

Santa Fe River Commission Agenda
Thursday, January 11, 2018 (Round House Room), 6 pm to 8 pm
City Offices at the Market Station Building at the Railyard
500 Market Street, Suite 200, Santa Fe, NM
505-955-6840

- 1. ROLL CALL
- 2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- 3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM November 9, 2017 & December 14,2017
- 4. COMMUNICATION FROM OTHER AGENCIES /COMMITTEES
 - a. SF Watershed Report (Andy Otto)
- 5. INFORMATION/DISCUSSION/ACTION:
 - a. Information Item: SF River Fund Report (Finance Staff)
 - b. Action Item: Election of Chair / Vice Chair for 2018 (Staff)
 - c. Discussion Item: Review 2018 River Commission Goals & Priorities (John Buchser)
 - d. Discussion Item: Santa Fe River Living River Committee Report (John Buchser)
- 6. MATTERS FROM COMMISSIONERS
- 7. MATTERS FROM STAFF EPA pilot project, Stormwater Master Plan Update, project status report
- 8. CITIZENS' COMMUNICATION FROM THE FLOOR
- 9. SUB-COMMITTEE BREAKOUT SESSION
- 10. ADJOURN

Next Scheduled River Commission Meeting is February 8, 2018
Captions & Packet Material are due by 10 am on January 31, 2018
Persons with disabilities in need of accommodations,

Contact the City Clerk's office at

(505) 955-6521 five (5) working days prior to the meeting date.

Santa Fe River Commission INDEX January 11, 2018

Cover Page		Page 1
Call to Order	The meeting was called to order by Chain John Buchser at 6:00 pm	Page 2
Roll Call	Minutes reflect a Quorum	Page 2
Approval of Agenda	Mr. Sawyer moved to approve the agenda as presented, second by Mr. Bové, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.	Page 2
Approval of Minutes, November 9, 2017 and December 14, 2017	Mr. Pierpont moved to approve the minutes of November 9, 2017 as presented, second by Mr. Sawyer, motion carried by unanimous voice vote. Ms. Doremus moved to approve the minutes of December 14, 2017 as presented, second by Mr. Bové, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.	Page 2
Communications from Other Agencies and Committees a. Santa Fe Watershed Report	Informational, Andy Otto	Page 2-3
Information/Discussion/Action a. SF River Fund Report b. Election of Chair Postponed c. Review 2018 River Commission Goals & Priorities d. Santa Fe Living River Committee Report	a. Informational b. Mr. Sawyer moved to have the Election of Chair/Vice Chair for 2018 to the next meeting, second by Ms. Doremus, motion carried by unanimous voice vote. c. Informational, no formal action d. Informational	Page 3 - 13
Matters from Staff EPA Pilot Project Storm Water Master Plan Update Project Status Report	Informational, Project Update	Page 13 -14
Citizens Communication from the Floor	None	Page 14

Sub Committee Breakout Session	None	Page 14
Adjourn/Signature Page	There being no further	Page 14 -15
	business to come before the	
]	Santa Fe River Commission	
	the Chair called for	
	adjournment at 8:00 pm	

Santa Fe River Commission MINUTES Thursday, January 11, 2018 6:00 pm to 8:00 pm

1. CALL TO ORDER

The Santa Fe River Commission meeting was called to order at 6:00 pm by the Chair, John Buchser, in the Roundhouse Meeting Room, Market Station Building at the Railyard, 500 Market Street, Santa Fe, NM.

2. ROLL CALL

PRESENT:

John Buchser, Chair Phil Bové Emile Sawyer F.M. Patorni Luke Pierpont Dale Doremus

NOT PRESENT/EXCUSED:

Anna Hansen Zoe Isaacson Jerry Jacobi

OTHERS PREENT:

Melissa McDonald, River and Watershed - Staff Liaison Alan Hook, City Staff Emily Pusula, Finance Department Andy Otto, Santa Fe Watershed Association Anna Serrano for Fran Lucero, Stenographer

The Chair asked all members and audience to give a brief introduction.

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Mr. Sawyer moved to approve the agenda as presented, second by Mr. Bové, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM November 9, 2017 & December 14, 2017

Mr. Pierpont moved to approve the minutes of November 9, 2017 as presented, second by Mr. Sawyer, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

Ms. Doremus moved to approve the minutes of December 14, 2017 as presented, second by Mr. Bové, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

5. COMMUNICATION FROM OTHER AGENCIES /COMMITTEES

a. Santa Fe Watershed Report (Andy Otto)

Currently working with the 9 arroyo teams and will send his bi-monthly report to the River Commission members. River Day is scheduled for February 10, 2018 at Frenchy's Park, save the date information has been sent out. Outdoor Magazine has also donated....

The Chair asked how many people are on the Adopt Arroyo. Mr. Otto said anywhere from 3-20 people, it varies. Schools have the majority of members as well as some of the Neighborhood groups.

Roughly 2000 sq. ft. Segments and assessments in the planning and implementation.

6. INFORMATION/DISCUSSION/ACTION:

a. Information Item: SF River Fund Report (Emily Pusula, Finance Department)
Ms. McDonald stated that this fund finances things like the Alameda Rain Gardens and
Emily will provide more detail. Ms. McDonald said to note on the attachments that
there are different line items associated with this fund. Ms. McDonald reminded the
Commission that both her and Ms. Pusula are new and can only go back for at least 3years. Ms. McDonald is encouraged since she started here that the fund was hard to
understand and during her tenure she feels that there is better control and
understanding.

Ms. Pusula: We don't know the specific date this fund was created but it did come in to affect FY 2008-2009.

Ms. McDonald referred to the handouts (Exhibit A): 1) spreadsheet showing 2008 in, 2) Emily has put together information month-to-month at the Chairs request and 3) annual breakout.

Ms. Pusula: Currently during this FY we have roughly \$200,000 in this fund mostly made up from constituent contributions as well as inter-funds transferred in. The interfund is a match, the same as the contributions. We also have a gain in sales investments and unrealized gains and losses that typically make up the balance. Ms. McDonald can speak about the expenses.

- Ms. McDonald added in; the way that it works is that once a year, (addressing the questions from the Chair); month-by-month accounting is reflected in the documents presented to the River Commission.
- Q: How much of the income comes from continuing contributions and how many customers? The additional sheet was provided by the Utility Division and unfortunately their systems are antiquated and not understandable. Essentially there are about 139 regular contributors, names have been highlighted. At the end of each column they are added up and transferred once a year and then matched.
- Q: The Chair asked if they could differentiate between the regular donors and the occasional donor. They do not have the capability to do that. You will see that the people who are regular contributors you will see in the column that says Santa Fe River Fund and it gives the name. If it is a one-time contribution it will only say Santa Fe River Fund. They don't have a good way of tracking this every month.
- Q: How long does it take for the dollar per dollar match to occur? It happens once a year. Right now that seems to work OK, we can look at other terms, quarterly or twice

a year say if funds were coming in and we wanted to keep a better handle on it. Ms. McDonald has had conversation with Ms. Pusula and Mr. Adam Johnson, Finance Director should this occur. Ms. Pusula said they do the match once a year at the end of each FY. Ms. McDonald said there are three billing cycles and this recap can be requested.

