



# Agenda

Finance Committee Meeting  
July 21, 2008 – 5:00 pm  
City Council Chambers

**ALL MEMBERS OF THE GOVERNING BODY HAVE BEEN INVITED TO ATTEND THIS MEETING**

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. ROLL CALL
3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
4. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA
5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

JUNE 30, 2008

**CITY CLERK'S OFFICE**

DATE 7/18/08 TIME 9:35 am  
SERVED BY Yolanda Green  
RECEIVED BY [Signature]

***SPECIAL STUDY SESSION***

6. DISCUSSION OF POTENTIAL USES OF UNANTICIPATED REVENUES FROM MAY 2008 DISTRIBUTION OF GROSS RECEIPTS TAX AND PROPOSED REALLOCATION OF FUNDS FROM THE 2008 CIP (DAVID MILLICAN)

**FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING**

**MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE:**

**PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES: (WITH NO FISCAL IMPACT)**

7. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO DEVELOP A PROGRAM TO RECOGNIZE AND PROMOTE CHILD-FRIENDLY BUSINESSES AND ORGANIZATIONS IN THE COMMUNITY (COUNCILOR DOMINGUEZ) (FABIAN TRUJILLO) (Postponed at Finance Committee meeting of June 30, 2008)

**Committee Review:**

Mayor's Youth Advisory Board (Approved)  
City Business and Quality of Life Committee (Approved)

June 13, 2008  
June 18, 2008



# Agenda

Finance Committee Meeting  
July 21, 2008 – 5:00 pm  
City Council Chambers  
Page 2

8. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO RESEARCH THE POSSIBILITY OF SANTA FE ARCHIVING “BICYCLE FRIENDLY COMMUNITY” STATUS (COUNCILOR BUSHEE) (ROBERT SIQUEIROS)

**Committee Review:**

Bicycle & Trails Advisory Committee (Approved w/amendments) June 26, 2008  
Public Works Committee (Approved) June 7, 2008

9. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO RESEARCH AND RECOMMEND AN APPROPRIATE BIKE SHARING PROGRAM TO BE LOCATED AT THE RAILYARD (COUNCILORS BUSHEE, WURZBURGER, CALVERT AND TRUJILLO) (ROBERT SIQUEIROS)

**Committee Review:**

Bicycle & Trails Advisory Committee (Approved w/amendments) June 26, 2008  
Public Works Committee (Approved) June 7, 2008

10. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION ENCOURAGING THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO TO EVALUATE THE POSSIBILITY OF PAYING STATE EMPLOYEES LIVING IN THE CITY OF SANTA FE NO LESS THAN THE CITY OF SANTA FE’S LIVING WAGE (COUNCILOR DOMINGUEZ) (FABIAN TRUJILLO)

**Committee Review:**

City Business & Quality of Life Committee (Approved) July 16, 2008

11. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THAT THE TRANSIT DIVISION STAFF ESTABLISH A NO-COST BUS PASS PROGRAM THAT WOULD SERVE THE TRANSPORTATION NEEDS OF SANTA FE’S YOUTH AND MODIFY EXISTING TRANSIT ROUTES WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF THE MAYOR’S YOUTH ADVISORY BOARD AND THE COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT TO BETTER SERVE THE NEEDS OF YOUTH (COUNCILORS DOMINGUEZ, CALVERT AND TRUJILLO) (JON BULTHUIS)

**Committee Review:**

Mayor’s Youth Advisory Board (Approved) June 13, 2008  
Transit Advisory Board (Approved) July 1, 2008  
Public Works Committee (Approved) July 7, 2008

12. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 14-6.(C)(10), 14-8.11(D), 26-1.8 AND 26-1.33 SFCC 1987 AND 26-1.8 SFCC 1987 REGARDING THE APPLICABILITY OF THE SANTA FE HOMES PROGRAM TO VACATION TIME SHARE PROJECTS AND MAKING SUCH OTHER CHANGES AS ARE NECESSARY (COUNCILOR CALVERT) (TED SWISHER)



# Agenda

Finance Committee Meeting  
July 21, 2008 – 5:00 pm  
City Council Chambers  
Page 3

- A. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES FOR THE SANTA FE HOMES PROGRAM (SFHP) REGARDING THE APPLICABILITY OF THE SFHP TO VACATION TIME SHARE PROJECTS AND MAKE SUCH OTHER CHANGES AS ARE NECESSARY (COUNCILOR CALVERT) (TED SWISHER)

**Committee Review:**

Public Works Committee (scheduled)  
City Council (request to publish)  
Planning Commission (scheduled)  
City Council (public hearing)

July 28, 2008  
July 30, 2008  
August 7, 2008  
August 27, 2008

**PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES: (WITH FISCAL IMPACT)**

13. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE EFFORTS OF THE FOOD DEPOT BY EXPANDING THE CURRENT LEASE BY 1.5 ACRES OF CITY PROPERTY ON SILER ROAD FOR EXPANSION OF FOOD BANK ACTIVITIES; AND DIRECTING STAFF TO INCLUDE SUCH AREA IN THE BROWNFIELD STUDY BEING CONDUCTED ON THE ADJACENT 14 ACRE PARCEL THE CITY IS ACQUIRING (COUNCILORS ORTIZ, TRUJILLO AND BUSHEE) (ED VIGIL)

**Committee Review:**

Public Works Committee (Approved)

July 7, 2008

**CONSENT AGENDA:**

14. BID OPENINGS:
- A. BID NO. 08/35/B – NEW FIRE STATION NO. 3 CONSTRUCTION AND CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT; SAMCON, INC. (CHIP LILIENTHAL)
1. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF BUDGET INCREASE – PROJECT FUND
15. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 2 – POLICE DEPARTMENT RENOVATIONS; SAMCON, INC. (CHIP LILIENTHAL)
16. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT – PROJECT MANAGER FOR SITE SANTA FE MUSEUM IN SANTA FE COUNTY; SITE SANTA FE (DAVID CHAPMAN)
- A. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF BUDGET INCREASE – GRANT FUND



17. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF GRANT APPLICATION – TAXIWAY F RECONSTRUCTION DESIGN FOR SANTA FE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT; NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AVIATION DIVISION (JIM MONTMAN)
18. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR HUMAN SERVICES PROVIDERS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008/2009 (TERRIE RODRIGUEZ)
19. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PROCUREMENT UNDER STATE PRICE AGREEMENT – LIBRARY BOOKS AND PERIODICALS FOR LIBRARY DIVISION; VARIOUS VENDORS (PAT HODAPP)
20. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT – DRUG COURT PROGRAM FOR SANTA FE MUNICIPAL COURT; MILLENNIUM TREATMENT SERVICES, INC. (JUDGE ANN YALMAN)
21. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT CONTRACTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008/2009; SEVEN (7) VARIOUS VENDORS (MELISA COLLETT)
22. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF FISCAL YEAR 2008/2009 CONTRACTS – MEAL PROGRAM FOR SENIOR SERVICES DIVISION; NORTH CENTRAL NEW MEXICO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT NON-METRO AREA ON AGING (CHAD EATON)
  - A. NUTRITION SERVICE INCENTIVE PROGRAM
  - B. AGENCY'S DIRECT PURCHASE OF SERVICES PROGRAM
  - C. STATE FUNDED SENIOR EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM
23. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT – ENGINEERING DESIGN AND CONSULTING SERVICES AT WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT; HDR ENGINEERING, INC. (BRYAN ROMERO)
24. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PROCUREMENT UNDER STATE PRICE AGREEMENT – WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT TELECOMMUNICATIONS IMPROVEMENTS; HEI, INC. (BRYAN ROMERO)
25. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF SOLE SOURCE PROCUREMENT AND SOFTWARE LICENSE AND MAINTENANCE SUPPORT AGREEMENT – REPORTING SOFTWARE FOR FINANCIAL SYSTEMS; THE GENERAL LEDGER COMPANY, LLC (VINCENT MONTOYA)



# Agenda

Finance Committee Meeting  
July 21, 2008 – 5:00 pm  
City Council Chambers  
Page 5

26. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF SOLE SOURCE PROCUREMENT AND SERVICE AGREEMENT – CUSTOMER RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT AND EVENT BOOKING SYSTEM FOR SANTA FE COMMUNITY CONVENTION CENTER; NEW MEDIA GATEWAY, INC. (KEITH TOLER)
27. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PROCUREMENT UNDER FEDERAL PRICE AGREEMENT – DIGITAL VIDEO SYSTEMS FOR WASTEWATER AND STORM WATER DIVISIONS; COBRA TECHNOLOGIES (COSTY KASSISIEH)
  - A. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF BUDGET INCREASE – PROJECT FUND
28. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF SOLE SOURCE PROCUREMENT – SANTA FE BROWN BASE COURSE FOR STREETS & DRAINAGE DIVISION; SANTA FE CLASSIC ROCK (DAVID CATANACH)
29. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF DONATION – TWO (2) STREET SWEEPERS TO NEW MEXICO ARMY NATIONAL GUARD (ROBERT RODARTE)
30. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF 5-YEAR CONSOLIDATED PLAN FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) (MELISA COLLETT)
31. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION RELATING TO A REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF FOURTH QUARTER (YEAR-END) BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2007/2008 (CAL PROBASCO)
32. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2007 (TERESITA GARCIA)

## DISCUSSION:

33. OTHER FINANCIAL INFORMATION
34. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE
35. ADJOURNMENT

**SUMMARY OF ACTION  
FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING  
Monday, July 21, 2008**

<b><u>ITEM</u></b>	<b><u>ACTION</u></b>	<b><u>PAGE</u></b>
CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL	Quorum	1
APPROVAL OF AGENDA	Approved [amended]	1-2
APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA	Approved	2
CONSENT AGENDA LISTING		2-4
APPROVAL OF MINUTES: JUNE 30, 2008	Approved	4

***SPECIAL STUDY SESSION***

DISCUSSION OF POTENTIAL USES OF UNANTICIPATED REVENUES FROM MAY 2008 DISTRIBUTION OF GROSS RECEIPTS TAX AND PROPOSED REALLOCATION OF FUNDS FROM THE 2008 CIP	Motion/Direction to Staff	4-17
---	---------------------------	------

**FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING**

**MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE**

**PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS AND  
ORDINANCES: (WITH NO FISCAL  
IMPACT)**

REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO DEVELOP A PROGRAM TO RECOGNIZE AND PROMOTE CHILD- FRIENDLY BUSINESSES AND ORGANIZATIONS IN THE COMMUNITY	Approved	17
REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO RESEARCH THE POSSIBILITY OF SANTA FE ACHIEVING "BICYCLE FRIENDLY COMMUNITY" STATUS	Approved	17

<b><u>ITEM</u></b>	<b><u>ACTION</u></b>	<b><u>PAGE</u></b>
REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO RESEARCH AND RECOMMEND AN APPROPRIATE BIKE SHARING PROGRAM TO BE LOCATED AT THE RAILYARD	Approved	17-18
REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION ENCOURAGING THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO TO EVALUATE THE POSSIBILITY OF PAYING STATE EMPLOYEES LIVING IN THE CITY OF SANTA FE NO LESS THAN THE CITY OF SANTA FE'S LIVING WAGE	Approved	18
REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THAT THE TRANSIT DIVISION STAFF ESTABLISH A NO-COST BUS PASS PROGRAM THAT WOULD SERVE THE TRANSPORTATION NEEDS OF SANTA FE'S YOUTH AND MODIFY EXISTING TRANSIT ROUTES WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF THE MAYOR'S YOUTH ADVISORY BOARD AND THE COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT TO BETTER SERVE THE NEEDS OF YOUTH	Approved a/a	18-19
REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 14-6(C)(10), 1-8.11(D), 26-1.8 AND 26-1.33, SFCC 1987 AND 26-1.8 SFCC 1987 REGARDING THE APPLICABILITY OF THE SANTA FE HOMES PROGRAM TO VACATION TIME SHARE PROJECTS AND MAKING SUCH OTHER CHANGES AS ARE NECESSARY	Approved	19-20
REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES FOR THE SANTA FE HOMES PROGRAM (SFHP) REGARDING THE APPLICABILITY OF THE SFHP TO VACATION TIME SHARE PROJECTS AND MAKE SUCH OTHER CHANGES AS ARE NECESSARY	Approved [amended]	19-20

**ITEM****ACTION****PAGE****PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS AND  
ORDINANCES: (WITH FISCAL IMPACT)**

REQUEST FOR APPROVAL FOR APPROVAL  
OF A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE  
EFFORTS OF THE FOOD DEPOT BY  
EXPANDING THE CURRENT LEASE BY  
1.5 ACRES OF CITY PROPERTY ON SILER  
ROAD FOR EXPANSION OF FOOD BANK  
ACTIVITIES; AND DIRECTING STAFF TO  
INCLUDE SUCH AREA IN THE BROWNFIELD  
STUDY BEING CONDUCTED ON THE  
ADJACENT 14 ACRE PARCEL THE CITY IS  
ACQUIRING

Approved

20

**CONSENT CALENDAR DISCUSSION**

No items

20

**DISCUSSION****OTHER FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Information

21

**MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE**

None

21

**ADJOURNMENT**

21



**MINUTES OF THE  
CITY OF SANTA FE  
FINANCE COMMITTEE  
Monday, July 21, 2008**

**1. CALL TO ORDER**

A meeting of the City of Santa Fe Finance Committee was called to order by Chair Matthew E. Ortiz, at approximately 5:15 p.m., on Monday, July 21, 2008, in the Council Chambers, City Hall, 200 Lincoln Avenue, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

**2. ROLL CALL**

**MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Councilor Matthew E. Ortiz, Chair  
Councilor Christopher Calvert  
Councilor Miguel Chavez  
Councilor Carmichael A. Dominguez  
Councilor Rebecca Wurzbarger

**OTHER COUNCILORS ATTENDING:**

Councilor Ronald Trujillo  
Councilor Rosemary Romero  
Councilor Patti J. Bushee

**OTHERS ATTENDING:**

Galen Buller, City Manager  
David Millican, Finance Director  
Yolanda Green, Finance Division  
Melessia Helberg, Stenographer.

