



Agenda

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATE 10-27-16 TIME 10:17

SERVED BY Erin Tapia

RECEIVED BY Yolanda G. Vigil

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMITTEE
MEETING
WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT
73 PASEO RAE
WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2016
REGULAR MEETING - 4:00 P.M.**

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. ROLL CALL
3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
4. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA
5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM THE OCTOBER 5, 2016 PUC MEETING

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

6. Monthly Update of Capital Projects. (Nick Schiavo)
7. Water Conservation Program Fall Update. (Christine Chavez)
8. Environmental Services Division Update. (Shirlene Sitton)

CONSENT - ACTION CALENDAR

9. Update on Current Water Supply Status. (Alex Puglisi)
10. Wastewater Management Division Monthly Update. (Shannon Jones)
11. Request for approval of Change Order No. 3 to the Contract No. 14-1237 with Badger Meter for the amount of \$295,512.18 inclusive of NMGR. (Nick Schiavo)

Public Utilities Committee - 11/2/2016
Finance Committee - 11/14/2016

City Council – 12/14/2016

12. Request for approval to move funds (BAR) generated by the Bag Ordinance Environmental Service Fee from the Environmental Services BU/LI 51256.439755 (Revenues-Other Service Charges) to 52273.561850 (Advertising) and increase the ESD budget in the amount of \$164.000. (Shirlene Sitton)

Public Utilities Committee – 11/2/2016
Finance Committee – 11/14/2016
City Council – 12/14/2016

MATTERS FROM THE PUBLIC

MATTERS FROM THE CITY ATTORNEY

MATTERS FROM STAFF

MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE

NEXT MEETING: Wednesday, December 7, 2016

ADJOURN

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
11/14/2016

**SUMMARY INDEX
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMITTEE MEETING
Wednesday, November 2, 2016**

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
CALL TO ORDER & ROLL CALL	Quorum	1
APPROVAL OF AGENDA	Approved [amended]	2
APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA	Approved [amended]	2
CONSENT – ACTION CALENDAR LISTING		2
APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM THE OCTOBER 5, 2016 PUC MEETING	Approved	2
<u>INFORMATIONAL ITEMS</u>		
MONTHLY UPDATE OF CAPITAL PROJECTS	Information/discussion	3-5
WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM FALL UPDATE	Postponed to December 7, 2016	5
ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIVISION UPDATE	Information/discussion	5-10
<u>CONSENT – ACTION CALENDAR DISCUSSION</u>		
REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 3 TO THE CONTRACT NO. 14-1237 WITH BADGER METER FOR THE AMOUNT OF \$295,512.18, INCLUSIVE OF NMGR	Approved	11-12
REQUEST FOR APPROVAL TO MOVE FUNDS (BAR) GENERATED BY THE BAG ORDINANCE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE FEE FROM THE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES BU/LI 512546.439755 (REVENUES-OTHER SERVICE CHARGES) TO 52273.561850 (ADVERTISING) AND INCREASE THE ESD BUDGET IN THE AMOUNT OF \$164,000	Approved	12-15
DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS	None	15
MATTERS FROM THE PUBLIC	None	15

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
MATTERS FROM THE CITY ATTORNEY	None	15
ITEMS FROM STAFF	None	15
MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE	Information/discussion	16
NEXT MEETING: WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 7, 2016		16
ADJOURN		16

**MINUTES OF THE
CITY OF SANTA FE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMITTEE
Wednesday, November 2, 2016**

1. CALL TO ORDER

A meeting of the Public Utilities Committee was called to order by Councilor Christopher M. Rivera, Chair, at approximately 4:00 p.m., on Wednesday, November 2, 2016, at the Wastewater Treatment Plant, 73 Paseo Real, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

2. ROLL CALL

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Councilor Christopher M, Rivera, Chair
Councilor Signe I. Lindell
Councilor Joseph M. Maestas
Councilor Ronald S. Trujillo
Councilor Renee Villarreal

OTHERS PRESENT:

Nick Schiavo, Public Utilities Director
Marcos Martinez, Assistant City Attorney
Elizabeth Martin for Melessia Helberg, Stenographer

There was a quorum of the membership present for conducting official business.

NOTE: All items in the Committee packet for all agenda items are incorporated herewith to these minutes by reference. The original Committee packet is on file in the Public Utilities Department.

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Nick Schiavo, Director, Public Utilities Department, said he would like to move Item #8 to be heard before Item #7.

MOTION: Councilor Maestas moved, seconded by Councilor Villarreal, to approve the Agenda, as amended.

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

4. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

MOTION: Councilor Villarreal moved, seconded by Councilor Maestas, to approve the following Consent Action Calendar, as amended.

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

CONSENT – ACTION CALENDAR

9. UPDATE ON CURRENT WATER SUPPLY STATUS (ALEX PUGLISI)
10. WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT DIVISION MONTHLY UPDATE. (SHANNON JONES)
11. *[Removed for discussion by Councilor Maestas]*
12. *[Removed for discussion by Councilor Maestas]*

END OF CONSENT – ACTION CALENDAR

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM THE OCTOBER 5, 2016 PUC MEETING

MOTION: Councilor Maestas moved, seconded by Councilor Lindell, to approve the minutes of the PUC meeting of October 5, 2016, as presented.

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

6. MONTHLY UPDATE OF CAPITAL PROJECTS. (NICK SCHIAVO)

A copy of a *Public Utilities Project Status Report FY 16-17 Water Division*, dated November 2, 2016, is incorporated herewith to these minutes as Exhibit "1."

Mr. Schiavo highlighted information in the handout. Please see Exhibit "1," for specifics of this presentation.

Mr. Schiavo noted the concrete slab will be poured for the hospital tank before next Thursday. The structural engineer who designed the tank is sponsoring a luncheon out there, after which people can look at the site.

The Committee commented and asked questions as follows:

Shannon Jones, Director, Wastewater Management Division, said last month the Council approved the collections vector truck and CT closed circuit television cameras, and the staff already has moved forward to try to get those brought in. One reallocation for a water truck, noting that was on the CIP from last year, so it is complete.

Mr. Jones said the Digester projected comments on 60% review, and by this time next week, we should be looking at 90% review specifications and finalizing that. He said it is still on schedule, with a target to complete the design and be out to bid around the first of the year, with construction being completed "sometime about this time next year." He said these are the main CIP projects that they have going. One thing we have identified is the nutrient study, noting the proposals were received, the recommendations are being finalized as we speak, and they are processing the paperwork through Purchasing, commenting that a firm has been scored. He said the Selection committee is on the edge of completing this. He said by the next Committee meeting, he will be back to this Committee with a recommendation for a contract to execute the Nutrient Study.