The Chair said one of the things he was trying to establish was, if we were trying to promote this further could we establish a track record on if we are doing better and better. You have now established a baseline and we understand the processes.

Ms. McDonald said that Karen Fiorina who is the current Utility Billing Director; one of the problems that she was told was that if you are running all of the money through the check off on the bill that it has to go to the bank first. Then it has to be scanned and that portion goes to the city. If somebody missed that it was going to the River Fund it would go in to another fund, it was very hard to track. Ms. Fiorina has removed that multi-step process to where you now can sign up on line and they handle it directly.

Ms. McDonald handed out Exhibit B – We didn't even start spending money until 2015, this spreadsheet shows the Rain Gardens that have been funded through the Living River Fund. Also listed is the Rain Garden project that will start soon this year, but not listed on the spreadsheet. Basically we started to spend money from the River Fund 3-years ago.

The Chair noted that there were limitations up until some point of only acquiring water rights, which were very expensive and not particularly available. Thinking about it, if we bought an acre foot for \$50,000, 1 CFS that goes really fast, it doesn't have a whole lot of impact and once it is going down the river any of the irrigators can take it out of the river so it isn't even clear it is benefitting the river. Once we started thinking about how difficult it was to get water rights and how little water that really was it seemed like doing things like rain gardens was more benefitting to the system than trying to purchase water rights.

Ms. McDonald: Also when you split it, some of the money went to one fund and some to the River Fund. The other portion went to the Water Acquisition Fund, which is for the readings for the Water Conservation Office.

Chair: I don't know that was a question that I asked, do we know how much.

Ms. McDonald: You asked; "The original River Fund Ordinance restricted funding to water rights acquisitions. In 2013 Ordinance created two funds, the current River Conservation Fund and Water Rights Acquisition Fund. How much money remains in the Water Rights Acquisition Fund?"

Mr. Sawyer: With the Water Rights Acquisition Fund can you lease water rights also?

Ms. McDonald stated that this fund is not controlled through her division it is maintained by the Water Division, Andrew Erdman controls that fund and that is a much larger fund.

Mr. Sawyer: Where does the money come from for that fund?

Ms. McDonald: I do not know.

The Chair said we know that it is in a place where it is doing well and he asked if the amount that was transferred from the Water to the River is reflected in the spreadsheets. Unless there is a question from another commissioner, it isn't important at this time.

Ms. Pusula said that it doesn't look like there was a transfer as the amount matches more the donation and the source of donation for the River Fund; she will follow up on that question.

Mr. Sawyer said that it looks like there were three years with no transfer in, and then in FY 2015-16 there were no contributions.

Ms. Pusula: Ms. McDonald brought that to our attention, it looks like there was a year we accidentally missed it but the money hangs out in the liability of the Water Fund so it isn't inter-mingled with any other funds so we caught it up in the following year.

Mr. Sawyer: So it isn't that there weren't any contributions it was just that there weren't actually transferred over.

Ms. Pusula: The contributions and donations, they hang out in a liability in the Water Fund and at year end we make the match and the contributions.

Ms. McDonald: Also to note that in 1-year we had a huge contribution of \$7,000 so that is why one of the years was bigger when it transferred over.

The Chair asked if an official motion needed to be made to support the transfers on a quarterly basis.

Ms. McDonald said that a motion would not be necessary. She would handle this request administratively by contacting Ms. Pusula and Mr. Johnson directly to convey the wishes of the River Commission.

The River Commission all concurred that they would like Ms. McDonald to move forward in that direction.

Ms. McDonald expressed her thanks for the dialogue and said it was very helpful. She can also convey to the Finance Director as they purchase new software to keep the River Fund and the River Commission's desire to have quarterly reports if they are limited by the present system.

Ms. Pusula said that the financials can be prepared in a different way from how they were presented today. There is a possibility that they can include a summary list from the transfer in for future reports.

Ms. McDonald recommended to the River Commissioners that they go back and review and to provide her with comments by e-mail of things that they may want to see. She will then get back together with Ms. Pusula and provide the updated information back to the commission.

The Chair talked about the contributions line item in the report and the match. Ms. Pusula said that she will need to fine tune the report as it does not indicate the match by the city. There was also a question about the \$7,000 donation in FY14-15, the commission would like to know why this amount wasn't matched and also if it can be retroactive.

The two actions from this topic are that the transfers will be done quarterly and look in to the \$7,000 donation match. Mr. Sawyer would also like to know who the actual donors are. Ms. McDonald said that she has shared an excel spreadsheet with the Chair that was generated in the past. She was told that there was not a way to print out who the source was in making the contribution. There were many questions related to the spreadsheet, dates the contributions were made and by whom. Ms. McDonald said that to add to this confusion the code to the River Fund is their fund and very close to Refund. People were getting refunds as they were not processing the contribution.

The Chair said that on his threshold he feels that at some point thank you notes need to be sent to those who contribute. At \$100 it could be a post card or a note from the Mayor, some type of gratitude note. Ms. Doremus suggested including information on things that they are doing with these funds as an update to encourage future contributions. Mr. Sawyer said everyone should get some type of acknowledgement. Ms. McDonald said she will continue this conversation with the Water Division to research if they can capture the address and name of contributors. What is reflected in the report is an account number vs. demographic information.

The Chair expressed his thanks to both Emily and Melissa for this work.

b. Action Item: Election of Chair / Vice Chair for 2018 (Staff)

Mr. Sawyer moved to have the Election of Chair/Vice Chair for 2018 to the next meeting, second by Ms. Doremus, motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

c. Discussion Item: Review 2018 River Commission Goals & Priorities (John Buchser)

Chair Buchser and Mr. Jacobi had a recent discussion on keeping the river wetted. The priority of the city is a) deliver water to city water customers, b) deliver water to the Acequia and c) deliveries to the river. The river falls down the totem pole and more so when there is very limited water. One of the things that is not noted is that the Acequia Madre represented by Phil Bové; has said that one of their primary goals in taking delivery of water is to have that water delivered via the river channel and not delivered through some pipe that goes to their head gate. Although the city's obligation is to deliver water to their head gate assuming there is water coming in from the highest reservoir. That is foundational that we have not actually put here. The Chair said what he was trying to understand in part was if the city is trying to deliver water to the Acequia Madre to the head gate by eliminating the flow through the channel and therefore any losses that occur through that section of river, what options are available that are not horribly expensive. Or is that the only kind of option is to deliver expensive treated water or to somehow change the plumbing in a way that water can sent all the way to Acequia Madre. The whole section on the different pipes and their age, what I took to be the summary of piping is that it might be possible to thread like a 10" pipe through one of the old 14" pipes and it isn't known what the cost would be or how many places the 14" pipe is totally crushed where you can't push something through and further how often do you need access to push smaller diameter pipe through.