There was a quorum of the membership in attendance for the conducting of official business.

**NOTE: All items in the Committee packets for all agenda items are incorporated herewith by reference. The original Committee packet is on file in the Finance Department.**

**3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

Mr. Millican would like to postpone Item #31 to August 4, 2008, for further discussion.

Mr. Montman said Item #17 is not a construction award, there are two grants, one for State

Aviation and one is for federal. It was properly noticed in the Public Works Committee meeting, but it is not proper on the agenda. There is no contract associated with that.

**MOTION:** Councilor Wurzbarger moved, seconded by Councilor Dominguez, to approve the agenda, as amended.

**DISCUSSION:** Councilor Chavez asked if this changes the discussion on Item #17, and should that move forward.

Mr. Millican said he misunderstood the request from the department.

Responding to Councilor Chavez, Mr. Millican said Item #31 is on for action, but staff wants to schedule a meeting to discuss some proposed improvements in the budget adjustment process as used by the City. That discussion will happen on August 4, 2008.

Councilor Calvert asked if he would like to do the same with Item #32.

Mr. Millican said Item #32 already has a date scheduled for August 18, 2008

**VOTE:** The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

#### **4. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA**

**MOTION:** Councilor Calvert moved, seconded by Councilor Dominguez, to approve the following Consent Agenda as presented.

**VOTE:** The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

#### **CONSENT AGENDA**

##### **14. BID OPENINGS:**

- A. BID NO. 08/35/B – NEW FIRE STATION NO. 3 CONSTRUCTION AND CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT; SAMCON, INC. (CHIP LILIENTHAL)**
  - 1. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF BUDGET INCREASE – PROJECT FUND.**

##### **15. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 2 – POLICE DEPARTMENT RENOVATIONS; SAMCON, INC. (CHIP LILIENTHAL)**

- 16. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT – PROJECT MANAGER FOR SITE SANTA FE MUSEUM IN SANTA FE COUNTY; SITE SANTA FE. (DAVID CHAPMAN)**
  - A. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF BUDGET INCREASE – GRANT FUND.**

17. **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF GRANT APPLICATION – TAXIWAY F RECONSTRUCTION DESIGN FOR SANTA FE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT; NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AVIATION DIVISION. (JIM MONTMAN)**
18. **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR HUMAN SERVICES PROVIDERS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008/2009. (TERRIE RODRIGUEZ)**
19. **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PROCUREMENT UNDER STATE PRICE AGREEMENT – LIBRARY BOOKS AND PERIODICALS FOR LIBRARY DIVISION; VARIOUS VENDORS. (PAT HODAPP)**
20. **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT – DRUG COURT PROGRAM FOR SANTA FE MUNICIPAL COURT; MILLENNIUM TREATMENT SERVICES, INC. (JUDGE ANN YALMAN)**
21. **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT CONTRACTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008/2009; SEVEN (7) VARIOUS VENDORS. (MELISA COLLETT)**
22. **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF FISCAL YEAR 2008/'2009 CONTRACTS – MEAL PROGRAM FOR SENIOR SERVICES DIVISION; NORTH CENTRAL NEW MEXICO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT NON-METRO AREA ON AGING. (CHAD EATON)**
  - A. **NUTRITION SERVICE INCENTIVE PROGRAM**
  - B. **AGENCY'S DIRECT PURCHASE OF SERVICES PROGRAM**
  - C. **STATE FUNDED SENIOR EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM.**
23. **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT – ENGINEERING DESIGN AND CONSULTING SERVICES AT WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT; HDR ENGINEERING, INC. (BRYAN ROMERO)**
24. **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PROCUREMENT UNDER STATE PRICE AGREEMENT – WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT TELECOMMUNICATIONS IMPROVEMENTS; HEI, INC. (BRYAN ROMERO)**
25. **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF SOLE SOURCE PROCUREMENT AND SOFTWARE LICENSE AND MAINTENANCE SUPPORT AGREEMENT – REPORTING SOFTWARE FOR FINANCIAL SYSTEMS; THE GENERAL LEDGER COMPANY, LLC. (VINCENT MONTOYA)**
26. **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF SOLE SOURCE PROCUREMENT AND SERVICE AGREEMENT – CUSTOMER RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT AND EVENT BOOKING SYSTEM FOR SANTA FE COMMUNITY CONVENTION CENTER; NEW MEDIA GATEWAY, INC. (KEITH TOLER)**

27. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PROCUREMENT UNDER FEDERAL PRICE AGREEMENT – DIGITAL VIDEO SYSTEMS FOR WASTEWATER AND STORM WATER DIVISIONS; COBRA TECHNOLOGIES. (COSTY KASSISIEH)  
A. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF BUDGET INCREASE – PROJECT FUND.
28. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF SOLE SOURCE PROCUREMENT – SANTA FE BROWN BASE COURSE FOR STREETS & DRAINAGE DIVISION; SANTA FE CLASSIC ROCK. (DAVID CATANACH)
29. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF DONATION – TWO (2) STREET SWEEPERS TO NEW MEXICO ARMY NATIONAL GUARD. (ROBERT RODARTE)
30. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF 5-YEAR CONSOLIDATED PLAN FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG). (MELISA COLLETT)
31. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION RELATING TO A REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF FOURTH QUARTER (YEAR-END) BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2007/2008. (CAL PROBASCO)
32. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2007. (TERESITA GARCIA)

**5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: JUNE 30, 2008.**

**MOTION:** Councilor Dominguez moved, seconded by Councilor Calvert, to approve the minutes of the meeting of June 30, 2008, as presented.

**VOTE:** The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

*The Finance Committee Meeting was recessed at 5:30 p.m., to reconvene after the Special Study Session.*

\*\*\*\*\*

***SPECIAL STUDY SESSION***

The Special Study Session was called to order at approximately 5:30 p.m., with all Committee Members and City Councilors in attendance, as noted above.