Councilor Maestas said we talked about the possible need to update the 40 year water plan, in light of the State Engineer's attitude in putting our permitted water rights to beneficial use, and if we need to rethink our conjunctive use strategy and focus on using more groundwater. He said, "But you guys basically did not recommend that we update the 40-year water plan." He said it seems we are approaching a time that would warrant that. He asked the plan for updating our 40-year water plan.

Mr. Schiavo said staff is systematically working our way through that. He said one project proposed is the City Well Field Optimization. He said once that is completed, that will let us know the condition of the City Well Field, what it's capable of producing. He said there are one set of numbers for water rights and what the wells could produce at one time. We will have an updated study on what it is capable of and recommendations of where to place wells in the future. He said, right on the tail of that we will start the 40-year plan update.

Councilor Maestas noted on the update, the City has been issued a 3-year compliance schedule for meeting new nutrient limits for total phosphorous and nitrogen. He said we talked about that, and he thought we had a spike in some of the quality standards. He asked staff to explain how the nutrient loading and Removal Optimization Study fits into the compliance plan, and how we will meet that plan.

Mr. Jones said the EPA gave us a 6 month compliance schedule on the original draft. The City has requested an extension to extend that to 3 years, which was justified by a course of events that we were predicting with the kickoff of the Nutrient Study. He said our permanent limit was derived from 3 years of analytical data, noting there were times both total nitrogen and total phosphorous did exceed the recommend limits. He said the limit was set on the 95th percentile. He said so automatically, based on the data there are going to numbers above that, as long as you have some exceedances. He said there are times during the 3-year period, and even in the last 2 years when he has been monitoring the nutrient levels, when we do really well at nutrient removal, and times when we still see elevated numbers. He said the missing component is we haven't pointed to the effectiveness of the treatments and the determining factors of when we're doing well and when we are not.

Mr. Jones continued, saying the nutrient study will be tasked with plant optimization. He said a big component of the nutrient study is to look at how we're doing business, identify what we are doing well, make recommendations and provide oversight as we implement the recommendations, setting performance indicators, realistic treatment goals and helping us work to achieve those. He said at the end of the study, the intent is to have a realization that each treatment process has a reasonable removal limit that it is capable of removing, and we have a better understanding of how we can optimize to meet that.

Mr. Jones continued, saying the second part of the study is to look at the actual river assimilation. He said currently it is listed on the 303 Regs as impaired for nutrient [inaudible]. However, there has not been a total maximum daily load that can be offset for the river. He understands the NMED does have some baseline information, but the assimilation study is our proactive approach to really look at the River and ask what the River is capable of absorbing and function as a thriving eco-environment. He said in a perfect world, our information will match

NMED's which would [inaudible] future permit limitations. If now, it gives the City a great basis for making an arguments of what those limitations should be in the future. He said the 4th phase will be to look at our facility, and based on realistic expectations for the limits of future permits, and identifying gaps on limitations and if so, what would be done. He said the 5th phase is putting all of those into a report, finalizing how the plant is looked at, how it is optimized, the test results, benchmarking and how we've already being optimized. This is one of our reasons for pushing the EPA to say we need 3 years. One to look at the study, noting it takes a year to do the solid. If solid recommendations come from that, we will need to design them, just given a lot of different factors.

Councilor Maestas asked if we will have time to make any facility improvements within the 3-year time frame.

Mr. Jones said it is needed, but it's going to depend on the recommendations and outcomes. He said there is easy gain that already align with our 5-year CIP plan. He said as long as that continues on the same track, we're on the track meaning we already have a plan in place, funding is identified and it's a matter of execution. At this point, we were able to convince the EPA to elevate the discharge limits, at least for the 5 years. He said, "Again, I remain confident that through optimization, how we manage our air and oxygen, chemical addition potentially, how we manage our sites during treatment – things we're already of. I think the study is going to reinforce those, but also being optimistic that it's going to give us some other options that maybe we haven't considered, and again, give us a plan for a more long term approach."

Councilor Maestas said, "But in your opinion, you think it is just a matter of optimizing the operation of the existing facility, and not making any facility improvement...."

Mr. Jones said, "Under the current permit, that is my belief."

7. WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM FALL UPDATE. (CHRISTINE CHAVEZ)

This item is postponed to the next meeting of the Committee.

8. ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIVISION UPDATE. (SHIRLENE SITTON)

Shirlene Sitton, Director, Environmental Services Division, said she has no formal presentation around this update. She said it was a thought that we were going to do a high level review as she approaches her 1 year anniversary.

Ms. Sitton said the NMED released the 2015 tonnage for waste and recycling, and in Santa Fe County, we have increased 9,452 tons over previous years.

Ms. Sitton reviewed her Memorandum of November 2, 2016, to the Public Utilities Committee. Please see this Memorandum for specifics of this presentation.

Chair Rivera asked if we teach our own CDL classes.

Ms. Sitton said the Environmental Services Division does not, but Transit has a program run by Victoria Duran, and she is working with Ms. Duran to model the program. And if can use that, it can be a model for us, as well as other parts of the City. She said if we use her model, we will pay our fair share as we develop that program going forward. She said they do that program successfully, noting she hires people for potential, and they go through a week-long training to get their written portion for their CDL to have a learners permit, and they go on for up to 6 weeks of training on the buses, and then they take their test. She said Ms. Duran has a 100% success rate.

Ms. Sitton congratulated Eric Lucero, Operations, who will be the first manager certified by SWANA. She said they will continue to have the SWANA certified in the future. She congratulated Adam Schlachter, who joined the Division two weeks ago. She said he has been working with the outreach since last winter, in planning the curbside program.

Councilor Maestas asked, on the salary side, if Ms. Sitton has looked at the salary structure of other solid waste agencies to see how we stack-up.

Ms. Sitton said we don't stack up very well, noting we are fairly low-paying, although the City often has better benefits. She said people entering the profession really aren't concerned about that, commenting there are less and less millennials becoming CDL drivers. We have a hard time competing when our salaries are \$15 perming. She is hoping they can find a way to improve the City union contract negotiations, noting the City of Albuquerque pays several dollars higher.

Councilor Maestas asked, if we have these self sufficient enterprises, why can't they have their own salary structure, regardless of union affiliation. The common affiliation would be they work for an enterprise.

Mr. Schiavo said even though we are self-funded as an enterprise, the employees are union employees under AFSCME, and he isn't able to give them more money. He said we have to go back and reclassify positions or create another position in some way to get them more money. He said the City employees haven't been receiving regular pay increases. The union rule is that "if you were hired today, you can't make any more than the last person in that same position who has more seniority. So in some cases, you're hired and it's a different kind of compaction. People are

bumping against \$15.31 per hour." He said 20-30% of his staff in different divisions are at \$15.31 per hour. He said someone with more seniority is at \$15.32 or \$15.35, and that's where are at the moment.