Mr. Bové said that you have to look at the geometry of the pipe that is there. They built those lines based on cost so the cheapest way to go is if you have an obstacle or concern that the pipe might have an elbow or so on. You may be able to put a smaller pipe in the old pipe as long as you don't have any considerable turns. Unfortunately the turns that they make in those kinds of situations are with prefabricated parts so the turns will be angular. As we discussed it would be a possibility although in a long run it is not the right way to go. We are then by-passing at least 2 miles of river channel that benefits from our releases and that was in the back of our heads when we went to court with this when we said that the river was essentially dead. For the first 8 years I was on the Commission, most of those years we were sweeping water down the river to get water, which is how little water that was. When we started getting our releases it improved our releases tremendously. Our situation after our law suit in 1990, we had a couple of years where we didn't have very much water and the city brought water to Agua Fria through existing pipes. There are two numbers that get in to that equation, to have 1.35 ac. at the farm you need to have 2.7 ac. ft. at the ditch and 5.4 acre feet at the head gate. When you deliver the potable water to Agua Fria and your dropping from 5.4 ac. ft. per acre or more because to get 5.4 ac. ft. to the head gate you have loss. Mr. Bové provided additional statistics. In discussing with the city we need to know what to do that will be equitable for everybody.

The Chair asked if in a year when the water doesn't make it far away down the Acequia Madre, deliveries could be made with treated water through a pipe that exists at that location. Is that what I understand?

Mr. Bové: The City would have to agree to that.

Ms. McDonald informed the members that Nick Schiavo is now the Source of Supply person for the city. When you say you have plans of speaking with the city, will it be with the Source of Supply, Nick, or who is the contact person? Mr. Bové said that when he gets to that point he will see who he needs to talk to; right now it is Alex Puglisi. Mr. Puglisi is now the Environmental Compliance Officer; he was filling both roles prior to January 1, 2018.

Mr. Sawyer asked Mr. Bové, in the past when you ran water through the city pipeline to deliver to Agua Fria, does it deliver to the field or does it deliver to the ditch. Mr. Bové responded that it delivers to the ditch. Mr. Sawyer asked how far it runs in the ditch. Mr. Bové responded, 1000 acre feet. There were concerns from the Environmental Department because of the chlorine, none of the water goes back to the river and most of the chlorine is pretty much dissipated within those 1000 feet. Mr. Sawyer asked if they are delivering duty per acre 2.7 acre feet or the consumptive use amount.

Ms. McDonald said her understanding is that interpretation has not changed and asked Mr. Sawyer if he has heard differently, are they going to have treated water?

Mr. Bové said he has not gone back to the city at all to talk about this. The Public Utilities Committee is the one that said they would send potable water. Mr. Bové said that he believes with the Living River Water, upper Acequia Madre could take at least 10 hours of water a week and the city would not have to put extra water in the river to

make that delivery. It would give us the water that we need at the head gate on Monday's and it would save a lot of money, this is part of the conversation I continue to take to the state. This year it is going nowhere and it looks pretty bad. If the inflows to McClure are less than 2 acre feet we are out of the business and so is the living river. The living river needs to have by-pass flows and our court order also requires by-pass flows. Right now I get a report 2 xs's a day from Geological Survey telling me the river flow is down 2 CFS. That has been going on since November and I get an e-mail every day.

The Chair said based on the River Ordinance that would put us in to a water emergency if we aren't getting any water at all. Any water is essentially for the 3 Acequia's that take water, the Acequia Madre is furthest down. I think that 2 CFS will dry up before it gets to the head gate and the question becomes do you want water delivered to your head gate through an alternate means or are we going to let that 2 CFS down the river, potentially the city could deliver an equal amount to your head gate.

Mr. Bové: That is the problem, we have things in our favor at the moment, it is still leaky below Nichols and it keeps a good portion of the river wetted, it may look dry on the surface but there are going to be sub-flows. It is harder to talk how much water it is going to take to deliver because there are too many variables. We have done a lot of guessing and working with the city in the last 30 years trying to figure out how to do things, the game always changes somewhat. We will get in to a discussion when we know where we are and if it is not good there will be no discussion we won't have any water.

Chair talked about the History, in 1953 the situation in the city which did not have as many multiple sources of water, they hauled a pump up to Santa Fe lake all the way up below the Ski Basin and ran a water line all the way down to bring water in to town and that pump still sits up there. The next session points out that there use to be a lot of springs and the water table.

Mr. Bové referred to the information from the lawsuit from PNM, which was in 1953, was a total of 553 acre-feet of water. I don't know at the point what wells the city had in place at that time, maybe none.

The Chair noted that the next session pointed out that there use to be a lot of springs and the water table downtown was about 20' and overtime the wells are about 100+ and 200+ and up in Hyde Park Estates there have been about 7 wells put in. Both the city and the Acequia Madre challenged the last one but it was still allowed. We don't know to what extent the hydrology is inter-connected but it is quite possible that it is. I don't recall from our discussion where you mentioned that the WPA diverted some of the storm water in to the Acequia Madre, was that at multiple points?

Mr. Bové: It started in the 30's with WPA and CCC projects, one of the area's, which was in 1932 article in the New Mexican, people were complaining because of the proposed WPA building stone walls on the Acequia Madre. A good example was Camino don Miguel Street, is essentially an arroyo with driveways on it, a lot of poor people out there. All that storm water goes in to the Acequia Madre today. Mr. Bové gave a list to the City Manager about 25 years ago; I can't remember how many it was then, high 20's or 30's places where the city was directing storm water in to the Acequia Madre. If you want to see today, look at the Acequia Madre along Acequia Madre street

you can see where it is stone lined and a lot of it was done by homeowners over time because it gave them more yard, the only thing we retained was the 4' right-of-way on the Acequia, 4' on each side, one side is city and the other side is private yards. If you look at the section, it is much bigger than what the Acequia Madre needs to be today because it was used to create a lot of water in the old times. If you go down where Baca Street crosses Acequia Madre and walk on the Acequia Trail on the west side, look at the channel and the Acequia Madre there, it is approximately between 8 and 10 feet and 10 feet wide and that is all caused by storm water. If you look at the ditch where we take it out of that section of Arroyo San Antonio behind the Indian School and you start running the ditch is 2 feet wide. This is part of the conversation with the city is that we can't get water efficiently to Agua Fria because the Acequia Madre is pretty much ruined from St. Francis Dr. to the Indian School because it is so large from erosion and scouring. This is the reality of what we are looking at moving forward.

