

## Agenda

FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS JANUARY 4, 2016 – 5:00 P.M.

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

DATE 12/29/15 TIMF, 10 SERVEURY OF TOTAL

4. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

RECEIVED BY Coaley

#### **CONSENT AGENDA**

5. Request for Approval of an Ordinance Amending Section 2-3 SFCC 1987 to Have the Governing Body Set the Salary for the Municipal Judge Every Four Years in Conjunction With the Prescribed Term; and Providing Guidance on the Level at Which the Salary Shall Be Set. (Councilors Bushee and Lindell) (Oscar Rodriguez)

#### **Committee Review:**

City Council (request to publish) (scheduled) 01/13/16 City Council (public hearing (scheduled) 02/10/16

Fiscal Impact - Yes

6. Request for Approval of an Ordinance Amending Subsection 1-7.7 SFCC 1987 to Prohibit an Elected Official from Accepting Employment with the City of Santa Fe within One (1) Year of Leaving Office. (Councilor Lindell) (Zachary Shandler)

#### **Committee Review:**

Ethics and Campaign Review Board (postponed)	09/24/15
Ethics and Campaign Review Board (approved)	12/17/15
City Council (request to publish)	01/13/16
City Council (public hearing)	02/10/16

Fiscal Impact – No

7. Request for Approval of a Resolution to Reallocate Funding From the Community Hospital and Health Care Study Group to the Sustainable Santa Fe Commission to Pursue the Development of Programs, Policies and Projects Within the 25-Year Sustainability Plan that Will Help Improve the Health and Quality of Life of the People of Santa Fe; and to Reevaluate the Community Hospital and Health Care Study Group's Purpose and Scope in the Spring of 2016. (Mayor Gonzales and Councilor Ives) (Kate Noble)



## Agenda

FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS JANUARY 4, 2016 – 5:00 P.M.

**Committee Review:** 

Sustainable Santa Fe Commission (no quorum) 12/16/15 City Council (scheduled) 01/13/16

Fiscal Impact – Yes (\$50,000 - Funding allocated from the general fund ending balance for the Hospital and Health Care Study Group would be reallocated to the Sustainable Santa Fe Commission for projects to promote community wellness.)

8. Request for Approval of a Resolution Contributing Property and Resources to Santa Fe Community Housing Trust for the Development of the Soleras Station Low Income Housing Tax Credit Project Pursuant to the Affordable Housing Act. (Councilors Dimas, Ives, Lindell and Bushee) (Alexandra Ladd)

#### Committee Review:

Public Works Committee (approved)	12/07/15
City Business Quality of Life Committee (no quorum)	12/09/15
City Business Quality of Life Committee (scheduled)	01/13/16
City Council (scheduled)	01/13/16

Fiscal Impact - No

9. Request for Approval of a Resolution Donating a Leasehold Interest and Fee Waivers to the Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority Pursuant to the Affordable Housing Act, for the Rehabilitation of the Pasa Tiempo Housing Site to Maximize Points Awarded Under the LIHTC Application. (Councilors Ives, Maestas and Bushee) (Alexandra Ladd)

#### **Committee Review:**

Public Works Committee (approved)	12/07/15
City Business Quality of Life Committee (no quorum)	12/09/15
City Business Quality of Life Committee (scheduled)	01/13/16
City Council (scheduled)	01/13/16

Fiscal Impact - No

10. Request for Approval of a Resolution Donating a Leasehold Interest and Fee Waivers to the Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority Pursuant to the Affordable Housing Act, for the Rehabilitation of the Villa Hermosa Housing Site to Maximize Points Awarded Under the LIHTC Application. (Councilors Ives, Maestas and Bushee) (Alexandra Ladd)



## Agenda

FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS JANUARY 4, 2016 – 5:00 P.M.

#### **Committee Review:**

Public Works Committee (approved)	12/07/15
City Business Quality of Life Committee (no quorum)	12/09/15
City Business Quality of Life Committee (scheduled)	01/13/16
City Council (scheduled)	01/13/16

Fiscal Impact - No

11. Request for Approval of a Resolution Creating the Santa Fe Film Commission; and Supporting Economic Development and Job Creation in Film and Digital Media. (Mayor Gonzales, Councilors Ives and Lindell) (Kate Noble)

#### **Committee Review:**

Economic Development Review Commission (approved)	12/03/15
City Business Quality of Life Committee (no quorum)	12/09/15
City Business Quality of Life Committee (scheduled)	01/13/16
City Council (scheduled)	01/13/16

Fiscal Impact – Yes (Total = \$52,400; Professional Services of \$2,400 and All Other Operating Costs of \$50,000)

#### **END OF CONSENT AGENDA**

#### DISCUSSION

- 12. Presentation of the City of Santa Fe Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2015 Pursuant to State Audit Rule 2012, 2.2.2 NMAC by Accounting & Consulting Group, LLP. (Teresita Garcia)
- 13. Presentation on City of Santa Fe Proposed New Delinquent Account Collection Process. (Oscar Rodriguez)
- 14. Request for Approval of FY 2015/16 2019/20 Capital Improvement Plan for the City of Santa Fe. (Oscar Rodriguez)
- 15. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE
- ADJOURN

Persons with disabilities in need of accommodations, contact the City Clerk's office at 955-6520 five (5) working days prior to meeting date.