6. DISCUSSION OF POTENTIAL USES OF UNANTICIPATED REVENUES FROM MAY 2008 DISTRIBUTION OF GROSS RECEIPTS TAX AND PROPOSED REALLOCATION OF FUNDS FROM THE 2008 CIP. (DAVID MILLICAN)

A copy of updated packet pages 2 and 3 "Non-Profit Requests for City GRT Allocation," is incorporated herewith to these minutes as Exhibit "1."

A copy of a forwarded email from Anji Estrellas dated July 21, 2008, to David N. Millican from Galen M. Buller, regarding Suggestion regarding City grant money, is incorporated herewith to these minutes as Exhibit "2."

A Memorandum dated July 21, 2008, to Rebecca Wurzbarger, Councilor and Mayor Pro Tem, from Sabrina Pratt, Arts Commission Director, is incorporated herewith to these minutes as Exhibit "3."

Mr. Millican described the contents of the Committee packet. He noted the deadline for submission was extended by 3½ days to accommodate proposals from departments and non-profits. As a result, there hasn't been a lot of analysis of the information submitted.

Mr. Millican presented information from his Memorandum of July 17, 2008, which is in the Committee packet. He noted he has received about \$19 million in requests for these funds – \$12 million from staff departments and, roughly, \$7 million from nonprofit organizations.

Chair Ortiz asked Mr. Buller to describe the process used by the City departments to come up with \$12 million in requests, and asked if all departments were invited to submit a wish list, or was there some sort of ranking or prioritization.

Mr. Buller said the process was set out by the Finance Department. He said invitations were sent out, and there was a note that said they needed to tie the requests to prior policy decisions of this body, but generally they were given *carte blanche* within that context for requests. He asked Mr. Millican to speak about the email that went out.

Mr. Millican said, unfortunately, the structure of the study session was not finalized until late in the process, so as soon as they knew the process they made sure the departments knew there might be a chance to have proposals considered by the Council in establishing priorities for this funding. He said, given the short time frame, and that people had to put proposals together quickly, there was not much analysis. So, there has not been a staff level rating process, nor has there been an establishment of a set of priorities and criteria with which to create that process. He said the Council might want to provide direction to staff in this regard in the course of this study session.

Chair Ortiz said his goal for the study session is first to have discussion on some of the smaller funds and the allocations, and the Council's wishes for those. He believes it then would be prudent to have a discussion at a conceptual level as to how much, if any, of these funds we would like to release to the public and how much we want to keep for contingency. After that discussion, we could have a tiered ranking of some of the proposals, or select the top three proposals.

Councilor Wurzbarger said she doesn't like it when we raise false expectations, and she feels this has happened. She said her personal opinion is to first deal with the broad issue of what we want to set aside, and then spend time determining the criteria for allocation of these funds, if any.

Responding to Councilor Chavez, Mr. Millican said there are \$2.8 million in discretionary funds – \$2.1 for any purpose and \$636,000 for capital projects from the ½ % CIP tax.

Councilor Chavez asked if the capital projects would be for one time funding.

Mr. Millican said it could be, noting the legal restriction is that it must be spent for capital projects or for the operation and maintenance of projects built with those funds.

Councilor Chavez said the Southside library still needs additional operation and maintenance funds, and asked about allocating funds for that purpose from CIP funds.

Mr. Millican said he hasn't completely analyzed all of the operating and maintenance costs charged to that fund.

Councilor Chavez believes we would have considered that as a priority to consider increasing the funding in that area.

Councilor Calvert agrees with the Chair – take care of the smaller items, set aside a reserve, see what we have left and then work on that with criteria we would establish.

Responding to Councilor Dominguez, Chair Ortiz said those items on page 3 which have a specific allocation and generally are of a smaller amount than the available funds are considered to be the smaller items.

Councilor Bushee said this is perhaps unorthodox. She said this is a “feeding frenzy” of sorts. She said when we do the CIP bond, we know the amount, what projects are in the pipeline, whether it is a *bona fide* nonprofit, or a project staff has been trying to get done and such. She said, faced with this, she is inclined to put the whole thing in reserve until we have a plan.

Chair Ortiz asked if the Councilors have questions or priorities to discuss on any of the smaller pots of money – any of the funds except for the CIP or general City operation line items on page 3.

Councilor Dominguez asked, in terms of next steps, if what comes from this meeting could be discussed at another Finance Committee meeting before going to Council.

Chair Ortiz said that can be done. If the Committee decided to take action tonight it could be done. However, if the Committee wanted to develop a process for developing a contingency plan for some or all of the funds, it could recommend that, or send it to staff for a winnowing process.

Councilor Dominguez asked if there are time constraints with regard to spending these funds.

Mr. Millican said no, there is no urgency to spend these funds.

Chair Ortiz said then this could be a long term process, or a short term process, or whatever we decide it will be.

Councilor Bushee asked if any portion of the \$2.8 million would be an ongoing revenue stream.

Chair Ortiz said none of these funds are ongoing.

Mr. Millican said the adjustments required by the errors have been made, and this is a one time transfer of money from the State to the City.

Councilor Bushee asked if that criterion was given to those requesting funds, noting there are many proposals which aren't for one-time funding.

Mr. Millican said he indicated that the staff recommendation would be to focus on one-time items because there is no recurring funding, and almost everything combines both one-time elements and ongoing operational support.

Councilor Bushee said there is a little restraint at budget time, but this is a wish list. This doesn't give her anything to go on with regard to what is considered an absolute priority. She said there are things which she would consider a priority or an emergency. She said she can't expect the economy to be "swinging along," and she is inclined to take very small bites from this money, if any, and then develop a plan as to how to proceed. She said there is a lot in the pipeline and we haven't decided how to pay for them. This seems like a difficult process to do tonight.

Chair Ortiz said he believes it would be an impossible task, given the number and dollar amount of requests, if we had a deadline. However, he reminded the committee this is our first step, and this could be the first step in a multi-step process.

Responding to Councilor Romero, Chair Ortiz said all of these funds could be allocated through a process, because these are all non-recurring funds. He said it is up to the Governing Body to determine the process to establish priorities and level of funding.

Councilor Chavez asked again if operation and maintenance funds for the Southside library are factored into the \$636,000 for the CIP fund.

Mr. Millican again said no, those one-time funds are to be allocated by the Governing Body. Responding to Councilor Chavez, Mr. Millican said he doesn't know how much is allocated for O & M for the Southside library, and he will get back to him with that number.

Councilor Chavez would like to leave that discussion open, and leave a placeholder for the additional funds needed for the O & M for the Southside library.

Councilor Trujillo asked about the Resolution for the \$1.6 million and asked if that is included in these funds.

Mr. Millican said it was decided to put that Resolution into the discussion to broaden its perspective in allocating these funds, but those funds don't depend on these funds and is another pot of funding altogether.

Chair Ortiz said then the \$1.6 million, added to the \$636,000, gives about \$2.2 million in CIP funds to spend.

Mr. Millican said it does, if you substitute the funding from the park bonds and didn't spend it for streets, those funds would be available for other purposes.