The Chair expressed his thanks to Mr. Bové for being the historian and having this information readily available. In a way the development of the city is what has caused the challenges of delivering water in to ditches that use to work well.

Mr. Bové said that in the talk he gave he discussed that and if he presents again he would put it all in to sequence so you can see where the Acequia's were before Stone Dam was built vs. 1948 when the last growth of the reservoir was done and what has happened to the Acequia'ss in the meantime with the city growing and paving streets and so on and so forth and all that mixed together is why it doesn't work.

Ms. Doremus: Is this all in the book you are writing? You need to write a book.

The Chair stated that as part of the sub-committee meeting they reviewed and tried to look for the most cost effective way to deal with the situation at the River Preserve. A) Add screws and a head gate at the current bypass channel, one of the concerns is that it is hard to throw rocks in there and tweak to the right level especially with changing conditions and be the 8' stand pipe at the tail end of the beaver pond/preserve restricts outflow. Q: Is there actually a pipe that drains out from the bottom of that point?

Mr. Bové: From the standpipe? It ties in to the original drainage for the 2-mile reservoir with the structure that was there that they built at the beginning of the reservoir. Where that water comes out, I never crawled up in there to look but it should be the same original stone work as it was to drain the reservoir that the standpipe goes in to.

Chair: We don't actually know if there is other leakage beyond the standpipe but there may be water or ways to get in to that stonework to drain out?

Mr. Bové: Not easily, no because you have that much head over you.

Chair: So it is primarily once the water reaches the top of the standpipe is when it starts to drain out.

Mr. Bové: I am sure that there is seepage that still comes from the old area, it always has leaked. But it isn't very much water because they don't have the pressure of the old.

Chair: Mr. Bové also mentioned the Guadalupe Street reconstruction; there are still some remnants under the ditch on the west side sidewalk. Q: So far the Nature

Conservancy has stated that they are not going to pursue any kind of permitting for the portion of ponds detained by the former 2 mile and I am not sure what the city's position is, I was wondering if we could pursue an unofficial legal position. If we ask it from the city they are sort of caught in this is now the city's position but if we went to a third party, what sort of liability or what sort of options do we have in terms of feeling that out.

Ms. McDonald: You as the River Commission, going outside of the City Legal Division?

Chair: In a way, is that the only legal advice we can get from the legal staff?

Ms. McDonald: I don't know, but I will ask.

Ms. Doremus: You're talking Pro Bono, I assume.

Chair: I am not proposing using budget for that purpose.

Mr. Sawyer: We wouldn't be asking for the city's legal position?

Chair: Once we do that I think we are binding them.

Mr. Bové: I think Marcos' letter to the Nature Conservancy, he stated the city's position.

Ms. McDonald: The Legal Division speaks for the City. I don't know, I can ask. I don't believe the River Commission can legally speak for the City.

Chair: I agree with that. Part of this whole discussion circulates back to does the Nature Conservancy need a permit. Well, it looks like most of that area is not even on city property.

Ms. McDonald: Is it a permit or a water right? My understanding is that the position of the city is that the Nature Conservancy does not have a water right as to where Acequia's do. It is not a permit it is going to the OSE and getting a water right. They have not purchased a water right, they have no right. That is the position.

Chair: An affiliated question is; what remains of 2-mile dam is not a beaver constraint and it is detaining water and you need a permit.

Ms. McDonald: I see what you are saying, Ok, if it is more than 10 acre-feet you need a permit.

Ms. Doremus: If it is more than 10 acre-feet, doesn't that have more to do with the dam, floods.

Mr. Sawyer: That is the stock pond exemption, if you have a pond that is less than 10 acre feet or a dam that is less than 10 feet tall then it is exempt from the storage permitting requirements. It is storm safety but it is also a permit.

Ms. Doremus: You are right because that also ties in to the compact.

Mr. Sawyer: And it comes up a lot in adjudication where you have these.

Ms. McDonald: Is that the permit you are referring to?

Chair: I kind of heard different answers; this is the clearest description I have heard so far.

Ms. Doremus: I think you are talking about a water right, I think that is the question people have.

Mr. Sawyer: In the adjudication of the Gallinas they consider these stock ponds water rights. It is essentially like a stock well it is sort of a _____ use that they recognize through historic use. This is sort of generally, I don't know how the analysis would apply because this was in 1994 when they took out 2-mile and it had been a permitted reservoir prior to that. The stock ponding exemption may not apply.

Mr. Bové: The rights of storage were removed from 2-mile to McClure and Nichols.

Ms. Doremus: But when you say it is a permit reservoir, is that permit tied to water rights or is it tied to storage?

Mr. Sawyer: It is tied to the storage right.

Ms. Doremus: Why do we need to do this?

Chair: Before there is any kind of changes at all, then these questions are going to be raised. One of the requests from the community has been that we keep that eco-system alive. But if we start messing with it, in any way, then these questions are going to come up. So far we have let it be.

Ms. Doremus: It would be the Nature Conservancy that would have to do this.

Mr. Sawyer: From what I have heard from Bob, he says we don't own the dam, we don't own the stand pipe, we own the land that is being flooded by the existence of the dam but that is on city property.

A lot of the pond is on forest service land too.

Chair: That is right; it is not in the city it is in the county. As we are trying to keep that eco-system alive keep the river alive, make deliveries to the Acequia it all gets to be a tangled legal situation with all different aspects of that water. It will become more important as we get less water coming down. The community will start asking questions and I really don't have an answer if anybody asks me. We have been blessed for having this for a decade now and I am not sure what the next step is going to be, the answer right now is, we don't know. We may not like the answer if we pursued it.

Mr. Sawyer: I don't know if having an independent legal opinion would answer the question for us either because it isn't going to change the city's opinion and it is not going to change the Acequia's position and it probably is not going to change the Nature Conservancy unless it is actually settled by an actual case or controversy in front of a Judge, it is just going to be conflicting.

Chair: To me, one thing is a negotiation, if the parties were able to sit down and say, and maybe it would be on a year-by-year basis, they might need to re-evaluate this every year. Last year the city's Water Division agreed to let a certain amount of water thru to keep the Preserve with a fair amount of water, which was great. It wasn't official; it was in consideration of that area. When we get down to tinny bits of water, it may make it down 50 yards down the river if you let it go there, it may keep the wetland alive but the senior user is the Acequia Madre but it will never get there unless you stick it in a pipe. Mr. Bové actually has the most leverage here if he says, "we will take a quarter of our delivery, and whatever remains can go down the river". We don't want it to go through the Preserve or we don't want it to go through the Preserve, we get a say so. If the parties get together and agree to a highly constrained water year solution that may get us through another year or set precedence for what to do when it is really tight.

Mr. Sawyer: Maybe what we do is come up with a recommendation to the city for emergency water years if that is what we are looking at.