Councilor Maestas said the union for SWMA requires a compensation study every 3 years, and we just did one. He said they excluded the City, but they did come up with pay bands, a mid and a max. He asked if could use that at the City. He said there is a disparity between the salary structure of SWMA and the salaries of City employees. He thinks that needs to be on our radar and come up with a plan to establish parity.

Mr. Schiavo said that is easier said than done. He said H.R.'s challenge is that there are others who haven't received pay increases in years. He said he and Ms. Sitton have been talking about it. He said if we can show we're under-paying by \$2-\$3 an hour, he is fine with moving that forward.

Ms. Sitton said they also would like to implement a cross training program so that the drivers train on all kinds of different equipment, and maybe create some pay bands. She said the BDD and Wastewater have good systems in place. She said we need to invest in our employees as they earn new skills.

Ms. Sitton continued her review of her memorandum, which is in the Committee packet.

Councilor Maestas asked if she is dealing with the limitations of a one-bay shop in the Solid Waste Assessment.

Ms. Sitton said yes. She said there are specific recommendations in the study as to the age of the fleet, noting many vehicles are well beyond their age.

Councilor Maestas asked Ms. Sitton if it is her intent to implement all of the recommendations, and if she will be keeping the Committee apprised on her efforts.

Ms. Sitton said yes, noting many recommendations have been implemented – the low hanging fruit. She said the efficacy of some of the recommendations might change as other things change, so they will be looking at them individually, and will keep you informed. She said recycling is the big one they're working on, and then the fleet operations, rate recommendations.

Councilor Trujillo said he actually toured the facility with Ms. Sitton and recommends that his colleagues go see the facility. He said it is in bad shape and it's an embarrassment. He said everyone is on top of each other in the one bay trying to get the work done. He said there is room for expansion. He said we really have to develop a fleet management system, and although it will

be costly up front, it will save us money in the long run. He said there were 2 garbage trucks just parked, commenting it is ridiculous to have 2 trucks out. He said if one of the existing trucks fail, we will be in dire straits, and he doesn't want that to happen. He said he sees the dire needs at the Division.

Chair Rivera asked Ms. Sitton if Environmental Services has its own bay separate from fleet maintenance.

Ms. Sitton yes, they have one bay.

Chair Rivera asked how many bays are in Fleet Maintenance.

Ms. Sitton said they have 3.

Councilor Trujillo asked if that includes the wash bay.

Ms. Sitton said the wash bay belonged to them, and at the start of this budget, we took over ownership of the wash bay. It has been somewhat helpful, because sometimes they would store things in there and we couldn't wash the trucks at all. She said she comes from a place where the trucks sparkled. There was a wheel wash at the landfill which is quite powerful, which washes the entire undercarriage. They had a drive-through wash bay and the trucks were sparkling clean every day. She said the wash bay we have is a "giant behemoth with a hand-held sprayer." She said it is not in the area of real expectations to have the guys get under a truck and wash it after a long day.

Chair Rivera asked if the Division has its own separate mechanics.

Ms. Sitton said yes, because that's how it's set up, noting they are separate from fleet maintenance. She said there are pros and cons to having centralized fleet maintenance, especially for a solid Waste Division. She said there are problems and intense needs for trash trucks, and many times centralizing it can hobble the Division. She said this is a long discussion for another day.

Ms. Sitton continued her review of her memorandum, which is in the Committee packet.

Chair Rivera said when we start looking at single stream and having larger bins, will you also look into pay as you throw, and make sure it is factored in there and the Committee gets as much information as possible.

Ms. Sitton said yes, absolutely. She believes she can bring recommendations in the next budget year for some rate changes around pay as you throw. She said they want to successfully launch the curbside program. She said she has seen cities try to do this all at once and then they have heavily contaminated recycling, because people have ordered the cheapest trash cart. She said we already are going to have problems with glass that is going to be an issue, and will take a few months to get ironed out. She said, "Pay as You Throw rates for trash are absolutely on its heels."

Councilor Maestas asked if we use various sizes of poly carts for pay as you throw, commenting that right now we have only one size.

Councilor Lindell said we have 2 sizes.

Ms. Sitton said they can implement small ones, 2-3, and do much more public education around that. She said we may want to look at the rates, and set the rates on what the majority of people will have so we don't lose a lot of money right away. She said, "Again, we will come back with some recommendations on that.....I have some history and knowledge around how to do that."

Councilor Maestas said we just raised the rates.

Mr. Schiavo said we just raised rates effective July 1, 2016.

Councilor Maestas asked how the revenues are doing.

Mr. Schiavo said the commercial was subsidized, so the residential side should be covering its own costs, and not paying for commercial.

Councilor Maestas said then if we go to pay as you throw, the residential cost could go down.

Ms. Sitton yes, and we need to make sure we are covering our costs for the size cart most people will have. She said we need to look at things every year and make tweaks if necessary.

Councilor Maestas said we know about the glass part of recycling. We knew this was coming. And when Ms. Sitton came on board, we talked about a November rollout and now it could be indefinite. And now, glass seems to be controlling the timeline to begin our single stream recycling. He asked her to talk about that. He asked if we planned to have convenience centers throughout the City instead of just in one location.

Ms. Sitton said it has been a bigger issue than we had hoped. She said we were going to partner with Smith, because they partnered with the City of Albuquerque. Unfortunately, they're pulling out of Albuquerque, then they pulled out of Santa Fe. She said seemed we had enough identified places to start the program to have a few drop-off sites spread throughout. So now we are having to start over on that a little. We are in good negotiations with Santa Fe Place Mall, so we think we'll have that location as well as BuRRT. She said they are looking primarily at City locations. The problem with the City-owned locations is that they all require development, and the retail partners have the parking lots that were all ready to code to handle a trash truck. She doesn't think it will hold the roll out indefinitely, and should be ready to go in March. She said the trucks don't come in until December. She said, "It's not just the glass. It's everything and training the drivers." She said it really comes down to that we were overly optimistic about a November roll out. She said when they roll out, we will have all the pieces in place, because the last thing she wants is to do it too quickly and have it fail.

Councilor Maestas said he doesn't want a prolonged delay because of the glass, and wants us to find a stop gap measure for the glass side so we can follow through with the single stream.

Ms. Sitton said, "We think we're there." She said she has an appointment to look at the Siler Road drop-off, noting there are some environmental issues, and they are meeting on that tomorrow. She said we have the Siler Road drop-off, a couple of other possible City locations that they think will work at the Mall and BuRRT, but that isn't going to hold anything off, saying, "We feel we are set for March to be going. The Cart RFP isn't working and one of the things taking a lot longer. It was very complicated. When you see the packet you will understand between NMFA financing and the other issues around it. So we feel pretty confident we're set to go. We have the advertising plan in place."

Councilor Maestas said then now it is March 2017.

Ms. Sitton said yes, noting that is the reason they changed the outreach.

