely dangerous; slides and swings are broken, etc. The Trust has been dealing with the Parks Department who pointed out the easement requirement and the Department is ignoring the Conservation Trust. She suggested they give a lot of thought to how they would make this work.

The Commission discussed the ranking. There is obviously an economic and an environmental, but they also need what would be the return for the City and the impact in dollars. That would be how to get the

public buy-in.

Chair Beloff suggested ranking within the three categories, rather than for each element.

Commissioner Pava suggested extracting five major impacts because people would not read all of the segments. If New Mexico has the most hunger and all of that money is available to produce food and create jobs that have something to do with the environment and a lot to do with energy and water, would that be one of their priority recommendations to start with.

Cash, impact, jobs, health are major priorities. Another is how much the city's hotels and tourism impact Santa Fe water and food budgets and how to find an equilibrium that meets both and is good for tourism, can produce food, etc. and what are the drivers and what do they start with first, and how could they afford all of that and where is the vibrant economic vitality.

Chair Beloff mentioned Alan Weber gave a good answer at the Green Chamber session when discussing electric cars and the need for cleaner transportation. Mr. Weber went back to the need to find different ways to do urban planning in the City rather than the specifics of individual vehicles.

She thought that an argument for stepping back to look at the strategies and combine those for the most impact. They would be remiss if they did not highlight the three important strategies in each section and the hot points in the executive summary and the combined recommendations as a starting point. Giving the top ranked within each system gives direction to departments on where to focus.

The Commission discussed doing a survey monkey and the draft being available on the website.

Questions on the survey could be qualified by those with the greatest impact on the Commission's objective, the most pragmatic and the triple bottom line. The triple bottom line synthesis would not be ready until the end of December, so the survey would be early January. A way to start would be finding the things that have the potential to lead to a broader impact, such as the Urban Ag' Policy. Ranking could be by meta sections that do not overlap; environments, wastewater, etc. by the three categories, instead of the same outreach items in 30 different sections.

Chair Beloff asked members interested in being on a sub task force to finalize the ranking. Commissioner Pava volunteered.

Action item: A sub group will do the ranking and Dan, Bob and John and Shirlene will be involved.

Chair Beloff thought to target mid-January the ranking would need to be done as soon as the holidays were over. Commissioner Pava as chair of the subcommittee could call a meeting.

Commissioner Hirsch indicated he would not need to be on the subcommittee if three qualifications are included.

H. ITEMS FROM THE CHAIR & CO-CHAIR

Chair Beloff confirmed the meetings in January and February were on the last Wednesday.

I. ITEMS FROM THE COMMISSION

Commissioner Schruben shared information on the Urban Forestry Partners in Community Forest Conference she attended and brought books for everyone on the current plans for urban forestry. They have developed the *vibrantcities lab.com*, which is a compilation of contributions from every city of things that worked or did not work for them.

Most of the cities have been dealing with the Emerald Ash borer that has not impacted Santa Fe, and across the country has also been dealing with the Honey Locust borer which invaded Santa Fe about five years ago. Santa Fe plans to remove several hundred Honey Locust trees in the next year, all of which are highly visible such as those on Marcy Street.

A bad practice was to plant street trees that had inadequate water and poor health and plant the same tree for miles. When the tree dies, all of them die about the same time. Cities around the country are dealing with the same issues as Santa Fe, but Santa Fe has additional constraints with the altitude and drought, but they also have opportunities to make a different decision because of the environment.

She commented noticing when driving back from Kansas that Elm trees that had been planted were now gone and had been replaced with Ash and they were now dying. The homeowners are responsible for removing the trees even though they are in medians and the city had planted them and were responsible for the trees.

Santa Fe is not at that point, but is losing the canopy in the Plaza, which will cause an uproar and the Commission should be prepared for the backlash.

Commissioner Schruben continued that the trees in the Plaza will be cut down and have gone through cycles historically from big trees to twigs, big trees to twigs. There will also be a major drought so pouring water on a tree will not be a solution. The City used to have a tree farm and she wants to see if another tree farm could be started. The city buys most of their trees from the Midwest and they are not adaptive to New Mexico and do not survive more than five years.