Ms. McDonald: The administrative procedures do call out what to do during emergency years. That would require that to be looked at, which I believe City Council approval is needed to change that. I am not 100% sure, but I believe it does.

Mr. Sawyer: Just in terms of the very narrow issue if water gets delivered to the Preserve Channel.

Ms. McDonald: There are a number of factors as you know; April is going to be a tough month because we will have a lot of new people, including a new Acting City Attorney. I haven't heard if there is going to be an acting city attorney or changes.

Chair: It depends on who the Mayor and City Council are, there will be change.

Ms. Doremus: You're assuming we are getting a new City Attorney because Marcos is leaving?

Ms. McDonald: Ms. Kelly Brennan is leaving. She is the City Attorney and has already said she is leaving, that is pre-determined and I don't know who is going to be acting. Presumably there will be an acting attorney at least for a couple of weeks until the new Mayor decides what they want to do. To remind you the strong Mayor system allows them to hire and fire City Manager, City Clerk and the City Attorney. The new Mayor will have conversations with those three offices.

Chair: I think from the standpoint of the River Flow Ordinance the river is toast. Can we make it a little bit better and particularly the Preserve being first in line?

Ms. Doremus: I might suggest that we individually go back and review those administrative procedures on what happens in a low flow year, first of all so we can answer questions if people ask and to help us think about options.

I agree Mr. Chair and I am also trying to do the same thing. I just heard today that the adjudication for the Acequia's, has been going on since 2006 is still an open file, I thought it was closed.

Mr. Bové: It has been open since 1977. We thought in 2003 that we had all offers of judgments straightened out and signed, and my understanding was that to finish the Santa Fe River, the City of Santa Fe regarding the storage for the reservoirs, that hasn't been necessarily adjudication as far as adjudication is concerned. They have had the permit for storage since the 20's but it hasn't been finalized.

Mr. Sawyer: Is the State Engineer dedicating any resources for Santa Fe River adjudication.

2008 was the last one but it is still an open file, and I don't know what that means.

Chair expressed his thanks to everyone.

d. **Discussion Item: Santa Fe River Living River Committee Report (John Buchser)**Chair: I have not reviewed our goals or priorities but I would like to keep seeing water in the river if there is enough snow. I got to thinking, when we were talking about Public Works back in the 20's diverting water in to the Acequia Madre. However much water comes down at us we need to try to slow it down and that is directly with the Watershed Association who is working with the arroyos. We may want to defer that discussion item until next meeting.

7. MATTERS FROM COMMISSIONERS None

8. MATTERS FROM STAFF – EPA Pilot Project, Storm water Master Plan Update, Project Status Report

Ms. McDonald showed a preview of the Santa Fe Greenway on the overhead. In the next two weeks this should all be graded and they will be putting in the boulder veins, it will be changing a lot.

The City, through the Storm water vision, David Pike and Michelle Gutierrez were looking at installing a trash rack underneath the Silver Bridge, at the base of outlet structure. That will really help with trash. We are hoping to combine it in all of this construction.

Chair: Is that in the main channel?

Ms. McDonald: Yes, in the main channel. It catches bottles and bags, things like that. You want to put it in a place that is not so visible where people can't see it. This would be wonderful because it will concentrate on the garbage that has to be cleaned out. It would satisfy our MS4 requirements. (Pictures shared with the commission members).

We talked about inviting Scott; Ms. McDonald will follow up for March or April.

With our Storm water Master Plan and EPA Update we have a couple of things starting up. Things are going to come in all at once, really soon. Rosemary Romero, Contractor is kicking off with an external Stakeholders Citizens/Semi-professional Professional external

group. They will be looking at the findings of the data that we developed with their consultants on storm water organizationally; also the models are being developed. The Commission may be invited to attend meetings as you like for input. Ms. McDonald said she would like to tap on Mr. Bove's expertise on the storm water issues. This is going to be very interesting once this citizen group starts looking at the modeling data that will help inform how we prioritize our list. The River Commission will have input on this process once the data is available.

On the EPA side, as a reminder we have an immediate scale working with the TetraTech Consultants and EPA is the bigger scale. They are setting up the goal groups and I know that we will be reaching out to the Water Shed Association, the Water Conservation Committee; we will be sending out an email. Basically the question will be, "do you want to have input?" Essentially they are not asking for a lot of time, they want to develop some goals and there might be some meetings, but not a lot of meetings. It will be more of feeding data in and out. There will be staff working on it and River Commission would be more of an Advisory Group, if there is interest.

REMINDER – PROJECTS: Ms. McDonald brought pictures on the Cristobal Colon project and will provide more details at a future meeting. These are going to be a series of bio-basins along Avenida Cristobal Colon. She described the specifics from the pictures.

Guadalupe Project: Haven't heard much on this project and Ms. McDonald asked Mr. Bové if he has heard anything and the answer was no.

Ms. McDonald stated that she is working on two other projects and she is waiting on POs, the Santa Fe River Repair, and an incision at the LaJolla Street – Santa Fe River, where they intersect. There is a plan to develop and do the installation, it is in a holding pattern at this time but hopes are to have the PO by next meeting

Working on some bridges past the Acequia pass at Kathryn and Onate, doing some nice rock. There will be two bridges so people can cross the Acequia in a nice way.

There is various arroyo work going on, two projects they are trying to wrap up, Arroyo de Los Pinos, finishing up final design. They have met with the neighbors; that is probably a good year out.

Resolana Park, will be doing improvements in that arroyo; waiting on a PO so it will be done this year.

9. CITIZENS' COMMUNICATION FROM THE FLOOR None

10. SUB-COMMITTEE BREAKOUT SESSION

11. ADJOURN

There being no further business to come before the River Commission, the Chair called for adjournment at 8:00 pm.

Santa Fe River Commission – January 11, 2018

Signature Page:

John Buchser, Chair

Fran Lucero, Stenographer

Order Number	Bill Item	Amount	Effective S	C Description Bi
			Date T	'N C
5098			07/01/08	C
7819	RFUND A	1 00	07/01/07	
16133	RFUND J	1.00	07/01/07	
27220	RFUND V.	3.00	11/01/07	SFE RIVER FUND MARIAN SHIRIN
	RFUND ₩	1.00	08/01/07 08/01/07	SFRiver Fund Marcelle Grant
	RFUND 🗸	1.00 1.00 5.00 10.00	08/01/07	SFE RIVER FUND MARIAN SHIRIN SFRiver Fund Marcelle Grant SF river fund William Epstein sf river fund Miriam Sagan
	REUND 🗸	5.00	09/01/07	sf river fund Miriam Sagan
		10.00	01/20/10	SFE RIVER FUND/ESPEN
32337	RFUND /	5.00	08/01/07	SF River Fund Karen Watkins
	RFUND 🗸	10.00	08/01/07	sfe river fund Vicento Ojinaga
	REUND *	5.00	10/01/07	SPE RIVER FUND TURNER
	REUND 🐇	5.00	09/01/07	sf river fund Tagkha Arnold
143052	R.FOND	1.00	09/01/07 08/01/07	sf river fund Jeanne Hertz
146350	reund 🗸	10.00	10/01/07	SFE RIVER FUND JAYNE DEARTH
155694	RPUND 🗸	1.00	06/01/09	
214033	rfund 🗸	3.0 .00	09/01/07	of the fund and
226992	RFUND J	5.00	09/01/07 01/01/08 08/01/07	sf river fund Todd Conklin
	REUND 🗸	5.00	01/01/00	SF RIVER FUND CHRIS CALVERT
	RFURD V	5.00	08/01/07	sf river fund Sam G Lunt
	REUND /	5.00	08/01/07	
	RFUND 4	1.00	09/01/07	sf river fund Pamela Herman
	REUND /	1.00	08/01/07	SI river fund Raul Morales
	RFUND ¥	3.00	08/01/07	SF RIVER FUND JO REIS
	REUND /	1.00	08/01/07	of river fund Karen Meyer-Done
	RFUND /	10.00	09/01/07	
		5.00	08/01/07	SI River Fund Douglas Convell
	RFUND (1.00	08/01/07	sf river fund Bernard Foy
	RFUND V	2.00	10/01/07	SFE RIVER FUND FRANKLIN
293481	RFUND #	5.00	08/01/07	SF River Fund Fimberly Comme
304215	RFUND 🗸	1.00	08/01/07	SF River Fund Rimberly Spray Sf river fund Celina O Lopez
321980	REUND 🥜	5.00	08/01/07	si river fund Celina O Lopez si river fund Patricia Spille SF River Fund Diame Tintor
	reund 🦨	5.00	08/01/07	SF Piver Dund Diana min
	rfund 🗸	5.00	08/01/07	of river fund Davis
	RFUND /	10.00	08/01/07	of river find the same
	rpund 🦨	1.00	09/01/07	sf river fund Harry L Miller
	RPUND 🎸	5.00	08/01/07	sf river fund Dan Piburn
434394	RFUND √	1.00	08/01/07	SF river fund Elizabeth Dunham
464999	rfund 🏑	10.00	09/01/07	SF RIVER FUND SARAH FIERPOUT
526766	RPUND J	1 00	03/01/07	of river fund Max Elepp
528355	RFUND ₹	1 00	00/01/0/	SFriver fund Alexandra Fischer
528771	RFUND /	1.00	08/01/07	SF River Fund Pauline Romero
536169	R FIDAD . /	1.00	08/01/07	SF RIVER FUND LYDIA SCKALOR
546608	REUND V	1.00	08/01/07	SF River Fund Megan Auer
550305	REUND (1.00	09/01/07 09/01/07 08/01/07 08/01/07 08/01/07 05/01/07 01/20/10	SANTA FE RIVER FUND MONTHLY/AM
553640	RFUND	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 1.00 1.00	10/20/13	
	RFUND	10.00	06/18/13	SANTA FE RIVER FUND
	REUND V	10.00	08/01/17	RIVER FUND DONATION
J/03/4	KEGMD A.	10.00	06/01/17	RIVER FUND DONATION
3 Th and	w			DOMITOR
l Item.	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	2 2 3 4 4		
•		212.00		
160039		248.75	09/18/17	Consist Comments
535812	ROCCS	248.75		Special Com COW Pckup-sched
535812			09/12/17	Special Com COW Pckup-sched
538328		248,75 248,75	09/20/17 09/18/17	Special Com COW Pokup-school
		440./3	09/18/17	Special Com COW Pckup-sched

10/05/17 Handout Page Date

Exhibit A

Order Number	Item		Date T	N	-	Bill Cycle
	RECON	25.00	09/20/17	-	Reco N-Pay Con Closed	
576637	RECON	25.00	08/11/17		Reco N-Pay Con Closed Reconnect Cut for Non Pay	2
Bill Item					_	
Sum	•	1,125.00				
		1,123.00				
28732	RFUND	1.00	08/01/07		sf river fund eleanor riser	2
	RFUND	5.00	08/01/07		SF RIVER FUND LESLIE NATHANSON	2
	RFUND	10.00	07/01/07		SFE RIVER FUND DAVID COSS	2
	RYUND		09/02/07		sf river fund kenneth kambeitz	
	RFUND	5.00	08/01/08		CHANGED CONTIBUTION PER OWNER	
	RFUND	5.00	04/01/08		SPE RIVER FUND ART VOLLMER	2
	RFUND		09/01/07		SF RIVER FUND NATALIE MILLER	2
	REUND	2.00	08/01/07		of river fund Emily Lucero	2
	REUND	10.00	04/01/08		SFE RIVER ELLEN ZIESELMAN	2
	REUND	10.00	10/01/07		SFE RIVER FUND/CHERYL COOM SFE RIVER FUND WILLIAM TJENOS	2
271185	RPUND	5.00	08/01/07 04/01/08 07/01/07 10/01/07 09/01/07 09/01/07		SF RIVER FUND MICHAEL JOHNSON	2 2
331694	RETIND	5.00 10.00 1.00 5.00	09/01/07		sf river fund peter jurkat	2
	RFUND	10.60	08/01/07		SF RIVER FUND SFS FOR THE ARTS	
	REUND	1.00	08/01/07		me militaria di manganti manganti an	
	RFUND	5.00	09/01/07		SF RIVER FUND MARLA RUIZ	2
	RFUNO	. 5.00	05/01/08		SPE RIV FUND PATRICIA CARLTON	2
	RFUND	5.00	08/01/07		sf river fund Adams/Thornton	2
554328	RFUND	10.00	07/01/17		SI RIVER FUND MARLA RUIZ SFE RIV FUND PATRICIA CARLTON SF RIV FUND ADMINISTRATION SF RIVER FUND DONATION PER EMAIL	2
Bill Item Sum		110.00				
	ROCCS	248.75	09/19/17		Special Com COW Pckup-sched	2
	ROCCS	248.75	10/12/17		Special Com COW Pckup-sched	2
	ROCCS	248,75	09/25/17		Special Com COW Pckup-sched	2
	ROCCS	246.75	09/29/17		Special Com COW Pokup-sched	2
324000	AUCCO	470,13	09/39/17		Special Com COW Pckup-sched	2
Bill Item			*			
Sum		1,243.75				
	ROADS		09/21/17		Special Reg NC RO Delivery	2
Bill Item		and the second second				
Shen		20.67				
	RORPS	248,75	09/28/17		Special Reg NC RO Pokup-spec	2
	RORPS	248.75	09/28/17		Special Reg NC RO Pckup-spec	2
	RORPS		09/29/17		Special Reg NC RO Pckup-spec	2
	RORPS	248.75	09/20/17		Special Reg NC RO Pckup-spec	2
	RORPS	248.75	09/20/17		Special Reg NC RO Pckup-spec	
	RORPS	243.75	09/20/17		Special Reg NC RO Pokup-spec	2
	RORPS	240.75 340 fe	08/17/17		Special Reg NC RO Pokun-enec	*4
	RORPS	470.70 948 95	10/05/17		Special Reg NC RO Pckup-spec Special Reg NC RO Pckup-spec	2
	RORPS	268 75	TO(TO / T /		special Reg NC RO Pckup-spec	2
		evenue : Inst	V31 201 1 1		Special Reg NC RO Pckup-spec	2