Councilor Maestas asked Ms. Sitton if she has consulted with Matt O'Reilly, who has the inventory of all our property, leases, small economic remnants we might be able to consider.

Ms. Sitton said they have consulted with Matt, and they've done a complete inventory across the City of possible locations.

CONSENT – ACTION CALENDAR DISCUSSION

11. **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 3 TO THE CONTRACT NO. 14-1237 WITH BADGER METER FOR THE AMOUNT OF \$295,512.18, INCLUSIVE OF NMGR. (NICK SCHIAVO) Committee Review: Public Utilities Committee - 11/02/16; Finance Committee - 11/14/2016; and City Council - 12/14/16.**

Councilor Maestas said he thought the County was going to pay for the master meters.

Mr. Schiavo said what was agreed in mediation is that Santa Fe County would pay us for all the outstanding debt, \$700,000 plus, and that the City would install the master meters.

Councilor Maestas asked if the County has signed the agreement.

Mr. Schiavo said yes. It went before the City Council and the County Commission, and he is eagerly awaiting the check. He said, regarding the Buckman parallel pipe line, we included that master meter as part of the project. He said we'll get a better price doing it that way. He said they will get a pre-fab setup for the master meter and ask our on-call people to do the plumbing to and from. He said those all will come before this Committee and Council.

Councilor Maestas said you convinced us to install more commercial meters, and asked the outcome and if we are getting more accurate data, and are we seeing more revenues – any trends.

Mr. Schiavo said revenues are up. He said in January 2017, for the first time ever, he will be able to show you what was produced for the month of January, what was produced on a single day, and what actually went through our meters. We can tie what was produced to what we sold, and what actually went through meters. He will come back with that number at the February meeting.

Councilor Villarreal said the Change Order totals \$7.8 million, but below in the overall summary of the contract, she thinks it totals to \$10 million – page 7 of the packet. She said she is trying to reconcile the two numbers.

Mr. Schiavo said initially when Dianna Catanach put this together, she included 10 years of projected servicing, but you can't tie up 10 years of money, and he has since corrected that. He said he wanted to show that initially, the number floating around was \$10 million, but the true sum at this point for the metering project is \$7.8 to \$7.9 million. That is the final cost for the contract. He said he is getting a good price for buying a few hundred small meters for Las Soleras. He said we don't have to order them right away, but he didn't want to miss the chance to get a good price.

MOTION: Councilor Maestas moved, seconded by Councilor Villarreal, to approve this request.

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote

- 12. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL TO MOVE FUNDS (BAR) GENERATED BY THE BAG ORDINANCE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE FEE FROM THE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES BU/LI 512546.439755 (REVENUES-OTHER SERVICE CHARGES) TO 52273.561850 (ADVERTISING) AND INCREASE THE ESD BUDGET IN THE AMOUNT OF \$164,000. (SHIRLENE SITTON) Committee Review: Public Utilities Committee - 11/02/16; Finance Committee - 11/14/2016; and City Council - 12/14/16.**

Councilor Maestas said he feel like we are repurposing the revenues from the Bag Ordinance. And secondly, when we took action on the issue it was pretty clear that this would be a diminishing revenue source, and now we are repurposing it for more general things to augment Environmental Services Budget. He said he would caution that we shouldn't depend on this revenue source, and should see it as a diminishing revenue source. He asked if the public education campaign will not be limited to recycling and solid waste, and will be more general.

Ms. Sitton said yes. She said the Ordinance itself is broadly specific: "*The City shall dedicate the Environmental Service Fees toward environment education programs and services provided to the public, and also toward the purchase of reusable bags to be provided to the public free of charge.*" She said they absolutely will stay within that charge. She said none of the money has been used to this point, which is the reason there is a significant amount of money in the fund. She thinks it always was meant to be in Environmental Services. She said when she first came on board, there was some confusion. She said everyone met and decided Environmental Services should still be responsible for making sure these fees are spent on public education. Then they went to work on that. In the first year, they ordered 10,000 reusable bags and those have just come in. She said they ordered those from their own advertising budget, and that will be something they would use to purchase bags. She said these are things for which they feel they can use the funds this year, which are within the charge, as well as purchase bags for the curbside roll-out, about 30,000 bags at rollout.

Ms. Sitton continued, saying in previous meetings, we talked at looking at a way to use those funds to provide a reusable bag to people. To protect the contents of what will be in the bag and meet the spirit of the Bag Ordinance to create a reusable bags they have a couple of possibilities, noting Mr. Schlachter has been working on that. She said they have been working with the Food Bank as a way to help the mail carriers get food donations to them. She said people can use the bags however they want, but they will encourage them to use the bag to donate food back to the Food Bank as a part of the education and outreach. She said they will augment a lot of

the outreach for recycling this year for a really big campaign which we will never to do again. One of the big pieces will be a 12-month calendar, with information about programs, as well as working with all of Public Utilities for water conservation information and any other environmental-type City information.

Ms. Sitton continued, "So we're exactly trying to use this fee toward these kinds of thing on recycling, as well as graffiti removal, Keep Santa Fe Beautiful, water conservation or any other pertinent environmental things." She said they have talked with Water Outreach about creating a school program. They will continue to bring ideas in the future. She said the revenue from the Bag Ordinance probably will reach some level and stay there. She said they will utilize the income for the purposes set out in the Ordinance.

Councilor Maestas said then we have received \$38,000 in bag revenues.

Ms. Sitton said that is this year, and what was left over from last year that had been collected in that revenue line item and gone to cash.

Councilor Maestas asked how much money was advanced to purchase bags before we realized funds from the Bag Ordinance.

Ms. Sitton said this went into effect the summer before she came on board, and she doesn't know. She said they purchased 10,000 bags. She said these are good bags, and she doesn't think buying cheap bags is any better, from an environmental standpoint. She said the bags are made of 100% of recycled plastic water bottles, and they are sturdy and will last a while.

Councilor Maestas asked if you still will subsidize the outreach campaign.

Mr. Schlachter said as it stands now, the bag fee money will be in addition to what is already in the budget for outreach. He said the Environmental Service in place is what will fund the outreach campaign for recycling. He said they are looking to more, so the partnership with the Food Depot would be something that wasn't included in the budget. He said the bags will cost about \$12,000. He said they are giving people a good bag that they can use, with items we have been asked to include, which we will bring in December after you approve this at Council.

Councilor Villarreal asked, "I was just curious, this other bag that you are taking about, but it's plastic, I can't visualize this."

Mr. Schlachter said, "Picture a trash cart. There is a little hook inside the new recycling carts. We are going to hook a drawstring bag to it that will drape outside. This bag will be a sturdy plastic bag which will have all the information in it, as well as marking on it that will [inaudible], the new information.

Councilor Villarreal asked what plastic Mr. Schlachter is talking about.