Chair Ortiz said this went through Committee, and it was recommended to reallocate some of the parks funds for road and other improvements. That \$1.6 million is wholly independent of this additional increment.

Councilor Trujillo said he believes we need to put some of this windfall money away, because we don't know what is going to happen with the economy. He said we have started a lot of projects which need to be finished. We need to look at all of these issues. He sees a lot of proposals from nonprofits, noting the City already has given a lot of money to these people.

**MOTION:** Councilor Calvert moved, seconded by Councilor Chavez, to set aside \$1 million of the \$2.8 in reserve, in consideration, for example, that there might be a downturn in GRT or a further increase in fuel costs.

**DISCUSSION:** Chair Ortiz noted that would set aside about 35% of the total windfall in reserve.

Councilor Romero said she also is hearing there could be some criteria that the remaining funds are to be used for projects already started.

Councilor Chavez asked Mr. Millican if he did an across the board analysis on increased fuel costs for the City, as well as the cost to heat and cool City facilities, and asked Councilor Calvert what he had in mind.

Chair Ortiz said as he heard the proposal, we will be setting aside \$1 million for reserve, and no particular use was identified.

Councilor Chavez said then the motion didn't mention fuel costs.

Councilor Calvert said it was mentioned as a possibility, and doesn't have to be part of the motion. He said there could be any number of reasons for the reserve, some of which could be an economic downturn or increasing fuel costs which wouldn't be covered by some of the other requests already on the list.

Chair Ortiz said it is a true "rainy day" fund.

Responding to Councilor Chavez, Mr. Millican said his recommendation is on page 217 of the packet, noting it was discussed with the City Manager. He said his recommendation is conservative -- that we hold



this amount in reserve and program it in connection with the annual budget process in the Spring. He noted a number of requests weren't submitted which are remodeling and capital projects which will need additional funds in the future. He said the Council and the Committee certainly can make other choices.

Councilor Chavez noted there is a Memorandum on fuel and energy costs.

Mr. Millican said it is estimated that the additional fuel and energy costs this year would be \$450,000, but that could be higher, depending on what happens by the end of the year. He said the heating and cooling costs are estimated to increase by about 30% and that is part of the \$450,000.

Responding to Councilor Chavez, Chair Ortiz said the figures on page 3 are the different increments which are dedicated to particular funds, but there are no projects or funding requests.

Responding to Councilor Chavez, Mr. Millican said the Railyard funds are being used for debt service at the Railyard and as supplement to parks maintenance for that facility.

**FRIENDLY AMENDMENT:** Councilor Bushee would like to amend the motion to place \$2 million in reserve. **The amendment was not friendly to the maker.**

**MOTION TO AMEND:** Councilor Bushee moved, seconded by Councilor Chavez for purposes of discussion, to amend the motion to place \$2 million in reserve.

**DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION TO AMEND:** Councilor Chavez would like to be more specific in some of the categories because we know where the impact will be the greatest. He would like to agree to identify a top tier to speak to fuel costs and other expenditures.

Councilor Bushee said her motion is that the money be placed in reserves until we have established priorities, depending on where the GRT is going.

Councilor Chavez would like to limit the funding in the "rainy day" fund to certain areas, but doesn't want to do that in this meeting, but would like to set some narrow parameters in the near future for the larger amount.

Councilor Bushee said some of the staff could have shown more restraint, and she would have hoped staff the City Manager and Finance Director would have had the chance to winnow out unrealistic requests. She sees some small requests which could be funded from CIP reallocation. She said, for example, there is a request for \$72,000 for a 311 system which could be done and which we have requested. She sees only a few nonprofit requests with an urgency due to time, but the majority seem to be for ongoing operations which have a need. She doesn't want to see the list broken out in "pet projects," and if it doesn't have traction, it shouldn't be on this list. She said this money doesn't have to be spent tomorrow, and we should restrain ourselves a little bit and look to the future. She said without a process, we're stuck here tonight. She suggests that we should have a really decent size reserve for the rainy day projects and/or needs we're unsure of right now.

**EXPLANATION OF THE MOTION TO AMEND.** Chair Ortiz said the motion is whether to increase the amount proposed for reserve from \$1 million to \$2 million from the \$2.8 million.

Councillor Trujillo asked the amount budgeted for fuel is underestimated in the FY 2008/2009 requests.

Mr. Millican said the pricing level was set in January/February 2008. He reiterated that it is estimated there will be a shortfall of \$450,000 for fuel and energy costs. He said a big spike in electricity is not anticipated because the electrical sources are powered by coal adjacent to the plant supplying most of the electricity.

Councilor Dominguez said the responsible thing would be to put part of the windfall in reserve, but he is concerned about the amount proposed. He is concerned about projects which haven't been started and need to be done from a safety perspective. He said we do have a process to some degree as to how to spend this money, and that is through the Committee process. He believes there is a need for some of the requests, specifically the Airport Road safety improvements. He hopes as we move forward that we don't jeopardize some of the safety issues which he believes are critical, especially along the Airport Road corridor. He said the request won't cover the complete cost of that project, and he admits it is a project in District 3, but it is an important project from his perspective. He said he will vote against this motion.

**FRIENDLY AMENDMENT:** Councilor Romero suggested, as a compromise, to amend the motion to put \$1.5 million in reserve. **The amendment was friendly to the maker and second.**

Councilor Wurzbarger said she is supportive of this, but in terms of process she doesn't believe that leaves the balance to be spent right now. She would like this Committee to thoughtfully develop the criteria this evening. She said in facing a recession you look at ways to either keep or enhance your capital. She believes we need to be doing things in the City we haven't done previously to try to get more money into the City. She said since we don't have to spend the money right away, we might prepare a list which we don't implement for six months until we see what really happens. She would like a criterion that funds allocated to a project would bring additional funds to the community or allocated to projects we haven't been able to do, which we think will make a difference. She said, given this, she would be supportive of a reserve of \$1.5 million.

Councilor Chavez believes an increase in fuel costs should be a part of the motion, observing it makes sense to have a reserve.

**EXPLANATION OF THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR:** Chair Ortiz explained that the motion on the floor is, out of the \$2.85 million available, to set aside \$1.5 million into a reserve fund for purposes and uses still to be defined and still to be identified.

**VOTE:** The motion, as amended, was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

Chair Ortiz said we can now talk about spending the balance, or we can talk about the priorities which would apply to all of the funds, or we can talk about the next largest chunk which is the CIP fund.

Councilor Bushee suggested that we first develop criteria for both sets of funding. She would like

to start by suggesting that staff take the time to do research to provide us with the status of nonprofits. She would like a criterion that nonprofit requests must be from a valid 501(c)(3) to be considered for this one-time funding, and for profits would not qualify for funding. She said another criterion is that it needs to be a request for one time funding, noting this is for City and non-profit requests as well. She would like the City Manager and the Finance Director to prioritize the list, such as what is done for budget, and if it can't be built and finished within two years, don't put it on the list.