17101 RFUND 18059 RECOND 19502 RFUND 27756 RFUND 53356 RECOND 53452 RFUND 60417 RFUND 60417 RFUND 74069 RFUND 74069 RFUND 78588 RFUND 78588 RFUND 78539 RFUND 105339 RFUND 105339 RFUND 115217 RFUND 152127 RFUND 152127 RFUND	546987 NSF 556085 NSF 575508 NSCON 53581 RECON 54266 RECON 5	
10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00	Ancume 65.00 2	
09/01/07 08/01/07 08/01/07 09/01/07 09/01/07 09/01/07 09/01/07 08/01/07 08/01/07 08/01/07 08/01/07 08/01/07 08/01/07 08/01/07 08/01/07 08/01/07 08/01/07 08/01/07 08/01/07 08/01/07 08/01/07 08/01/07	Effective S Date T 10/06/17 10/03/17 10/13/17 10/13/17 10/13/17 10/12/17 10/13/17	
SF RIVER FUND SYLVIA CHAVEZ sfe river fund gerald jocobi sf river fund Kathryn Jones SF RIVER FUND BOB WALSH SF river fund Bob WALSH sf river fund Bonnie Fisher sf river fund Bonnie Fisher sf river fund E.J. Martinez sfriver fund Michael Donlan sf river fund Michael Donlan sf river fund Scharl Weiner SFE RIVER FUND FEN SARTORIUS sf river fund Richard M Jones sf river fund Richard M Jones sf river fund Charlene Ceny sf river fund Frederick Ellis SFE RIVER FUND DONATION	Reconnect Cut for Non Pay	
வையையையையையையை		

Order		Amount	Effective \$ C	Description	Bill
Number		*	Date TN		Cycle
	REUND				
	RFUND		08/01/07		3
	REUND		08/01/07	SF RIVER FUND ED ROBINSON	3
			12/01/07	sf river fund Warren Zimmer	3
	RFUND		09/01/07	SF RIVER FUND ROBERT BENON	3
398936	RFUND		07/01/08	SFE RIVER FUND BONNICK	3
		5.00	09/01/07	sf river fund Donna D Clark	3
424971		5.00	09/01/07	SF RIVER FUND LESLIE PEARLMAN	
446070 457705		1.00	09/01/07	sf river fund Sarah Stevens	3
466169		5.00	09/01/07	sf river fund Sandra White	3
		5.00	08/01/07	sf river fund Martin C Price	3
479971		5,00	04/01/08	SFE RIVER FUND RAY GALLEY	3
	RFUNU	5.00 5.00 1.00	08/01/07	sf river fund Teutsch	3
	RFUND	1.00	09/01/07	sf river fund Michael Muth	3
530977				SF RIVER FUND EARLY ST LLC	3
536275	RYUND	2.09	08/01/07	SFRiver Pund Bill Stern	3
m / 3 3		*****			
Bill Item					
Sum		188.00			
460077	ROCCS				
		248.75	09/25/17	Special Com COW Pokup-sched	3
408//1	ROCCS	248.75	10/11/17	Special Com COW Pckup-sched	3
Bill Item		(- x - x - x - x - x - x - x - x - x -			
Sum					
43 CMD		497.50			
32221	RORDS	20.52	20/20/20		
	RORDS	20.67	09/29/17	Special Reg NC RO Delivery	3
	RORDS	20,67	09/29/17	Special Reg NC RO Delivery	3
	RORDS	20.67	09/29/17	Special Reg NC RO Delivery	3
	RORDS	20.67	09/29/17	Special Reg NC RO Delivery	3
	RORDS		09/29/17	Special Reg NC RO Delivery	3
200131	8434535	20.67	09/29/17 10/11/17	Special Reg NC RO Delivery	3
Bill Item					
Sum	•	124.02			
		224.02			
21944	RORPS	248.75	09/26/17	Special Reg NC RO Pckup-spec	
	RORPS	240 25	10/02/17	Special Reg NC RO PERUP-Spec	3
	RORPS	248.75	10/02/17	Special Reg NC RO Pokup-spec Special Reg NC RO Pokup-spec	3
	RORES	248.75	10/02/17		3
	RORPS	248.75	10/02/17	Special Reg NC RO Pckup-spec	
	RORPS	248.75	10/02/17	Special Reg NC RO Pokup-spec	3
	RORPS	248.75	10/11/17	Special Reg NC RO Pokup-spec	3
	RORPS	248.75	10/13/17	Special Reg NC RO Pokup-spec	
	RORPS	248.75	10/19/17	Special Reg NC RO Pokup-spec	
	BORPS	248.75		Special Reg NC RO Pckup-spec	
	RORPS	248.75	09/22/17 09/26/17	Special Reg NC RO Pckup-spec	
	RORPS	ANO.13		Special Reg NC RO Pckup-spec	3
	RORPS		10/20/17	Special Reg NC RO Pckup-spec	
	RORPS	248.75	10/05/17	Special Reg NC RO Pckup-spec	3
571460		248.75 248.75	10/18/17	Special Reg NC RO Pokup-spec Special Reg NC RO Pokup-spec	3
21.40Q	AUAFD	448.75	10/04/17	special Reg NC RO Fckup-spec	3
Bill Item					
Sum		3 731 75			
The Market S		3,731.25			