Ms. Sitton said it is a thicker bag.

Mr. Schlachter said we are looking at a 3 mil bag.

Councilor Villarreal asked if that is cheaper than getting the bag in cloth material.

Mr. Schlachter said yes, and we talked about that initially. However, the problem is we can't tie it to secure the material.

Ms. Sitton said we also can't ensure protection from the elements to the material that will be placed in the bags.

Mr. Schlachter said there will be a 13-day rollout, and it probably will rain one of those days.

Councilor Trujillo said so we banned plastic bags and now we giving the citizens of Santa Fe a thicker plastic bag.

Ms. Schlachter said we are giving them a reusable plastic bag that complies with the Ordinance. It is a drawstring bag.

Mr. Schlachter they will be attached to the carts, and also given to schools, libraries and other places.

Councilor Trujillo said when we first rolled out the bags, we were giving them out at the plaza to tourists, not to the people that pay the fee and the people who need them.

Mr. Schiavo said they put them behind the counter at customer service so they are available to people paying their bills.

Councilor Lindell asked if there is there any reason we couldn't give them out where people use them – grocery stores.

Mr. Schlachter said every grocery store in Santa Fe sells reusable bags at a profit margin for their own stores, so he is unsure that we could compete against that, or that they would want us there.

Councilor Lindell suggested that staff ask them, because she doesn't think they like selling those bags.

Councilor Maestas said he thinks Sprouts does give a 10¢ credit if you bring your own bags, so it might be more friendly about that.

Councilor Lindell said that is where people purchase their bags – at the grocery store – because they forgot their bags. She said that might be a place where could distribute bags.

MOTION: Councilor Maestas moved, seconded by Councilor Lindell, to approve this request.

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION

There were no Discussion and Action Items.

MATTERS FROM THE PUBLIC

There were no matters from the public.

MATTERS FROM THE CITY ATTORNEY

There were no matters from the City Attorney.

ITEMS FROM STAFF

There were no items from staff.

MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE

Councilor Maestas said he supposes the Forest Service is doing all the press releases on the controlled burns. He said a recent press release announced that the controlled burns are done for the season.

Mr. Schiavo said he can check on this.

Councilor Maestas said he gets a lot of calls from people who don't like it. It would be good if we could take the opportunity to let them know there will be no more burning for the rest of the season. And also include information on the benefits of the last controlled burn, how many acres and such. It would be good if you could a date specific time when they will resume.

Councilor Trujillo said we spoke about dealing with way stations, and he would like to pursue that and asked if we can put something out here.


Councilor Trujillo said he just wants something concrete before this goes to the Governing Body, noting we have one on Siler Road.


Mr. Jones said they turned in all the information when it went to Public Works. He said he will be in attendance at that next meeting.

NEXT MEETING: WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 7, 2016.

ADJOURN

There was no further business to come before the Committee, and the meeting was adjourned at approximately 5:15 p. m.


Melessia Helberg, Stenographer


Christopher M. Rivera, Chair

Public Utilities Project Status Report FY 16-17 Water Division

Water Division

Line	CDP No.	Project Title	Project Manager	Business Unit	Fund Type(s)	Original Budget	Current Budget	Remaining Budget	Contract Amount	Contract Expended to date	Contract Balance	% Complete	Status - Progress	Schedule Completion	Comments
1		Hospital Tank Replacement Project	Mark S.	52300		\$483,517.01	\$483,517.01	\$ -	\$483,517.01	\$403,517.01	\$80,000.00	83.45%		March 2017	
2		Design										0.00%			
3		ROW										0.00%			
4		Construction										20.05%		March 2017	
5		Construction Engineering/Management										0.00%			
6		TOTALS				\$483,517.01	\$483,517.01	\$ -	\$483,517.01	\$403,517.01	\$80,000.00	83.45%			
7	N/A	Field and Drafting Services	Dee B.	52300		\$5,970,785.73	\$5,970,785.73	\$ -	\$5,970,785.73	\$1,196,910.50	\$4,773,875.23	20.05%		March 2017	
8		Professional Services Agreement										0.00%			
9												0.00%			
10												0.00%			
11												0.00%			
12		TOTALS				\$5,970,785.73	\$5,970,785.73	\$ -	\$5,970,785.73	\$1,196,910.50	\$4,773,875.23	20.05%			
13	N/A	Water Transmission & System Master Plan	Dee B.	52300		\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$ -	\$50,000.00	\$7,737.50	\$42,262.50	15.39%		June 2017	
14		Water Model Update										0.00%			
15												0.00%			
16												0.00%			
17												0.00%			
18		TOTALS				\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$ -	\$50,000.00	\$7,737.50	\$42,262.50	15.39%			
19	N/A	Water Rights Acquisitions	Andrew E.	52308		\$216,625.00	\$216,625.00	\$ -	\$216,625.00	\$ -	\$216,625.00	0.00%			
20												0.00%			
21												0.00%			
22												0.00%			
23		TOTALS				\$216,625.00	\$216,625.00	\$ -	\$216,625.00	\$ -	\$216,625.00	0.00%			
24	N/A	Management of Upper Watershed	Alan H.	52359		\$309,331.00	\$309,331.00	\$ -	\$309,331.00	\$32,185.00	\$277,146.00	10.39%			
25												0.00%			
26		USDA Forest Service Challenge Cost Share Agreement										0.00%			
27		IMA Institute of Mining & Technology (IMATech) WQ Sampling										0.00%			
28		Santa Fe Municipal Watershed Educational Contract										0.00%			
29		Santa Fe Municipal Watershed Outreach Contract										0.00%			
30		On Call Engineering	Nick C.	52359		\$676,553.00	\$676,553.00	\$ -	\$676,553.00	\$ -	\$676,553.00	0.00%			
31	N/A											0.00%			
32		Task 9 Engineering Support Services										0.00%			
33	N/A	Task 10 City Wellfield Optimization and Improvements										0.00%			
34	TBD	Task 11 Buckman Dual Pipeline Bidding										0.00%			
35	TBD	Task 12 Reservoir Conduit and Raw Water Pipeline Design										0.00%			
36		TOTALS				\$676,553.00	\$676,553.00	\$ -	\$676,553.00	\$ -	\$676,553.00	0.00%			
37	N/A	Asset Management Plan	Lee G.	52359		\$125,000.00	\$125,000.00	\$ -	\$125,000.00	\$ -	\$125,000.00	0.00%			
38		Critical Needs Assessment based on Review of existing AMP										0.00%			
39												0.00%			
40												0.00%			
41												0.00%			
42	N/A	S-4 Southwest Booster Station	Robert L.	52375		\$422,419.00	\$422,419.00	\$ -	\$422,419.00	\$ -	\$422,419.00	0.00%			
43												0.00%			
44												0.00%			
45												0.00%			
46												0.00%			
47		TOTALS				\$422,419.00	\$422,419.00	\$ -	\$422,419.00	\$ -	\$422,419.00	0.00%			
48	3039C	CRWTP RMS Pipeline & Nichols Outlet Conduit	Robert L.	52380		\$226,722.70	\$226,722.70	\$ -	\$226,722.70	\$121,675.74	\$105,046.96	46.33%		December 2015	
49		Design - 24" Pipeline US Portion										0.00%			
50		Design - Outlet conduit with waterline, river feed station										0.00%			
51		ROW										0.00%			
52		Construction										0.00%			
53		Construction Engineering										0.00%			
54		Construction Management										0.00%			
55		TOTALS				\$226,722.70	\$226,722.70	\$ -	\$226,722.70	\$121,675.74	\$105,046.96	46.33%			
56	N/A	Insurumentation & Metering at Nichols Dam & McClure	Alan G.	52380		\$108,313.00	\$108,313.00	\$ -	\$108,313.00	\$ -	\$108,313.00	0.00%			
57												0.00%			
58												0.00%			
59												0.00%			