#### SUMMARY OF ACTION FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING January 4, 2016

ITEM	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL	Quorum	1
APPROVAL OF AGENDA	Approved [amended]	2
APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA	Approved [amended]	2
CONSENT AGENDA LISTING		2-3
CONSENT CALENDAR DISCUSSION		
REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2-3 SFCC 1987, TO HAVE THE GOVERNING BODY SET THE SALARY FOR THE MUNICIPAL JUDGE EVERY FOUR YEARS IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE PRESCRIBED TERM; AND PROVIDING GUIDANCE ON THE LEVEL AT WHICH THE SALARY SHALL BE SET	To Council w/o recommendation	3-7
REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SUBSECTION 1-7.7 SFCC 1987, TO PROHIBIT AN ELECTED OFFICIAL FROM ACCEPTING EMPLOYMENT WITH THE CITY OF SANTA FE WITHIN ONE (1) YEAR OF LEAVING OFFICE	Approved	7-9
REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION TO REALLOCATE FUNDING FROM THE COMMUNITY HOSPITAL AND HEALTH CARE STUDY GROUP TO THE SUSTAINABLE SANTA FE COMMISSION TO PURSUE THE DEVELOPMENT OF PROGRAMS, POLICIES AND PROJECTS WITHIN THE 25-YEAR SUSTAINABILITY PLAN THAT WILL HELP IMPROVE THE HEALTH AND QUALITY OF LIFE OF THE PEOPLE OF SANTA FE; AND TO REEVALUATE THE COMMUNITY HOSPITAL AND HEALTH CARE STUDY GROUP'S PURPOSE AND SCORE IN THE SPRING OF 2016	Annroyed	10-13
PURPOSE AND SCOPE IN THE SPRING OF 2016	Approved	10-13

ACTION	PAGE
Approved	13-16
Information/discussion	16-17
Information/discussion	17-20
Approved	20-26
7.55.000	20-20
Information/discussion	26
Information/discussion	27
	27
	Approved  Information/discussion  Information/discussion  Approved  Information/discussion

#### MINUTES OF THE CITY OF SANTA FE FINANCE COMMITTEE

Monday, January 4, 2016

#### 1. CALL TO ORDER

A meeting of the City of Santa Fe Finance Committee was called to order by Acting Chair Christopher M. Rivera, at approximately 5:00 p.m., on Monday, January 4, 2016, in the Council Chambers, City Hall, 200 Lincoln Avenue, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

#### 2. ROLL CALL

#### **MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Councilor Christopher M. Rivera, Acting Chair Councilor Signe I. Lindell Councilor Joseph M. Maestas

#### **MEMBERS EXCUSED:**

Councilor Carmichael A. Dominguez, Chair Councilor Ronald S. Trujillo

#### OTHER GOVERNING BODY MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE:

Mayor Javier M. Gonzales

#### **OTHERS ATTENDING:**

Oscar S. Rodriguez, Director, Finance Department Kelley Brennan, City Attorney Zachary Shandler, Assistant City Attorney Teresita Garcia, Finance Department Yolanda Green, Finance Department Elizabeth Martin for Melessia Helberg, Stenographer.

There was a quorum of the membership in attendance for the conducting of official business.

NOTE: All items in the Committee packets for all agenda items are incorporated herewith to these minutes by reference. The original Committee packet is on file in the Finance Department.

#### 3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Mr. Rodriguez noted he would like to discuss the proposed workshop under Matters from the Committee.

MOTION: Councilor Maestas moved, seconded by Councilor Lindell, to approve the agenda, as amended.

**VOTE:** The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

#### 4. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

**MOTION:** Councilor Lindell moved, seconded by Councilor Maestas, to approve the following Consent Agenda, as amended.

**VOTE:** The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

### 

- 5. [Removed for discussion by Councilor Maestas and Councilor Lindell]
- 6. [Removed for discussion by Councilor Maestas]
- 7. [Removed for discussion by Councilor Maestas]
- 8. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY AND RESOURCES TO SANTA FE COMMUNITY HOUSING TRUST FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE SOLERAS STATION LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT PROJECT PURSUANT TO THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING ACT (COUNCILORS DIMAS, IVES, LINDELL AND BUSHEE). (ALEXANDRA LADD) Committee Review: Public Works Committee (approved) 12/07/15; City Business & Quality of Life Committee (no quorum) 12/09/15; City Business & Quality of Life Committee (scheduled) 01/13/16. Fiscal Impact No.
- 9. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DONATING A LEASEHOLD INTEREST AND FEE WAIVERS TO THE SANTA FE CIVIC HOUSING AUTHORITY PURSUANT TO THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING ACT, FOR THE REHABILITATION OF THE PASA TIEMPO HOUSING SITE TO MAXIMIZE POINTS AWARDED UNDER THE LIHTC APPLICATION (COUNCILORS IVES, MAESTAS AND BUSHEE). (ALEXANDRA LADD) ) Committee Review: Public Works Committee (approved) 12/07/15; City Business & Quality of Life Committee (no quorum) 12/09/15; City Business & Quality of Life Committee (scheduled) 01/13/16; and City Council (scheduled) 01/13/16. Fiscal Impact No.

10. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DONATING A LEASEHOLD INTEREST AND FEE WAIVERS TO THE SANTA FE CIVIC HOUSING AUTHORITY PURSUANT TO THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING ACT, FOR THE REHABILITATION OF THE VILLA HERMOSA HOUSING SITE TO MAXIMIZE POINTS AWARDED UNDER THE LIHTC APPLICATION (COUNCILORS IVES, MAESTAS AND BUSHEE). (ALEXANDRA LADD) ) Committee Review: Public Works Committee (approved) 12/07/15; City Business & Quality of Life Committee (no quorum) 12/09/15; City Business & Quality of Life Committee (scheduled) 01/13/16; and City Council (scheduled) 01/13/16. Fiscal Impact – No.

11.	[Removed for discussion by Councilor Maestas]
*****	***************************************
****	END OF CONSENT AGENDA

Mayor Gonzales arrived at the meeting

#### **CONSENT CALENDAR DISCUSSION**

5. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2-3 SFCC 1987, TO HAVE THE GOVERNING BODY SET THE SALARY FOR THE MUNICIPAL JUDGE EVERY FOUR YEARS IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE PRESCRIBED TERM; AND PROVIDING GUIDANCE ON THE LEVEL AT WHICH THE SALARY SHALL BE SET (COUNCILORS BUSHEE AND LINDELL). (OSCAR RODRIGUEZ) Committee Review: City Council (request to publish) (scheduled) 01/13/16; and City Council (public hearing) (scheduled) 02/10/16. Fiscal Impact – Yes.

Councilor Maestas asked the reason we are changing this, since the Council had agreed to remove itself from setting the salary for the Municipal Judge, with the intent being to de-politicize the issue, among other issues.