Councilor Bushee said with regard to the \$1.6 million, we need to see that back in Committee, and it needs to follow the criteria we already use for CIP. She noted that this isn't a complete list because it doesn't contain all of the projects that have been in the works for a long time and those needed to be reviewed comprehensively.

Chair Ortiz said then staff is charged with a rigorous winnowing of all of the projects and bringing back a different list, not a wish list.

Councilor Wurzbarger said she doesn't want to see a project list at this point for these funds. She would like the Finance Committee and the City Council to have a substantive discussion on what criteria we want to apply to be eligible for the funding. She said, for example, we would prefer, as a criterion, something which possibly can produce income to the City in this year. Another criterion would be something for which \$10,000 to \$20,000 would make a marginal difference in trying something brand new and provides a new service. She said service is a secondary objective to trying to deal with the economic issues we face as a City.

Councilor Ortiz said he understands the criterion that any request must produce revenue. However, he would like her to define "marginal difference," noting every request could have a rationale or justification that it could make a "marginal difference."

Councilor Wurzbarger said "marginal difference," means providing funds, for example, to a 501(c)(3) where there is a gap in state, county and federal funding, and it would go out of business in the next two months.

Chair Ortiz said that is an extreme example of marginal difference, but he wants more clarity for this criterion.

Councilor Wurzbarger said she is brainstorming and it's not clear yet.

Chair Ortiz asked if it is stop gap funding.

Councilor Wurzbarger said it is, noting so far she's not hearing criteria which will help us make policy choices, except that we would use the priorities in terms of the Resolutions – if we've already decided we're going to do it, we're going to do it.

Councilor Dominguez said one of his priorities is public safety. He would like to look at the equity issue as well. He said every major road in every district has been worked on and improved to provide that

safety, and he wants to get some of the funds to do that along Airport Road. He wants to talk about the priorities and the criteria, saying these projects deserve some critique as to whether they should get some of the funds.

Councilor Romero said she hopes we don't go down the path of specific projects. She said ongoing projects could be a criterion, and leveragable projects could be a new criterion where the state or feds could provide funding.

Councilor Trujillo said a criterion would be that it would be something which benefits the community – fire, police, things of public safety.

Chair Ortiz asked Councilor Trujillo, in terms of benefit, if he is talking about a larger social benefit, or is the benefit in the context of safety to the community

Councilor Trujillo said in terms of safety to the community.

Councilor Calvert said, with regard to safety, he wants to make sure it isn't just public safety, and could be road improvements. He said we should have some criteria to approve things which help people in the community whether it is the downturn in the economy, or the increase in fuel costs.

Chair Ortiz said then you are broadening the definition of safety to include things other than police and fire, as well as saying it should have social benefit, some good Samaritan aspect.

Councilor Calvert said we need to keep in mind the criteria/value we placed in doing strategic planning. We need to look at priorities we have already established as a part of this process.

Councilor Wurzbarger said her position on safety and security is, if we're not economically secure in the City then we can't have all these other things. It goes together for her. She is very concerned about doing things carefully and doing things that can try to get money in the City.

Councilor Ortiz summarized the suggestions for criteria/priority for requests, as follows:

1. A Direction to staff for a ranking prioritization from staff on City projects which is similar to or follows the CIP process;
2. A strict rule that only legitimate 501(c)(3) nonprofit status can apply for funds;
3. The request must be for one-time, non-recurring funding;
4. The ideas that come forward must have some income producing effect and therefore must have some ability to generate gross receipts revenue within one year;
5. The request must be some sort of stopgap measure that has some kind of immediacy or emergency to the request for funding;
6. There must be a safety component to the criteria, and safety is defined in a large context and isn't just about police and fire, but must be for safety, either for the public or for the community at large;

7. There must be the ability to ascertain whether or not a request can leverage other kinds of dollars;
8. There must be some kind of social benefit to a proposal that comes forward; and
9. The request must incorporate the strategic planning ideas that we've recommended.

Chair Ortiz asked Councilors if this list is correct, and if there are items they would like removed from the list.

Councilor Bushee asked what is economic benefit, noting 1/4 of the economy is in creativity and arts, and almost everything has an economic benefit.

Councilor Wurzbarger said the criterion is that it results in revenue which will bring gross receipts taxes back to the City within a year.

Councilor Bushee said she has heartburn in the narrowness of the criteria in that it must result in funds within one year. She doesn't want new nonprofits, and wants them to have some sort of track record, have received money from the City and have some sort of traction.

Chair Ortiz said the idea of limiting funding to legitimate 501(c)(3) organizations, is Councilor Bushee's idea, and asked if she wants to further clarify that.

Councilor Chavez said he wants to be sure that safety will include improved streets in Santa Fe on a City-wide basis.

Councilor Wurzbarger said we should also consider a criterion that the City is the only one that can do it.

Chair Ortiz asked if she is limiting her focus only to City-initiated projects.

Councilor Wurzbarger said no. She said all projects won't meet each and every criterion, so this is another screen we could look at which might be worthwhile.

Chair Ortiz said that dovetails with Councilor Bushee's suggestion for nonprofits, in terms of a track record with the City, and having gone through the City process.

[Councilor Dominguez's remarks here are inaudible.] Councilor Dominguez asked about relatively new 501(c)(3)'s, which are the result of a City Committee.

Chair Ortiz presumes these would be under the same screen.

Councilor Wurzbarger said perhaps another criterion would be to fund something that just needs a little funding to get it completed.

Chair Ortiz said that is a subset of her idea on stopgap funding criteria.

Councilor Bushee said she hopes staff will come back with their idea of priorities based on our strategic planning efforts.

Chair Ortiz said this is definitely one of the first steps that has to happen, especially on the City projects.

Councilor Chavez noted a Memo in the packet which mentions two other proposals – allocating funds for public financing and some funding for solar.

Chair Ortiz believes public financing would qualify under two criteria, but pointed out that recurring funding would be needed under the approved Charter Amendment, although staff does need to do some study and analysis on that.

**MOTION:** Councilor Wurzburger moved, seconded by Councilor Dominguez, to accept the criteria as summarized by Chair Ortiz as the basis of directing staff to develop a priority list for funding for the remaining funds, which would not be implemented until we are further in the fiscal year.

**DISCUSSION:** Councilor Bushee asked the proposed timeline, and how the Committee process will operate.

Councilor Wurzburger would like the information within two months.

Councilor Bushee said if it is related to the Railyard, it might be too late.

Councilor Wurzburger said then within one month.

[Someone] said that also would be too late.