EXHIBIT "V"

Line	CIP No.	Project Title	Project Manager	Consultant/ Contributor	Business Unit(s)	Fund Type(s)	Original Budget	Current Budget	Remaining Budget	Contract Amount	Contract Expended to date	Contract Balance	% Complete	Status - Progress	Scheduled Completion	Comments
128									\$ -	- \$	- \$	- \$	0.00%			
129									\$ -	- \$	- \$	- \$	0.00%			
130		TOTALS					\$ 1,112,132.00	\$ 1,270,226.02	\$ 1,145,227.04	\$ 274,505.58	\$ 2,020,515.51	\$ 2,020,515.51	14.39%			
131	N/A	S-2 Dempsey BS & S-3 East High Level BS	Robert L.		52375								0.00%			
132							\$ 324,536.00			- \$	- \$	- \$	0.00%			
133										- \$	- \$	- \$	0.00%			
134							134			- \$	- \$	- \$	0.00%			
135		TOTALS					\$ 524,582.00	- \$		- \$	- \$	- \$	0.00%			
136																
137	N/A	Booster/Storage Facility Rehab	Robert L.		52375								0.00%			
138							\$ 100,313.00			- \$	- \$	- \$	0.00%			
139										- \$	- \$	- \$	0.00%			
140										- \$	- \$	- \$	0.00%			
141										- \$	- \$	- \$	0.00%			
142		TOTALS					\$ 100,313.00	\$ -		- \$	- \$	- \$	0.00%			
143																
144	N/A	McClure-Nichols Plasometers	Bert S.		52375								0.00%			
145							\$ 151,000.00						0.00%			
146							\$ 36,000.00			- \$	- \$	- \$	0.00%			
147		Oxide Drilling					\$ 38,000.00			- \$	- \$	- \$	0.00%			
148							\$ 85,000.00			- \$	- \$	- \$	0.00%			
149		Instrumentation					\$ 31,000.00			- \$	- \$	- \$	0.00%			
150		Contingency					\$ 15,000.00			- \$	- \$	- \$	0.00%			
151		TOTALS					\$ 305,000.00	\$ -		- \$	- \$	- \$	0.00%			

Wastewater Management Division

CD No.	Project Title	Project Type	Responsible Engineer	Responsible Unit	Original Budget	Current Budget	Responsible Budget	Adjustment	Contract	% Complete	System - Milestones	Standard Completion	Comments
145													
146													
147	WWTP Anaerobic Digester	Design	Keithen G	52468	\$ 782,588.29	\$ 782,588.29	\$ -	\$ 782,588.29	\$ 264,076.92	\$ 218,511.37	UGS Design Plan Complete	December 2017	
148													
149	ROW												
150													
151	Construction Engineering/Management	Construction											
152													
153	WWTP Master Plan		Keithen G	52478	\$ 782,588.29	\$ 782,588.29	\$ -	\$ 782,588.29	\$ 264,076.92	\$ 318,511.37			
154													
155	Professional Service Contract				\$ 345,641.05	\$ 345,641.05	\$ -	\$ 345,641.05	\$ 345,616.83	\$ 67,520.00	Project Complete	June 2016	GRT Changed from 8.1375% to 8.3125% January 1, 2016
156													
157													
158													
159	MISC WWIM HVAC Services		Late O	52452	\$ 250,537.00	\$ 250,537.00	\$ -	\$ 250,537.00	\$ 250,537.00	\$ -	Completed in April 2016	April 2016	
160	HVAC Services												
161													
162													
163													
164													
165	Nutrient Loading and Removal Optimization Study		Shannon I	52456	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Issued RFP on 8/23/2016	June 2017	
166	Professional Service Contract for Engineering Services												
167													
168													
169													
170													
171	Sewer Line Rehabilitation Project		Sean H	52441	\$ 1,500,000.00	\$ 1,500,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Design	September 2017	Budgeted \$1.5 Million
172	Design and Preparation of Bid Documents												
173	Construction												
174													
175													
176													
177	Replacement of Sewer Vector Truck		Jerry T	52455	\$ 380,000.00	\$ 380,000.00	\$ -	\$ 380,987.00	\$ -	\$ -	Approved at October 13, 2016 City Council meeting	February 2017	Budgeted \$380,000
178													
179													
180													
181													
182													
183	Replacement of Sewer Camera Van		Jerry T	52455	\$ 185,000.00	\$ 185,000.00	\$ -	\$ 183,305.00	\$ -	\$ -	Approved at October 13, 2016 City Council meeting	February 2017	Budgeted \$185,000