Kelley Brennan, City Attorney, said, "Judge Yalman is here and would like to speak to the point this evening, but I would say... we had brought forward and I have some tendency to not want to advocate here one way or the other, because essentially, the prosecutor works for me and is affected by the decisions of the Judge. But realistically, I asked Oscar to speak to it, but I think that at this point I can. We brought it forward for an increase as provided under Ordinance. As I understood at the time, after reading the minutes of the meeting where that decision was taken, that was exactly the goal: to remove it from discussion, particularly at a time when the budget was beginning to be discussed and elections were taking place, to sort of provide an objective standard for salary setting, which is what the current Ordinance provides. That was brought forward and was defeated at Council. It was brought forward as a Resolution because it did not change the Ordinance, it was merely salary setting, as you are required to do under the Charter every 4 years. The Resolution was voted down and Councilor Bushee asked for an amendment to the Ordinance and that's what this represents tonight. I think that Judge Yalman probably has some thoughts about it as well."

Councilor Maestas said he knows there is a budgetary firewall between the Governing Body and the Municipal Judge operation, in terms of interfering with operations to the extent of curtailing budgets. He asked why that wouldn't translate into setting the Judge's salary by the Governing Body, and wouldn't that breach that firewall between the autonomy of the Municipal Judge and the Governing Body.

Ms. Brennan said, "I think if it were an attempt to influence the Judge or punish the Judge in some way, it certainly would. I think that does have... is part of the rationale behind having an objective standard. And I also think it was just difficult for the Governing Body from what I've seen, every four years, to arrive at a salary that seemed reasonable under the circumstances. So I do think that is one consideration. Yes."

Councilor Maestas asked if this could be contested in Court by the Municipal Judge, if this goes forward and the Governing Body is setting the salary of the Municipality Judge, as a curtailment of her operations and autonomy.

Ms. Brennan said, "It sort of depends on what happens. For instance, I would certainly advise the Governing Body at that point not to reduce the salary of the Judge, and to make some findings indicating that it was a competitive or reasonable salary under the circumstances. Obviously, if the Judge reached a decision that was unacceptable for any number of reasons to Councilors, and they reduced her salary to \$45,000, I think that would questionable. But as to whether a reasonable salary is reasonable and you stick to those parameters, I'm not sure it would be subject to that kind of challenge."

Councilor Maestas asked if she can speak to the basis for the 90% number, the salary setting basis for the Municipal Judge, and the reason it is 90% of the District Judge's salary.

Ms. Brennan said, "I think that just seemed a reasonable index, and again, Judge Yalman followed those discussions at the time, I assume, and can add to it more than I can. It seemed to me apparent in looking back at minutes of prior meetings, that it just was very hard to find a benchmark. And basically, the Municipal Judge is doing to a certain degree what District Court Judges do. And there was some discussion about 85% versus 90%. But 90% was the figure the Governing Body felt was fair at the time."

Councilor Maestas said his concern is it specifically says the salary cannot be reduced from its current level.

Ms. Brennan said, "That's why I put that in."

Councilor Maestas said we're talking about \$6,000 and the FIR anticipated just staff costs... has not exceeded that \$6,000, but it's anticipated that it will, just in studying this issue. He is concerned about the whole reason for a range of \$6,000, we'll spend that much just looking into this issue, plus, "I think, kind of take a step back in injecting ourselves and potentially politicizing the salary of the Municipal Judge." He doesn't know the nature of this change, and it may be a matter of equity. He said the increase proposed by staff was 5%, and the rank and file aren't getting 5%. He thinks this is a unique position in City government.

Ms. Brennan said, "It is a unique position, and it is unique in that District Court Judges got a salary increase. That's not a regular thing, and so that's what raised the issue this year. This is what they earn, this is 90% of it, and it was around \$5,000 as I recall."

Councilor Maestas asked Mr. Brennan if the City Charter requires the Municipal Judge to be an attorney.

Ms. Brennan said, "I believe it does."

Councilor Maestas said it's not a requirement across the State for municipal judges to be attorneys. He said to have an attorney serve as our Municipal Judge warrants an appropriate salary, maybe not at the District Judge level. He is questioning this initiative because our Home Rule Charter requires that our Municipal Judge be an attorney. He doesn't see the reason for this, and is concerned about the costs which will exceed the salary increase. He said, "I think the Governing Body should stay out of this. And whether the 90% of a District Judge's salary is appropriate, I could not make that call, unless there is some deeper insight into where that index came from." He asked Judge Yalman how much time she takes off.

Judge Yalman said, "Partly because of how the previous two, not counting, Judge Carasco-Trujillo... what happened when they ended their terms, there were issues about whether they were entitled to leave. So what I've done, with the idea that hopefully when I leave, there won't be issues about my leave. I have acted as though I am an exempt employee. I put in my leave request for sick leave and annual leave, I accumulate leave. So I accumulate sick leave and annual leave, with the idea that it's been documented so that, I'm hoping when I leave there won't be all sorts of negotiations about whether I'm entitled to any money for leave. I believe both Judge Gallegos and Judge Fiorina had big issues about whether they were entitled to be paid leave when they left. So I was hoping to avoid that, but I do take leave, and I take sick leave as well."

Councilor Maestas asked how the current salary setting methodology would compare to tying the Judge's salary to the consumer price index, which already is used as a guide for the minimum wage. He said at the very least he would want the Municipal Judge Salary to keep pace with the cost of living. He thinks the public understands it.

Judge Yalman said, "Let me speak to the 90%. You may recall that the citizens of Santa Fe voted to make this position a lawyer, so there are very few cities in the State where that is an actual requirement. And it's not just a lawyer, they have to be a member of the New Mexico bar. That changed a lot when that went in, and they did it, I believe, to professionalize the office. So at the start of every term, basically I was in the position of having to come to Council and request an increase. There were no guidelines, and I had hoped that I was done doing that, but I'm here tonight because I do oppose this proposal. So the last time around, Gino Zamora was the City Attorney, and he said we should do what the State Judiciary does, which is that the lower courts are all a percentage of the higher court, they just go down the line. The City of Las Cruces also requires that their Municipal Judge be an attorney and a member of the bar in New Mexico, and use 90%. So that was one key. And I believe the other, but I'm not as convinced of this, is that the hearing officers, like domestic violence and child support, I believe they are 90%, but I'm not

positive. Because I think the Metro Judges are at 95%. So every time you go lower, it gets lower. And so that's how they arrived at it. It seems to me that it makes the most sense, because theoretically, when the Supreme Court presents a salary proposal to the Legislature, they usually have done an extensive study, not only in New Mexico, but in the country."