Responding to the Chair, Mr. Buller said it will be necessary to assemble senior staff to go through the screening process because each one has an area of expertise in one or more of the criteria. He doesn't think that can happen in one month, and Mr. Millican has just agreed. He said they believe it will take 6-8 weeks.

Chair Ortiz said the Finance Director and the City Manager have known that we had this additional money since May, and this isn't a new thing for staff. He can understand the perplexity the Community may have for coming up with this in a shorter time.

Responding to the Chair, Mr. Millican said staff can come up with priorities in 2 months, but it is the recommended funding plan which may take more time, because they need to look at these in more detail.

Mr. Buller pointed out that the staff did not have the opportunity to look at the not-for profit proposal until Friday.

Chair Ortiz said staff has said they can't get this done any earlier than six weeks, and recommends two months.

Councilor Wurzbarger said she is fine with six weeks, because her position is that the balance of funds necessarily won't be spent. She said what happens in the next four months will make a difference, and would like that to be part of the motion.

**AMENDED MOTION:** Councilor Wurzbarger moved, seconded by Councilor Dominguez, to accept the criteria as summarized by Chair Ortiz as the basis of directing staff to develop a priority list for funding for the remaining funds, which would not be implemented until we are further in the fiscal year, to be presented at the first Committee meeting of the Finance Committee on September 15, 2008, which would be a special City Council meeting.

**DISCUSSION:** Mr. Millican noted the deadline for the agenda would be September 5, 2008, which is six weeks.

Responding to Councilor Bushee, Chair Ortiz said it would be a Special City Council meeting.

Councilor Chavez said there is a gray area on the list, because there are two which aren't nonprofits, which are City funded. He said once the TPL is done with the Railyard Park, the City will be responsible for the bulk of the maintenance on that Park. He suggested a separate list showing the City's responsibility once a project is completed.

Chair Ortiz said that sounds like a suggestion to reopen a criterion, which is that these are specifically for non-recurring items, and what he is suggesting is most definitely a recurring item.

Councilor Chavez said it would be a project that has been started and it is a City project, noting there seems to be some blurring of the list, and we could have a separate list.

Councilor Wurzbarger said she wants to stay with the principle that this is a "windfall" and a one time opportunity to spend this money and we shouldn't commit ourselves to anything on a recurring basis with an income stream which is nonrecurring.

Chair Ortiz said he hears no further support for the separate list.

Councilor Dominguez asked if the City could provide a more complete list with all of the City projects, their funding, and perhaps a five-year analysis of funding to them.

Mr. Millican said they will do significantly more analysis of backgrounding and other funding sources and such.

Councilor Dominguez would like him to include other funding sources, other than City funding, from which the nonprofits have received funds.

Mr. Millican said he will send requests for additional information from those who submitted requests.

Councilor Bushee would like to sever the criteria to require a request to produce gross receipts tax within a year.

**MOTION TO SEVER:** Councilor Bushee moved, seconded by Councilor Trujillo to sever the criteria that the request must be able to produce gross receipts tax within one year.

**VOTE:** The motion to sever was defeated on a voice vote, with Councilors Bushee and Trujillo voting for the motion, and Councilors Calvert, Chavez, Dominguez, Romero and Wurzbarger voting against.

**DISCUSSION ON AMENDED MOTION:** Chair Ortiz said this information will go into the packet on September 5<sup>th</sup> to be considered at a special City Council meeting at the first meeting of the Finance Committee on September 15<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Millican said the agenda for that meeting will be distributed on September 12<sup>th</sup>.

Councilor Bushee asked who is verifying that it is revenue producing within a year.

Chair Ortiz said staff will do this.

Councilor Bushee asked who is defining "income producing."

Chair Ortiz said as he understands "income producing," from Councilor Wurzbarger, is that it has to produce income that produces gross receipts tax to the City within the next year. He stressed that this is only one criterion among many. He said it is not a limiting criterion, and all projects will not meet all criteria, commenting that the only limiting criterion was proposed by Councilor Bushee. He said a project could, for example, meet two of ten criteria and be on the list.

**VOTE ON AMENDED MOTION:** The amended motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

Mr. Millican said he has a technical correction. He said Chief Rivera wanted to be sure that the item listed on his proposal was \$84,000 instead of \$64,000, because it didn't include [inaudible].

Chair Ortiz thanked the members of the public, especially the nonprofits, for developing proposals and going through this process. He said this Committee takes this proposal very seriously, but wants to do further work on it. He said if there are further questions about the process or submitting information to please contact Mr. Millican or Mr. Buller.

Chair Ortiz thanked the Governing Body members who are not members of the Finance Committee for a very fruitful discussion.

*The Special Study Session was adjourned at 6:46 p.m.*



*There was a break from 6:46 p.m. to 6:56 p.m.*

\*\*\*\*\*

## **FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING**

The regular Finance Committee meeting was called to order at 6:56 p.m., with all Finance Committee members in attendance, with the exception of Councilor Dominguez, who rejoined the meeting shortly after it was called to order.

### **MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE**

#### **PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES: (WITH NO FISCAL IMPACT)**

7. **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO DEVELOP A PROGRAM TO RECOGNIZE AND PROMOTE CHILD-FRIENDLY BUSINESSES AND ORGANIZATIONS IN THE COMMUNITY (COUNCILOR DOMINGUEZ). (FABIAN TRUJILLO) (Postponed at Finance Committee meeting of June 30, 2008). Committee Review: Mayor's Youth Advisory Board (Approved) June 13, 2008; City Business and Quality of Life Committee (Approved) June 18, 2008.**

**MOTION:** Councilor Calvert moved, seconded by Councilor Wurzbarger, to approve this request.

**VOTE:** The motion was approved on a voice vote [Absent: Councilor Dominguez].

8. **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO RESEARCH THE POSSIBILITY OF SANTA FE ARCHIVING ACHIEVING "BICYCLE FRIENDLY COMMUNITY" STATUS (COUNCILOR BUSHEE). (ROBERT SIQUEIROS) Committee Review: Bicycle & Trails Advisory Committee (Approved w/amendments) June 26, 2008; Public Works Committee (Approved) June 7, 2008.**

**MOTION:** Councilor Wurzbarger moved, seconded by Councilor Calvert, to approve this request.

**VOTE:** The motion was approved on a voice vote [Absent: Councilor Dominguez].

9. **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO RESEARCH AND RECOMMEND AN APPROPRIATE BIKE SHARING PROGRAM TO BE LOCATED AT THE RAILYARD (COUNCILORS BUSHEE, WURZBURGER, CALVERT AND TRUJILLO). (ROBERT SIQUEIROS). Committee Review: Bicycle & Trails Advisory Committee (Approved w/amendments) June 26, 2008; Public Works Committee (Approved) June 7, 2008.**