Public Utilities Project Status Report FY 16-17 Water Division

Water Division

Line	CP No.	Project Title	Project Manager	Consultant/Contractor	Business Units	Fund Type(s)	Original Budget	Current Budget	Remaining Budget	Contract Amount	Contract Expended to Date	Contract Balance	% Complete	Status - Progress	Scheduled Completion	Comments
1		Hospital Tank Replacement Project	Mark S.	SWA	52300		\$483,517.01	\$483,517.01	\$ -	\$483,517.01	\$403,517.01	\$80,000.00	88.45%		March 2017	
2		Design											0.00%			
3		ROW											0.00%			
4		Construction			52300		\$5,970,785.73	\$5,970,785.73	\$ -	\$5,970,785.73	\$1,596,910.50	\$4,773,875.23	26.89%		March 2017	
5		Construction Engineering/Management		AM									0.00%			
6		TOTALS					\$6,454,302.74	\$6,454,302.74	\$ -	\$6,454,302.74	\$1,600,427.51	\$4,853,875.23	26.89%			
7	N/A	Field and Drafting Services	Dec B.	Louie Cordova	52300		\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$ -	\$50,000.00	\$7,237.50	\$42,762.50	14.49%	Combo Sanitander Review Buffalo Grass	June 2017	
8		Professional Services Agreement											0.00%			
9													0.00%			
10													0.00%			
11													0.00%			
12		TOTALS					\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$ -	\$50,000.00	\$7,237.50	\$42,762.50	14.49%	Developing Contract, estimated to be in place by December 2016		
13	N/A	Water Transmission & System Master Plan	Dec B.	Edmundson Hansen			\$43,000.00						0.00%			
14		Water Model Update											0.00%			
15													0.00%			
16													0.00%			
17													0.00%			
18		TOTALS					\$43,000.00						0.00%			
19	N/A	Water Rights Acquisitions	Andrew E.		52358		\$216,625.00						0.00%			
20													0.00%			
21													0.00%			
22													0.00%			
23													0.00%			
24		TOTALS					\$216,625.00						0.00%			
25	N/A	Management of Upper Watershed	Alan H.		52359								0.00%			
26		UCOA Forest Service Challenge: Cons. Share Agreement		USFS			\$309,331.00	\$309,331.00	\$ -	\$309,331.00	\$32,185.00	\$277,146.00	10.41%	Requiring 1st year of forest treatments \$60,000/yr	September 2019	WTR Project No 349 in the amount of \$50,000/yr over 3 years
27		HM Institute of Mining & Technology (HMITECH) WQ Sampling		MarTech									0.00%			
28		Sanita Fe Municipal Watershed Educational Contract					\$125,000.00			\$125,000.00		\$ -	0.00%	Sanita Fe project and award of contract pending approval of 100% City of SF Watershed	July 2018	
29		Sanita Fe Municipal Watershed Outreach Contract											0.00%	Account Policy approval & then RFP process		
30		TOTALS					\$309,331.00	\$309,331.00	\$ -	\$309,331.00	\$32,185.00	\$277,146.00	10.41%			
31	N/A	On Call Engineering	Mark C.		52359		\$676,953.00						0.00%			
32		Task 9 Engineering Support Services											0.00%			
33	N/A	Task 10 City Wellfield Optimization and Improvements					\$276,400.00						0.00%			
34		Task 11 Blackman Dual Pipeline Bidding											0.00%			
35	TBD	Task 12 Reservoir Conduit and New Water Pipeline Design					\$196,500.00						0.00%			
36		TOTALS					\$1,073,853.00						0.00%			
37	N/A	Asset Management Plan	Lee G.		52359		\$125,000.00						0.00%			
38		Critical Needs Assessment based on Review of existing AMP											0.00%			
39													0.00%			
40													0.00%			
41													0.00%			
42	N/A	S-4 Southwest Booster Station	Robert L.		52375		\$422,419.00						0.00%			
43													0.00%			
44													0.00%			
45													0.00%			
46													0.00%			
47													0.00%			
48													0.00%			
49	3038C	GRWTP RWS Pipeline & Nichols Outlet Conduit	Robert L.	SPEC	52359		\$216,722.70	\$216,722.70	\$ -	\$216,722.70	\$121,675.74	\$95,046.96	53.54%	2007 Design Completed (on hold by WQ)	December 2016	PO 3144615-000-CP
50		Design - 24" Pipelines UG Portion		CDMA			\$256,358.90	\$256,358.90	\$ -	\$256,358.90	\$59,021.00	\$207,337.90	23.29%	FEI (50% of Cash Trench-CON 110411)	Completed	
51		Design - Outlet conduit with waterline, river feed station		SPEC									0.00%	Baseline Survey in SPC Design Contract	April 2017	
52		ROW											0.00%	FY1617 Budget	June 2018	
53		Construction					\$1,033,931.40	\$1,033,931.40	\$ -	\$1,033,931.40	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
54		Construction Engineering											0.00%			
55		Construction Management											0.00%			
56		TOTALS					\$2,477,734.00	\$2,477,734.00	\$ -	\$2,477,734.00	\$180,706.74	\$2,297,027.26	7.29%			
57	N/A	Instrumentation & Metering at Nichols Dam & McClure	Alex G.		52350		\$106,313.00						0.00%			
58													0.00%			
59													0.00%			

Line	CD No.	Project Title	Project Manager	Contractor/Consultant	Business Unit(s)	Fund Type(s)	Original Budget	Current Budget	Revolving Budget	Contract Amount	Contract Expended to date	Contract Balance	% Complete	Notes/Status/Progress	Scheduled Completion	Comments
60									\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
61									\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
62		TOTALS					\$ -	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
63	N/A	Electric & FO Extension To Nichols Dam & McClure Dam	Alex G.		52350		\$ -	\$ 270,780.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
64							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
65							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
66							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
67							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
68		TOTALS					\$ -	\$ 270,780.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
69	N/A	McClure Dam Weir Modification	Alex P.		52350		\$ -	\$ 54,153.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
70							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
71							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
72							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
73							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
74		TOTALS					\$ -	\$ 54,153.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
75	N/A	Oxidation Feed for Raw Water Supply from Nichols	Alex P.		52350		\$ -	\$ 433,250.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
76		Critical Analysis of existing OF systems New Design					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
77							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
78							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
79							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
80		TOTALS					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
81	3007	Buckman Parallel Pipeline BS-4 to 10 MG Tank	Written L.				\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
82		Design		CDM	52350		\$ 271,050.39	\$ 271,050.39	\$ -	\$ 271,050.39	\$ -	\$ -	100.00%	Final Plans & Specs Rec'd	Completed	
83		ROW		NA			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	Existing ROW - No Additional ROW Req'd.	-	
84		Construction		RFP Pending			\$ 54,332.000	\$ 54,332.000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	E&C Const. Cost - RFP Advertise Sept 2016	October 2017	
85		Construction Engineering					\$ 80,000.00	\$ 80,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	E&C Const. Engr. Cost	October 2017	
86		Construction Management		NA			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	Water Division to Provide Daily Inspection		
87		TOTALS					\$ 305,382.39	\$ 305,382.39	\$ -	\$ 305,382.39	\$ -	\$ -	100.00%			
88	N/A	Other Building Repairs/Improvements Incl CRWTP	Alex P.		52359		\$ -	\$ 320,785.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
89							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
90							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
91							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
92							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
93		TOTALS					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
94	N/A	Security for Source and Storage	Alex P.		52376		\$ -	\$ 270,781.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
95							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
96							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
97							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
98							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
99		TOTALS					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
100	N/A	Clean & Paint Storage/Storage Tanks	Alex P.		52350		\$ -	\$ 108,313.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
101							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
102							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
103							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
104							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
105	N/A	City Well Rehabilitation	Jan M.		52375		\$ -	\$ 108,313.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
106							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
107							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
108							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
109							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
110							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
111		TOTALS					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
112	TBD	PRV SCADA Engineering & Implementation	Alex G.				\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
113		Design		WMA	52350		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
114		ROW		NA			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
115		Construction		WMA			\$ 214,787.00	\$ 214,787.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
116		Construction Engineering		WMA			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
117		Construction Management		NA			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
118		TOTALS					\$ 214,787.00	\$ 214,787.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
119	N/A	Large Motor Replacement Including AMN	Mark S.		52354		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
120							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
121		Design Motor Inc.					\$ 1,270,783.00	\$ 1,270,783.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
122							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
123							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
124		TOTALS					\$ 1,270,783.00	\$ 1,270,783.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
125	N/A	Priority Line Replacements	Sam H.		52365		\$ -	\$ 1,923,135.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
126							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
127							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
128							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
129		TOTALS					\$ 1,923,135.00	\$ 1,923,135.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
130							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
131							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
132							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
133							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
134							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
135							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
136							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
137							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
138							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
139							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
140							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
141							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
142							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
143							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
144							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
145							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
146							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
147							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
148							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
149							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
150							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
151							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
152							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
153							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
154							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
155							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
156							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
157							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
158							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
159							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
160							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
161							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
162							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
163							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
164							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
165							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
166							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
167							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
168							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
169							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
170							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
171							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
172							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
173							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
174							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
175							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
176							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
177							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
178							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
179							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
180							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
181							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
182							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
183							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
184																