Ms. Brennan said, "The only thing I would add to that, Judge Yalman has mentioned a study. And in fact there was a large study that took place in the last salary increase for judges. The other thing I would say, is that it's certainly a comparable wage for an attorney in a position at that degree of responsibility."

Councilor Maestas asked Ms. Brennan if there is case law in this regard.

Ms. Brennan said, "You have the authority. I'm not aware of any case law on that point. As I believe I mentioned in my Memorandum to Oscar at the time, when we brought forward the Resolution, there was litigation around the salary increase which found by the Supreme Court to be justified over the Governor's attempt to veto that."

Councilor Maestas, "I think it's a step back, and it's us politicizing the issue of salary setting. I think we're unnecessarily meddling in, not just with her salary, but indirectly her affairs. I think any Judge needs to be paid commensurate with her field. We mentioned the uniqueness of our requiring that our Municipal Judge be an attorney by Charter. So at this point, I can't support this and I don't see a real compelling need for it."

Councilor Lindell asked what is the current salary of the Municipal Judge.

Ms. Brennan said, "A little over \$100,000."

Councilor Lindell said, "I just want to be clear that one thing in this, is that this salary cannot be lowered, so going to \$25,000 wouldn't be possible. So lowering it isn't an option. However, it just doesn't seem fair to me that we would have one position with a guaranteed built in raise, considering that this is an elected position. And anyone running for that position, knows what the salary is. And it's not a poor salary, it's a little more than \$100,000, plus a nice benefits package. And I just think, at this point in time, it is not fair or fiscally responsible for us to guarantee that there is a built in raise. I don't know of other elected positions we've done that for, and I don't think that we would think that is the right thing to do. I don't know, maybe the Mayor should have 90% of the Governor's salary, and I should too, that seems unlikely to me. But it doesn't seem that far fetched in principle. So, it seems very unfair to take one position, an elected position, and a person knows what their salary is going to be, and to remove that from every other elected salary."

**MOTION:** Councilor Lindell moved, seconded by Acting Chair Rivera, to move this forward to the City Council without recommendation.

**DISCUSSION**: Councilor Maestas said in talking about a salary for an elected position, the people of Santa Fe spoke very clearly in wanting a stronger Mayor by establishing a full-time salary. He said he doesn't know if that salary is equitable relative to other mayorships of similar sized cities, commenting that

is another issue. He thinks the philosophical point is that people want commensurate compensation for positions. He said, "I think this goes against where I think where our community is going, in terms of recognizing the importance of having competent people, the need to attract qualified people to these elected positions. So, I think they just recently, I think, in 2018, the Mayor will begin drawing a full time salary. So I think this is even contrary to where we've moving as a community and our Charter."

**VOTE**: The motion was approved on a voice vote with Councilor Rivera and Councilor Lindell voting in favor of the motion and Councilor Maestas voting against.

Acting Chair Rivera thanked Judge Yalman for attending this evening.

6. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SUBSECTION 1-7.7 SFCC 1987, TO PROHIBIT AN ELECTED OFFICIAL FROM ACCEPTING EMPLOYMENT WITH THE CITY OF SANTA FE WITHIN ONE (1) YEAR OF LEAVING OFFICE (COUNCILOR LINDELL). (ZACHARY SHANDLER) Committee Review: Ethics and Campaign Review Board (postponed) 09/24/15; Ethics and Campaign Review Board (approved) 12/17/15; City Council (request to publish) (scheduled) 01/13/16; and City Council (public hearing) (scheduled) 02/10/16. Fiscal Impact – No.

Councilor Maestas said he has no problems with this, but he wants to know what we are correcting with this Ordinance. He asked if we are addressing an issue, and if there have been elected officials who have sought City employment, and if so, how many instances within the last 5 years.

Councilor Lindell said she doesn't think there has been a Councilor that has sought employment. She said it's one of those situations where we're not addressing a problem, we're trying to make sure a problem is not being created.

Councilor Maestas asked the reason the change is on Ordinance page 3 in Part B under Improper Transactions with the City. He said on Ordinance page 5, line 13, there is something that is comparable – City Employment as a Political Reward. He doesn't see this as an improper transaction. He thinks there is a better place to insert this change in the Ordinance. He asked Ms. Shandler to speak to this.

Mr. Shandler said, "The State Governmental Conduct Act has similar type of language, and they talk about prohibited contract after employment, so it's modeled after the paragraphs in the State Governmental Conduct Act."

Councilor Maestas said this implies that an elected official whose term expires, and comes back would be a contractor and not a full time employee, commenting it isn't explicit.

Mr. Shandler said, "It should be explicit. It's two separate sentences. The first sentence talks about employment, and the second sentence should talk about contract status."

Councilor Maestas asked, in terms of PERA rules, are there restrictions, and does this conflict with any of the PERA rules "regarding post-employment for anyone, elected officials."

Mr. Shandler said, "I'm not aware of this being in conflict with the PERA 90 day rule."

Councilor Maestas said a likelihood would be a circumstance where this is an exempt employee who was an appointed as a department director, and near the end of an administration might seek permanent employment, and asked if that has been a problem and is that expressly forbidden.

Mr. Shandler said, "I don't under the specific hypothetical. I know this is specific to Councilor conduct."

Councilor Maestas said since we're revisiting this, he would like to raise other issues. He said, regarding Ordinance age 4, lines 4 and 11, these are post employment restrictions regarding consulting. However they seem to be identical with public employee on line 4 and a governmental body member. He asked the difference between a governmental body member and a public employee.