Order	Bill	Amount	Effective S C	Description	Bill
Number	Item				Cycle
	* * * * * *	***************************************	********	Transfer Service	
578820	HMCNT	25.00 25.00 25.00 25.00 25.00	09/20/17 10/11/17 09/13/17 10/10/17 10/20/17	Transfer Service	4
578827	HMCMT	25.00	10/11/17	Transfer Service	4
578847		₩ 25.00	09/13/17	Transfer Service	4
578852		25.00	10/10/17	Transfer Service	4
578854		25.00	10/20/17	Transfer Service	4
578860		25.00	09/29/17	Transfer Service	4
578863		25.00	10/03/17	Transfer Service	4
578863		25.00	10/03/17	Transfer Service	4
578870		25.00	10/20/17	Transfer Service	4
578875			07/25/17	Transfer Service	4
578878		25.00	09/01/17	Transfer Service	4
578894		25.00	10/02/17	Transfer Service	4
578900 578902		25.00 25.00	10/19/17 10/17/17	Transfer Service Transfer Service	4
	HMCNT			Transfer Service	4
	HMCNT		10/19/17 10/17/17	Transfer Service	4
	HMCNT		10/17/17	Transfer Service	4
-	HMCNT		10/10/17	Transfer Service	4
	HMCNT		09/29/17 10/01/17	Transfer Service	4
0,0520		*****	20,02,27	Transfer periate	•
Bill Item	ـ نا	4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Sum		1,625.00			
		-•			
251871	NSF	15.00	10/10/17		4
576850	NSF	15,00	10/11/17		4
Bill Item	i., ,				
Sum		30.00			
0207		25.40	10/02/		
	RECON		10/03/17	Reconnect Cut for Non Pay	4
	RECON RECON		10/03/17	Reconnect Cut for Non Pay	4
	RECON		10/06/17 10/04/17	Reconnect Cut for Non Pay Reconnect Cut for Non Pay	4
	RECON		10/03/17	Reconnect Cut for Non Pay	4
	RECON		10/03/17	Reconnect Cut for Non Pay	4
	RECON		10/03/17	Reconnect Cut for Non Pay	4
	RECON		10/24/17	Reconnect Cut for Non Pay	4
	RECON		10/04/17	Reconnect Cut for Non Pay	4
	RECON		10/10/17	Reconnect Cut for Non Pay	4
	RECON	25.00	09/29/17	Reconnect Cut for Non Pay	4
	RECON		10/11/17	Reconnect Cut for Non Pay	4
574883	RECON			Reconnect Cut for Non Pay	4
574883	RECON	7 25.00 7 25.00	10/10/17	Left Water Off Running Inside	4
				_	
Bill Item	D				
Sum		350.00			
4642	RPUNI	25.00	09/01/07	sf river fund Elspeth Bobbs	4
2161	RFUNE	5.00	08/01/07	sf river fund STanford Lehmber	
30333	RPUNI		10/01/07	SPE RIVER FUND MICHAEL WARD	4
	F RFUNI		09/01/07	sf river fund Patricia Feather	: 4
	l rfuni	5,00	09/01/07	sf river fund Dan Russel	4
74931	L RFUNI	5.00	09/01/07	sf river fund Susie Trujillo	4

Order Number	Item	Amount	Effective Date	~	M		Bill Cycle
80202	RFUND			-	-		
	RFUND	10.00	09/01/07			sf river fund June Catron	4
	RFUND		09/01/07			sf river fund Lisa Fisher	4
157841			10/01/07 09/01/07			SFE RIVER FUND HELEN RODRIGUEZ	4
	RFUND	5.00	09/01/07			sf river fund Barbara Anschel	4
	RFUND	10.00	09/01/07 10/01/07			sf river fund Robert Stern	4
185851		10.00 1.00				SFE RIVER FUND SMITH LANNING	4
187717		5.00	09/01/07			SF RIVER FUND JILL REICHMAN	4
190131		5.00	10/01/07			SFE RIVER FUND SLS MOTELS	4
200803		1.00	09/01/07			sf river fund Janet M Peacock	4
220222		5.00	08/01/07 09/01/07			sf river fund Stephen Staples	4
226595		15.00	08/01/07			SF RIVER FUND BELLAS ARTES	4
230965		5.00	08/01/07			sf river fund Steven W Bradley	
244734		1.00	08/01/07			sf river fund Julie A Canepa	4
273797		5.00	09/01/07			sf river fund Ann Morgan	4
292365		15.00	10/01/07			of river fund Katherine Arnold	-
320240		10.00	09/01/07			JOE WRIGHT SPE RIVER FUND	4
398063		10.00	07/01/07			sf river fund Mike Mendez	4
416954		5.00	09/01/07			SFE RIVER FUND/ROSE UTTON	4
437907	RFUND	10.00	07/01/17			sf river fund Edward Walpin SFE RIVER FUND RED SKY STUDIOS	4
438310		E 00	09/01/07			of river fund Marcia Chorak	4
458693		10.00	08/01/07			sf river fund ovalline	4
513068	RPUND	EA 04	00/01/05			SF RIVER FUND TERRI GUY	4
516200		10.00 10.00 15.00 1.00	09/01/07			of river fund Norman Koppel	4
525164	RFUND	10.00	09/01/07			SF RIVER FUND FRANK CLIFFORD	4
526972	RPUND	15.00	09/01/07 09/01/07 11/01/07 09/04/07			of river fund Ambjorn Lindsuog	4
530632	RFUND	1.00	11/01/07			SFE RIVER FUND LYNN MILLER	
536892	RPUND	15.00	09/04/07			of river fund Peter Morris	4
573381	RFUND	5.00	09/01/17			RIVER FUND DONATION	4
		*****	,,			WALLET TOND DORRITOR	4
Bill Item		5 B + • • • •					
Sum		306.00					
11367	ROCCS	248.75	10/17/17			A	
30103	ROCCS	240.75	10/17/17			Special Com COW Pckup-sched	4
358408	ROCCS	248.75	10/13/17			Special Com COW Pckup-sched	4
358408	ROCCS	248 75	08/28/17 09/19/17			Special Com COW Pokup-sched	4
	ROCCS	248.75	10/16/17			Special Com COW Pckup-sched	4
575677	ROCCS	248.75 248.75 248.75 248.75 248.75 248.75	10/11/17			Special Com COW Pckup-sched	4
		************	10/11/1/			Special Com COW Pckup-sched	4
Bill Item							
Sum		1,492.50					
401074	RORPS	248.75	10/12/17			Special Reg NC RO Pckup-spec	_
513694	RORPS	248.75 248.75 248.75	10/12/17 09/08/17			Special Reg NC RO Pokup-spec	4
518694	RORPS	248.75	10/08/17			Special Reg NC RO Pckup-spec	4
			,,			-F wed we wo sevuh-spec	4
Bill Item		2. 79					
Sum		746.25					
200846	SPCLG	33.33	10/31/17			Post Charles de des de la	
	SPCLG		10/31/17 10/19/17			Res. Curbside Special	4
566432		33.33	10/19/17			Res. Curbside Special Res. Curbside Special	4
			TA/ 13/ 1/			Res. Curbside Special	4

#4

Living River Fund Projects (BU 5317) Expenditures:	FY 15/16	FY 16/17	FY 17/18
Rain Garden (BU52389): Sicomorro & W. AlamedaSFWA Grant Project	3,412.10		
Rain Garden (BU52389): Cathedral Place & East Alameda SOW		33,610.83	
Rain Garden (BU52389): El Alamo & East Alameda SOW/Youthworks		15,830.68	
Rain Garden (BU52389): Cristobal Colon & Agua Fria SIP			48,910.28

Exhibit B