The urban forester in Albuquerque has done amazing things, but they have a huge budget and a trained professional staff, and derive water from the river.

Action Item: Commissioner Schruben will reference the documents she circulated to make it easier for members to find information.

Commissioner Hirsch reported that the Climate Change Leadership Institute, the Santa Fe Community College Center for Diversity and Integrated Learning, the Sustainability Commission, the Green Chamber of Commerce and Earth Care are having an art creativity endeavor for youth. They are engaging and

encouraging creativity for students to create a unique, artistic idea to help prevent global warming and empower the community at the same time. Their ideas will be rewarded and awards to be given are a bike from Broken Spoke, \$300 from Artisan in Gift Certificates and music supplies from the Candy Man. The student will have a chance to help implement their ideas and meet with the sponsors, City officials and locals and MGOs to carry on their ideas. And, there will be interviews for a paid internship with the Green Chamber business members as well as CCLI.

The flyer will be updated with natural landscaping and local resonance. The sponsors appreciate the Commission's awareness of the event. He will send them, as well as Mr. Roffman, an email with the updated flyer and ask if they have input to send it to him no later than tomorrow.

Chair Beloff inquired about an unpaid intern to the convention. Mr. Hirsch replied he had someone that may be interested.

J. ITEMS FROM STAFF

Mr. Alejandro offered his thoughts on the Commission's presentation to Council and how they arrived at the strategies and triple bottom line impacts. It would be important to ask the Council's vision as decision-makers; what they would like to accomplish and deliver to the community. He suggested they present as more of a platform that would enable the Governing Body to succeed. That would motivate Staff and give them leeway that there is buy-in at the higher decision-making level and support of the project and enables Staff to collaborate more.

Commissioner Hirsch added also important is to consider the public and the community as well and provide a website where they could read the Plan and engage in it and provide feedback.

Mr. Alejandro stated the City has been looking at revamping its website and recognized the need, but he was not sure of the timeline.

Mr. Roffman thought the big unveiling event in February would be a good time to have the potential partners, agencies, businesses and nonprofits identified and try to get them to the event.

Action Item: Put together a list of potential partners, agencies, businesses and nonprofits for the event.

Mr. Roffman would at doing the article on viewpoints in February or March but would need everything by mid-February to coincide with rolling this out to the community.

Kids growing up in Santa Fe have a different lens and should have a voice. There could be a column on sustainability and the Commission should find out what is important to them.

Mr. Hirsch asked Mr. Alejandro about the December 13 vote on the resolution on electric vehicles.

Mr. Alejandro had not heard anything, but suggested an action item could be a story for the January issue and he could assist with that.

Regarding the PNM amendment, he was not crafting one and did not know if it was still on the agenda. He reminded the Commission that Monday, December 18th the Mayor and CEO of PNM would meet.

K. ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC

There was none.

L. ITEMS FOR NEXT AGENDA

No items were noted for the January 31, 2018 meeting.


M. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 6:00 p.m.

Approved by:


Beth Beloff, Chair

Submitted by:


Carl Boaz for Carl G. Boaz, Inc.

Action Items:

1. To share the Commission's goals with the programs at the SFCC.
2. Chair Beloff will talk with the Mayor and Jesse Guillen about the process.
3. Chair Beloff will also talk to the Mayor about presenting the Plan in a public hearing to Council.
4. Find a County Commissioner to sponsor a resolution and support the Plan.
5. Chair will ask Mr. Blackburn what he needs from the Commission for January and get a timeline for his next visit.
6. Ask Mr. Alejandro to follow up with Ms. Randall on when she expects that to be completed.
7. Work with Matt Ross to get timely press releases out about the outreach.
8. Commissioner Mang will review those two items (preamble and matrix).
9. A sub group will do the ranking and Dan, Bob and John and Shirlene will be involved.
10. Commissioner Schruben will reference the documents she circulated to make it easier for members to find information.
11. Put together a list of potential partners, agencies, businesses and nonprofits for the event.