Mr. Shandler said, "I think the Governing Body are the elected officials and the Mayor, and the public employee section deals with staff members, like myself. I think the intent was a simple, direct, targeted change to the Ordinance."

Councilor Maestas said on line 11, it says "a governmental body member," and asked if that means a governing body member.

Mr. Shandler said, "Again, the focus of this particular bill is for staff. We could have a further discussion, if you want to offer some amendments. I'm not prepared to talk about amendments today."

Councilor Maestas said he will come forward with amendments, but he wants a clarification on the existing language, and it's germane to a governing body. He said line 11, part 3 says, 'A governmental body member, including members of the governing body, shall not, during his or her term of office, or within one year after the termination thereof, except monetary compensation from a third party...' and then goes on and on. He said he is trying to differentiate between part 2 and part 3 so he can work on an amendment since we will be revisiting this.

Mr. Shandler said, "I would have to look at that paragraph in greater detail, and I would be happy to work with you and Jesse on any amendment changes."

Councilor Lindell Acting Chair Rivera if she can ask Former Councilor Heldmeyer if she knows of any past situations.

Former Councilor Heldmeyer said the way this came about was when we were looking at all of the ethics and campaign law. She said everyone presumed that the existing language in the Ordinance prevented elected officials from taking a job with the City for a year after their term was over because of the contract language. She said when the attorneys looked at it, they said that would cover some jobs, but

not others. Since the intent of the law for a long time was for the City not to hire elected officials for a year after their term, so people wouldn't be setting up a job they could walk into after their term was over, at least a paid job. So, it was suggested this new language be added to clarify the original intent. She said, "I don't know of any Councilors here who did that. I know there have been some in the past, before this law was passed, who did get contracts with the City within a year of their tenure, and we won't go into that. And there have been exempt employees who have switched and taken a classified position after they were in an exempt position, so those two things have happened. The second one is not prohibited by the language that is being proposed."

Councilor Maestas said he doesn't know who is pushing this, Common Cause or someone else. He said he spoke earlier about the potential to have an exempt employee leave their position and take a classified position, and he thinks there should be a cooling off period consistent with this.

Former Councilor Heldmeyer said it has happened, sometimes as a negotiated settlement, and that wasn't discussed at the ECRB.

Councilor Maestas said he will look into an amendment to that effect.

Acting Chair Rivera said it sounds like some of the discussion around this is whether members of this Governing Body should be able to come back and work for the City within one year. He said, "But the way I read this, it's any elected official. So if someone was an elected official in Roswell and we wanted to hire them as the City Manager, they would be prohibited from doing that for one year."

Mr. Shandler said, "No. The intent of it was just to cover the Santa Fe elected officials."

Acting Chair Rivera said he knows the intent, and asked if it really says that anywhere, noting it says elected official, and doesn't say from the City of Santa Fe. He said it isn't that specific to him, and he doesn't know if that was the intent of the sponsor of the Resolution.

Mr. Shandler said, "I will double check that. I think this comes from the Ethics Ordinance, and I imagine there is some language in the very beginning that talks about City employees, elected officials. Otherwise, we would have the situation where an employee from Albuquerque would be under those same types of scenarios. So, I will look into that language; I'll look into Councilor Maestas' language. Whenever you have an Ethics Ordinance, I really don't want to open a can of worms while I'm standing here, because there are so many ramifications. But I understand both are valid points, and I will follow up on both of them.

**MOTION:** Councilor Lindell moved, seconded by Councilor Maestas, to approve this request.

**VOTE**: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

7. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION TO REALLOCATE FUNDING FROM THE COMMUNITY HOSPITAL AND HEALTH CARE STUDY GROUP TO THE SUSTAINABLE SANTA FE COMMISSION TO PURSUE THE DEVELOPMENT OF PROGRAMS, POLICIES AND PROJECTS WITHIN THE 25-YEAR SUSTAINABILITY PLAN THAT WILL HELP IMPROVE THE HEALTH AND QUALITY OF LIFE OF THE PEOPLE OF SANTA FE; AND TO REEVALUATE THE COMMUNITY HOSPITAL AND HEALTH CARE STUDY GROUP'S PURPOSE AND SCOPE IN THE SPRING OF 2016 (MAYOR GONZALES AND COUNCILOR IVES). (KATE NOBLE) Committee Review: Sustainable Santa Fe Commission (no quorum) 12/16/15; and City Council (scheduled) 01/13/16. Fiscal Impact – Yes. (\$50,000 – Funding allocated from the General Fund ending balance for the Hospital and Health Care Study Group would be reallocated to the Sustainable Santa Fe Commission for projects to promote community wellness)

Acting Chair Rivera said the Resolution was brought forward by Mayor Gonzales and Councilor lves, and asked Mayor Gonzales if he would like to speak on it.

Mayor Gonzales said the first thing is that the first meeting of the committee [Study Group] is scheduled for January 12, 2016. He said the challenge for Mayor Coss, who will be chairing the Committee, will be to bring a common focus to the desired outcomes. He said the Resolution authorization for the creation of the Committee was adopted two years ago. He said hopefully, the goal will be to bring people together from throughout the community on this committee to lay-out a set of goals and outcomes we are going to try to achieve going forward.

Mayor Gonzales continued, saying a \$50,000 price tag was put on the Resolution, but we had not found any type of funding other than what Kate Noble was going to try and pull together. He said the Governing Body organized the Sustainability Commission, and its program is to begin to develop a set of action plans to help us meet our 2040 goal of being carbon neutral. He said they will be more long term, so we are going to need resources.

Mayor Gonzales continued, "Given some of the budget issues, where the Health Study Group has 4 meetings, the Sustainability Commission is one of those regular, recurring commissions that have a lot of work to do as well, I am asking the Council to reallocate these funds to the Sustainability Commission. I have had conversations with Commissioner Holian who has indicated that the County will have an interest in matching the City's contribution, so that would grow the pot even more, and we could see some work go forward. If, out of these meetings, there is some financial need that comes forward, then I think that the Council could consider it, based on whatever that need is. But right now, this committee has yet to have its first meeting. They have to come around to a common set of goals. They are limited by the Resolution to have 4 meetings, and the \$50,000 could be better used, if we repurpose it, to the Sustainable Commission."