Line	CIP No.	Project Title	Project Manager	Contractor/ Contractor	Business Unit(s)	Fund Type(s)	Original Budget	Current Budget	Remaining Budget	Contract Amount	Contract Expended to Date	Contract Balance	% Complete	Status - Progress	Scheduled Completion	Comments
128																
129																
130		TOTALS														
131	N/A	S-2 Dumpsey BS & S-3 East High Level BS	Robert J.		52375		\$ 1,912,125.00	\$ 1,529,236.02	\$ 1,446,327.00	\$ 582,668.48	\$ 274,546.47	\$ 2,002,516.51	0.00%	Change Order #1 in progress for added lockbill		
132																
133																
134							\$ 324,938.00			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	Design Scope of Work Drafted	February 2017	
135										\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	RFP Preparation Underway with Insurance		
136										\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
137		TOTALS					\$ 324,938.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
138	N/A	Booster/Storage Facility Rehab	Robert J.		52375											
139							\$ 106,313.00			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
140										\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
141										\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
142		TOTALS					\$ 106,313.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
143	N/A	McClure-Nichols Piezometers	Bill S.		52375											
144							\$ 191,000.00			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
145		Design					\$ 36,000.00			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
146		Drilling					\$ 38,000.00			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
147		Instrumentation					\$ 85,000.00			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
148		contingency					\$ 32,000.00			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
149		TOTALS					\$ 346,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			

Wastewater Management Division

Item	CD/Line	Project Title	Project Manager	Contractor/Competitor	Business Unit/Category	Fiscal Year/Period	Original Budget	Current Budget	Remaining Budget	Contract Amount	Contract Expended to Date	Contract Balance	% Complete	Significant Progress	Anticipated Completion	Comments
146	CD/Line 599	WMTP Anaerobic Digester	Matthew G	NOR	52468											
147	Design						\$ 782,588.29	\$ 782,588.29	\$ -	\$ 782,588.29	\$ 264,076.92	\$ 518,511.37	33.79%	Letter Design Plan Complete	December 2017	
148	ROW												0.00%			
149	Construction												0.00%			
150	Construction Engineering/Management												0.00%			
151	Construction												0.00%			
TOTALS							\$ 782,588.29	\$ 782,588.29	\$ -	\$ 782,588.29	\$ 264,076.92	\$ 518,511.37	33.79%			
153	N/A	WMTP Master Plan	Matthew G	NOR	52478											GFI Changed from 8.1875% to 8.1125% January 1, 2016
154	Professional Service Contract						\$ 345,641.05	\$ 345,641.05	\$ -	\$ 345,641.05	\$ 345,616.83	\$ (275.78)	100.00%	Project Complete	June 2016	
155													0.00%			
156													0.00%			
157													0.00%			
TOTALS							\$ 345,641.05	\$ 345,641.05	\$ -	\$ 345,641.05	\$ 345,616.83	\$ (275.78)	100.00%			
159	N/A	Misc WMTP HVAC Services	Luke O	Yorland	52482											
160	HVAC SERVICES						\$ 250,537.00	\$ 250,537.00	\$ -	\$ 250,537.00	\$ 250,537.00	\$ -	100.00%	Completed in April 2016	April 2016	
161													0.00%			
162													0.00%			
163													0.00%			
TOTALS							\$ 250,537.00	\$ 250,537.00	\$ -	\$ 250,537.00	\$ 250,537.00	\$ -	100.00%			
165	N/A	Nutrient Loading and Removal Optimization Study	Shannon J		52456											
166	Professional Service Contract for Engineering Services						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	Issued RFP on 8/23/2016	June 2017	
167													0.00%			
168													0.00%			
169													0.00%			
TOTALS							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			Budgeted \$1.5 million
170																
171	N/A	Sewer Line Rehabilitation Project	Sean H		52481		\$ 1,500,000.00	\$ 1,500,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	Design	September 2017	
172	Design and Preparation of Bid Documents												0.00%			
173	Construction												0.00%			
174													0.00%			
175	TOTALS						\$ 1,500,000.00	\$ 1,500,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%			
176	N/A	Replacement of Sewer Vector Truck	Jerry T		52455		\$ 380,000.00	\$ 380,000.00	\$ -	\$ 380,987.00	\$ -	\$ (987.00)	0.00%	Approved at October 13, 2016 City Council meeting	February 2017	Budgeted \$380,000
177													0.00%			
178													0.00%			
179													0.00%			
180													0.00%			
181													0.00%			
TOTALS							\$ 380,000.00	\$ 380,000.00	\$ -	\$ 380,987.00	\$ -	\$ (987.00)	0.00%			
183	N/A	Replacement of Sewer Camera Van	Jerry T		52455		\$ 185,000.00	\$ 185,000.00	\$ -	\$ 183,305.00	\$ -	\$ (1,695.00)	0.00%	Approved at October 13, 2016 City Council meeting	February 2017	Budgeted \$185,000
184													0.00%			

Line	City No.	Project Title	Project Number	Consultant/Contractor	Business Address	Fixed Target	Original Budget	Current Budget	Remaining Budget	Contract Amount	Contract Amount to date	Contract Balance	SP-Repairs	Status - Progress	Scheduled Completion	Comments
185										\$	\$	\$	0.00%			
186										\$	\$	\$	0.00%			
187										\$	\$	\$	0.00%			
188		TOTALS					\$ - 48,000.00	\$ - 48,000.00	\$ - 48,000.00	\$ - 48,000.00	\$ - 48,000.00	\$ - 48,000.00	0.00%			

Exhibit 90