**MOTION:** Councilor Calvert moved, seconded by Councilor Wurzbarger, to approve this request.

**VOTE:** The motion was approved on a voice vote [Absent: Councilor Dominguez].

10. **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION ENCOURAGING THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO TO EVALUATE THE POSSIBILITY OF PAYING STATE EMPLOYEES LIVING IN THE CITY OF SANTA FE NO LESS THAN THE CITY OF SANTA FE'S LIVING WAGE (COUNCILOR DOMINGUEZ). (FABIAN TRUJILLO) Committee Review: City Business & Quality of Life Committee (Approved) July 16, 2008.**

**MOTION:** Councilor Wurzbarger moved, seconded by Councilor Calvert, to approve this request.

**VOTE:** The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote [Absent: Councilor Dominguez].

11. **REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THAT THE TRANSIT DIVISION STAFF ESTABLISH A NO-COST BUS PASS PROGRAM THAT WOULD SERVE THE TRANSPORTATION NEEDS OF SANTA FE'S YOUTH AND MODIFY EXISTING TRANSIT ROUTES WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF THE MAYOR'S YOUTH ADVISORY BOARD AND THE COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT TO BETTER SERVE THE NEEDS OF YOUTH (COUNCILORS DOMINGUEZ, CALVERT AND TRUJILLO). (JON BULTHUIS) Committee Review: Mayor's Youth Advisory Board (Approved) June 13, 2008; Transit Advisory Board (Approved) July 1, 2008; Public Works Committee (Approved) July 7, 2008.**

Councilor Calvert asked if the amendments from the Transit Advisory Board have been incorporated in the Resolution.

Ms. Price said the Resolution was amended and retitled and she believes it matches the Transit Advisory Board, but she hasn't received those minutes yet.

Responding to Councilor Calvert, Ms. Price said the title in the published agenda is correct.

**MOTION:** Councilor Calvert moved, seconded by Councilor Chavez for purposes of discussion, to approve this request, as amended by the Transit Advisory Board.

**DISCUSSION:** Councilor Chavez asked if there was mention of an age cut-off.

Councilor Calvert said it is for ages 13 through 18, noting there was an amendment by the Transportation Advisory Board to include 18 years old and under.

Councilor Chavez noted the North Central Regional Transportation District adopted a minimum age of 13 years of age, with a method to track that population.

*Councilor Dominguez returned to the meeting*

Councilor Chavez asked if there is an age which would be too young for the program. He said the NCRTD considered 13 as the youngest. They were concerned that someone in Taos could get on the wrong bus and end up in Albuquerque and then Bernalillo. There was a safety concern about the age and how the pass would identify a rider. He asked how this program addresses that component.

Councilor Dominguez said currently the City doesn't have a policy about how young you can be and ride on the bus alone. He said there is some documentation that riders as young as 10 years have gotten on the bus with parents' permission. He said there are very few instances where safety is of concern. He said regionally, age would be an issue because you're giving permission for someone to go from one City to another. This is just public transportation within the City limits.

Councilor Chavez said we're discussing connectivity, and connecting the rail line with the Santa Fe Trails system, and our system eventually will hook-up with the public transportation up north. He thinks the safety concern is still there of riding alone without adult supervision. He said public transportation now could be an option to skip school.

Councilor Dominguez said these are valid concerns, but he would rather look at the light at the end of the tunnel and the advantages this has, rather than the disadvantages and the "bugs at the bottom of the pot," and recognize the benefits this brings to the community and to young people. He believes the positives outweigh the negatives in this case.

Councilor Calvert said he doesn't think Councilor Chavez's inquiry is out of line, but this is a no cost bus pass program. He believes we need to look at age specifically in the general context, but in the context of this bill he doesn't believe that is the factor on which to concentrate. He said whether or not there is a cost, there still could be the same issue.

Ms. Helberg clarified that the caption is correct on the yellow copy of the published agenda. Chair Ortiz said this is correct.

**VOTE:** The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

**12. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 14-6(C)(10), 1-8.11(D), 26-1.8 AND 26-1.33, SFCC 1987 AND 26-1.8 SFCC 1987 REGARDING THE APPLICABILITY OF THE SANTA FE HOMES PROGRAM TO VACATION TIME SHARE PROJECTS AND MAKING SUCH OTHER CHANGES AS ARE NECESSARY (COUNCILOR CALVERT). (TED SWISHER)**

**A. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES FOR THE SANTA FE HOMES PROGRAM (SFHP) REGARDING THE APPLICABILITY OF THE SFHP TO VACATION TIME SHARE PROJECTS AND MAKE SUCH OTHER CHANGES AS ARE NECESSARY (COUNCILOR CALVERT). (TED SWISHER)**

**Committee Review: Public Works Committee (scheduled) July 28, 2008; City Council**

**(request to publish) July 30, 2008; Planning Commission (scheduled) August 7, 2008; City Council public hearing) August 27, 2008.**

A copy of a proposed amendment to the Resolution, submitted by staff, is incorporated herewith to these minutes as Exhibit "4."

**MOTION:** Councilor Calvert moved, seconded by Councilor Wurzbarger, to approve this request with the amendment to the Resolution as proposed by staff.

**VOTE:** The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

### **PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES: (WITH FISCAL IMPACT)**

- 13. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE EFFORTS OF THE FOOD DEPOT BY EXPANDING THE CURRENT LEASE BY 1.5 ACRES OF CITY PROPERTY ON SILER ROAD FOR EXPANSION OF FOOD BANK ACTIVITIES; AND DIRECTING STAFF TO INCLUDE SUCH AREA IN THE BROWNFIELD STUDY BEING CONDUCTED ON THE ADJACENT 14 ACRE PARCEL THE CITY IS ACQUIRING (COUNCILORS ORTIZ, TRUJILLO AND BUSHEE). (ED VIGIL) Committee Review: Public Works Committee (Approved) July 7, 2008.**

**MOTION:** Councilor Wurzbarger moved, seconded by Councilor Calvert, to approve this request.

**DISCUSSION:** Councilor Wurzbarger said this is a great idea. However, she has a concern in terms of the long term plan, as to whether this is being done at market value, the timing, and in making this decision if we are leaving this in the 14-acre parcel forever.

Chair Ortiz said this is not part of the 14 acres, and it is adjacent to the parcel. He said the purpose of the second half is to throw it into the Brownfield Study which has to be done as part of our due diligence on the 14 acres.

**VOTE:** The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

### **CONSENT CALENDAR DISCUSSION**

There were no items for discussion.

## **DISCUSSION**

### **33. OTHER FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Chair Ortiz said information is in the packet.

There were no questions of the staff by the Committee.

### **34. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE**

None.

### **35. ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business to come before the Committee, and the Committee having completed its Agenda, the meeting was adjourned at 7:15 p.m..

---

Matthew E. Ortiz, Chair

Reviewed by:

---

David Millican, Director  
Department of Finance



Melessia Helberg, Stenographer