Councilor Maestas said he is okay with the action to repurposing the money. He said we had a Sustainability Commission and a Sustainability Plan, and we have an action plan, but yet it is being reorganized and it's still the Sustainable Santa Fe Commission. He said we have an existing plan, and obviously priorities change with administrations and governing bodies, which he understands that. He

asked if we really need \$50,000 for a Sustainability Plan update. He asked Kate Noble if she has come up with a budget for the \$50,000, and if it is going to be allocated primarily to updating the plan or if it will be a combination of supporting the new commission and then developing... He asked, "Because we are not going to start from scratch right, tell me we're not, with the Sustainability Plan. We're going to perhaps update it. Give us a sense in general what the \$50,000 will be used for."

Kate Noble, Interim Director, Housing & Community Development Department said, "I am not the expert to speak on that, as I'm not staff to the Sustainable Santa Fe Commission. However, having been there for consideration of this Resolution, they were discussing, and there were 2 tenets that the Commission discussed. One was to update the Plan solely, and the other was to update the plan while doing pilot projects – learning while doing. They did not arrive at a consensus as to what they would do with the money, but they certainly already were considering the options."

Acting Chair Rivera asked Mayor Gonzales if he would like to answer that guestion.

Mayor Gonzales said he has been working on this with John Alejandro on this. He said the Sustainability Commission is governed by the Governing Body, and its priorities have to be approved by the City Council. He said there is no request or effort to change or modify what the previous Sustainability Commission had approved. He said what the Council approved, was a much broader section of experts in water, food, energy, transportation, planning and such, who sit on the Commission. He said right now, we don't have a plan that says what we need to do to achieve our 2040 goal, and how that will be funded. He said something needs to be presented to the Governing Body so we know what we need to do over the next 5-10 years to make a dent. He said it will include things such as becoming an urban watershed, channeling stormwater so we are hanging onto more water as opposed to releasing more of it. He said right now, there are no resources available to them to seek consultants who have built sustainability plans that have been implemented. He said the discussion they have had with him is joining with the County's contribution, and going out and finding somebody in the field to come in and provide strategic expertise on how to meet goals. We have no way to measure it, we have no dashboard, nor a plan for things we want to achieve to get there. He said \$50,000 is not enough to get national people to help. This is the reason he went to the County and Commissioner Holian has agreed to take this to the County Commission to try and match this as a start.

Mayor Gonzales continued, saying what will happen with the Sustainability Commission without the resources is that it will suffer from what the previous Commission did, which is to spend a lot of time and effort by very credentialed people putting together a plan without funding to follow through. He said he thinks we need to address this as the Council, in general. He said part of what he's heard "you talk about with the stormwater fees and some of the others, hopefully could be channeled to meet some of those goals. He said we have to have something that shows the public and others that know what we're investing, the reason we're investing, and we have an ability to measure progress. He said this is the idea of trying to get some of these resources in.

Mayor Gonzales continued, saying we started some of that approval when Mayor Coss presented the first set of recommendations for energy independence and things we needed to do, which he outlined through the Climate Action Task Force that the Sustainability Commission would have to work on as well.

He said the Climate Adaptation Plan put into place by the Sustainability Commission is the foundation on which they are growing. However, we have no resources. We created this great Commission, set this big goal and we have to funds to help them at least get it kick-started.

Councilor Maestas said he supports all of that, and agrees that to implement the 2040 goal is going to be quite a chore, and doesn't think \$50,000 will be enough. He said this definitely will have implications into the next budget. He said just because \$50,000 is available doesn't mean that we should approve that *carte blanche*. He thinks we need some insight into some of the immediate needs. He said the current action plan is the low hanging fruit. There are a lot of works in progress not completed, which can be done by the Sustainability Commission, and then we work toward updating the plan to incorporate the 2040 goal. He said he feels blinded, because he doesn't have context on the overall budget plan. He said although he supports the Commission, he is reluctant to fund a new group like the Hospital Group unless we downsize it and make it more meaningful. He said the context of that was employee wages, disputes and other things. He said he supports the reallocation of funds, but he wants more information on the budget plan.

Councilor Maestas continued, saying with the \$50,000 if we're going to continue with the *status* quo action plan and then work up to developing the Plan update to incorporate the 2040 goal with an accurate budget plan would be much better. He will have other questions as we move forward. He said there is no reason to totally disregard what has been done and there is good work underway.

Mayor Gonzales said this is good direction from Councilor Maestas, to develop a 5 and 10 year capital plan for the City and the community, and how much of those investments will be used to address some of out goals. He said we don't have a template, from a sustainability standpoint, to filter the budget in ways that assure we are helping to meet those goals. He said part of the direction for the use of these funds is to come back and present a budget. He said if we do this right, then every year, the Sustainability Plan will be a critical component of the budget process. He said the volunteers can't do this by themselves, commenting we only have John Alejandro working with them. He thinks this is the reason the Commission is saying they need to access to funds to contract with folks. He said we can push the issue to assure there is a scope of services or a plan that shows a budget/dollar amount so we can plan that in the budget process.

Councilor Maestas said, "I will end by stating, I want to make sure this plan does not impose unnecessary burdens to the community. The Green Code is great, but it did represent a fiscal impact to people wanting to build a new home or remodel their existing home. I realize there are other technologies out there that will be incorporated into this plan. And I want to make sure people see us imposing these community-wide requirements and that they don't see our City buildings and facilities not meeting our own Green Code, and being inefficient. I just don't really want us to lose our credibility and make our own City government for compliance with a lit of these initiatives that are going to come from this updated Sustainability plan. So again, let's be mindful of unfunded mandates, and take a stab at trying to quantify them. Congress does that. As you know, if an unfunded mandate exceeds a certain total amount, they have to have hearings and look into whether or not to go forward. I want to make sure we don't disproportionately impact folks and lose our credibility by not ensuring that our own City government complies with this."

Acting Chair Rivera said we're approved quite a number of people for the Health Care Study Group, and asked how many people currently are approved for the Health Care Study Group.

Ms. Noble said she believes 24-25 people have been appointed.

Acting Chair Rivera asked if there is a reason they have had no meetings, and if it is because of the size of the group.

Ms. Noble said they have held off on scheduling a meeting because of the fiscal impact, noting we issued an RFQ for facilitation of the meetings.

Acting Chair Rivera asked, in Spring 2016 when we have this discussion again, if those 24 people still will be considered as part of this Study Group.

Ms. Noble said she would imagine they would be. She thinks the working plan developed by staff would be to have the first meeting to hear from the members and focus on its priorities. And then, as specified by the Resolution, relook at that in Spring 2016.

Acting Chair Rivera asked if Santa Fe County was a willing participant in this Study Group.

Ms. Noble said, "Yes, the County has been willing to participate.

**MOTION:** Councilor Lindell moved, seconded by Councilor Maestas, to approve this request.

**VOTE**: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

11. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION CREATING THE SANTA FE FILM COMMISSION; AND SUPPORTING ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND JOB CREATION IN FILM AND DIGITAL MEDIA (MAYOR GONZALES AND COUNCILOR IVES AND LINDELL). (KATE NOBLE) ) Committee Review: Economic Development Review Commission (approved) 12/03/15; City Business & Quality of Life Committee (no quorum) 12/09/15; City Business & Quality of Life Committee (scheduled) 01/13/16; and City Council (scheduled) 01/13/16. Fiscal Impact – Yes. (Total = \$52,400; professional services of \$2,400 and all other operating costs of \$50,000)

Acting Chair Rivera asked Mayor Gonzales, as one of the sponsors, if he would like to offer comments.

Mayor Gonzales said this Resolution would create a permanent film commission in Santa Fe to achieve several objectives, but at the broadest level it is to map our way into growing a full film economy in our community. He said short term, we can pursue more production in the City. He said we have not directly marketed Santa Fe, noting Albuquerque has a full time film office. He said Santa Fe has received only 20% of the film projects. He wants to focus on how to increase production here. He said there are

challenges such as studio infrastructure, noting the challenges between the County and Santa Fe Studios Studio and he doesn't know what kind of message that is sending to Hollywood. He said to grow a film economy, it is everything from film makers, writers, editors, post-production editors, actors and others. He said if we are looking only to grow our economy because the incentive exists then our economy will sustain itself only so long as the incentive is in place. He said that can change with any administration and the Legislature. He said the hope is to appoint people from the industry that really understand Santa Fe's strengths in growing a film economy and creating opportunities for people to have exciting jobs in our community. He said Santa Fe is a creative town where George R. Martin has produced the number one HBO film since it's been in existence. He did that by being a member of our community. He said we have other writers here. He said because the barriers are being lowered in producing film and technology, film makers can get film more readily available and to market, but they have to be nurtured in the way entrepreneurs do.

Mayor Gonzales talked about the opportunity for production editing and post-production edition and the technology involved, commenting that a lot of that work is being done behind computers and in green rooms. He said there is no reason why Santa Fe can't become a major player in this regard. This means you don't have to have a film production in Santa Fe to get the benefit. You could have a film production in Los Angeles, London or wherever, and they can transmit data files into Santa Fe in real time, with editors working here on a daily basis and transporting the film back.

Mayor Gonzales said the recent announcement of gigabyte technology in the Railyard is Santa Fe's first step in having the pipes big enough to get those size media files into Santa Fe. He said if we had a post production editing studio, or production editing studio, it can now be done. There is a huge opportunity for us to grow a real industry that is sustainable with exciting jobs with good wages and people can be excited about staying in Santa Fe. He said the top 3 schools at the University of Art and Design are film, media arts and performance, so we have an engine in Santa Fe that is delivering a work force who could stay here and work here if we had this industry.

Mayor Gonzales said this is being set up so the Council can get a blueprint of how to grow a real film industry that is meaningful and hopefully will generate long term revenues for Santa Fe. He said it's the lowest hanging fruit, outside of tourism, that we have and we don't do a thing to market Santa Fe when it comes to film production. He believes any investment will go a long way to helping us build our film economy.

Councilor Maestas said he thinks the vision is there, and we all understand and appreciate the contribution the film industry has on our economy, and we know our City has great potential to capture more of that market. His concern is that we already have a film liaison. He is unsure if this person has ever come before us to give us updates. He said he doesn't have an appreciation of how effective our current film liaison has been. He wants to see how that position is working, is it effective, is it full time, and is the position nimble enough to respond to active film production. He wants to know how well our initial investment is going in the form of the liaison. He said the Mayor would like the Economic Development Director to be the staff liaison, but that position is still being advertised. He would like that person to be hired before we embark on creating a brand new body to start mapping this plan.

Councilor Maestas continued, saying there is the issue of the state of the industry here in Santa Fe, commenting we've heard about the lease dispute between Santa Fe studios and their contractor in Santa Fe County. They're saying the studios aren't big enough, but they can't make the payments. He said there already is a source of concern in terms of the limitations of the studio. It's not big enough to attract quality productions. He would feel better going forward if the fate of the studio was more certain than it is now, which impacts the industry. He said he would support staff expansion of the film office if he could be convinced that the liaison has potential. He said the City of Albuquerque does not have a commission, it has staff. He said that is what we need. We need people who are going to be available and responsive to the film companies when they are in town. He said we need people who will cultivate relations with production companies to attract them to the City, and doesn't see a commission doing that. He would rather invest our limited resources in staff. He still see our needs as much more fundamental. He agrees with the Mayor's vision, but believes the mechanism should be investing in more staff through the film office, and then consider a commission, perhaps a joint City/County Film commission in the future when the studio issue is resolved.

Mayor Gonzales said one thing Santa Fe is known for is how easy it is to film in Santa Fe. He said his office never hears about people who are frustrated with our liaison. He said the liaison position is relatively reactionary, wait and serve, as opposed to pro-active. It is a part time position. He said it is paid from Tourism Santa Fe, noting it is \$17,000. He said this is handling 20% of the State's film permits – she's doing a lot with very little. He doesn't argue with expanding that help. He said we our eggs in the County and the studio solving their problem. He said the County has to solve it, noting the facility can be used only for one thing which is film, the two 25,000 sq. ft. facilities.

Mayor Gonzales continued, saying what he is talking about here and the point of a film commission is to build a film economy and get experts to tell us how to grow this industry to attract these jobs. He said Albuquerque's purpose is to bring in films on a daily basis, and they have 11 studios plus I-25 Studios and others with capacity to handle a lot of film. He said if Santa Fe Studios could grow to 6-7 major stages, it makes sense to get recruitments moving out to keep them filled. He wants a film commission to help us create a roadmap to broaden our participation in the film industry beyond the production. He said we don't have staff or experience at the City to be able to determine what is needed to grow a healthy economy in post-production or production editing.

Mayor Gonzales said one of the things the Council can ask and makes sense, is that before money is spent that a budget is brought to the Council for approval on the allocation of dollars. He said if it is allowed to go forward, the nominations won't come to Council until mid-to-end February. He said the Commission won't constitute itself until March and by then a permanent Economic Development Director will be in place, who should have a firm understanding of the film economy. He said his request of the Film Commission is to not just "put our eggs in the basket," of just production, commenting the County should fund a full time person to get people to fill those studios. He said our opportunity is to seed and create a roadmap for growing new industry in Santa Fe beyond the government, real estate, tourism, and we become known a great, healthy film economy. He reiterated he supports a plan being brought back to the Governing Body for review on how we will spend those funds, how it will meet the goals, where can we do it.

Councilor Maestas said he would like to see us assess whether it would be more effective for staff at the film office versus creating a commission. He said regardless of how well the film industry is doing and the positive financial impact it has on our community and the State, we are establishing a recurring expenditure. He thinks we may be violating our own principle of funding recurring expense with one-time revenues, because Economic Development does not have dedicated funds. He said this still represents expansion, regardless of the purpose and we're violating our own principle of using one-time revenues for recurring expenditures. He would like to take a step back and assess what would be more effective use of the funds.

Councilor Maestas said he feels it is time that we have a collective discussion on what the City's Economic Development Plan will be, noting previously it has been a "grab bag" for a lot of these miscellaneous projects which are good. However, we need context as to how it fit into the overall City plan and vision for economic development in the City. He said he is "tired of piecemealing these actions and not having context on economic development."

MOTION: Councilor Lindell moved, seconded by Councilor Rivera, to approve this request.

**VOTE**: The motion was approved on a voice vote with Councilor Rivera and Councilor Lindell voting in favor of the motion and Councilor Maestas voting against.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

## END OF CONSENT CALENDAR DISCUSSION

Mayor Gonzales departed the meeting

#### **DISCUSSION AGENDA**

12. PRESENTATION OF SANTA FE COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT (CAFR)
FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2015, PURSUANT TO STATE AUDIT RULE 2012, 2.2.2.
NMAC, BY ACCOUNTING & CONSULTING GROUP, LLP. (TERESITA GARCIA)

Oscar Rodriguez said the audit was completed a month ago, and we're not allowed to circulate it publicly until we are authorized to do so by the State Auditor, which was done last week. He didn't hesitate to give it to the Committee, and it will go before the Audit Committee on Wednesday with the idea of making a grander presentation to you at the Council meeting next week.

Ms. Teresita said there were two findings listed on page 232, noting there were no exceptions on the internal control. She said the audit was submitted to GFOA to get our Certificate of Excellence.

Mr. Rodriguez said there is no presentation for this meeting, noting the grander presentation will be done at the City Council meeting next week.

- Ms. Garcia said the report issued was an unmodified report and there were no exceptions on internal control for financial reporting, no material weakness.
- Mr. Rodriguez said the State Auditor's direction was to look closely at the 2012 Parks Bond Program, so they did extra testing there, and that did not yield any findings.
- Ms. Garcia said there was a repeated finding on IT, on page 235, a repeated finding on budget compliance and a new finding on not classifying the construction in progress. There was a finding that the Parking Permits Receivable Reconciliation was not done at June 30<sup>th</sup>.

Councilor Lindell said then there will be a report at Council on this.

Mr. Rodriguez said yes, there will be a grander report, and he would be happy to provide one-on-one briefings if you would like.

Councilor Maestas said he will comment when this is presented at the City Council meeting.

Acting Chair Rivera asked if the departments with repeat findings have done anything to address the issues in the CAFR.

Ms. Garcia said in the budgetary, there always is budgetary level of compliance. She said one of Mr. Rodriguez's goals is to not have any budgetary findings. She said in the corrective action there is a more explicit explanation as to how they are going to address the findings. She said Parking is trying to hire some financial analysts to be able to do the reconciliation. She said the finding on construction in progress hasn't been addressed, due to staffing changes. She said those will be done end of June 30, 2016.

Acting Chair Rivera said on page 235 one of the findings was "Evaluation of outside contractors." He asked if that is just an IT issue, or is it also an issue with the Purchasing Division as well.

Ms. Garcia said it is mostly IT. There is no control on our contractor's logging into our IT system, so it's more restricted to an IT function. She said the IT Department has said they are hiring a security officer to enable tightness within that IT operation.

Acting Chair Rivera said he is sure there will be more questions at the City Council meeting, and thanked her for her presentation.

## 13. PRESENTATION ON CITY OF SANTA FE PROPOSED NEW DELINQUENT ACCOUNT COLLECTION PROCESS. (OSCAR RODRIGUEZ)

Mr. Rodriguez presented information from the *City of Santa Fe Proposed New Delinquent Account Collection Process*, which is in the Committee packet. Please see this document for specifics of this presentation. He noted with approval, staff will further refine the process.

- Councilor Lindell how long it will take to get the further details.
  - Mr. Rodriguez said he can bring them back to them next month.
- Councilor Lindell said she would like the details sooner rather than later.
  - Mr. Rodriguez said the goal is to get this crystalized so that it can appear in the budget. He said it does make for a more clear budget.
- Councilor Maestas thanked Mr. Rodriguez for bringing this forward. He said he got an ear-full over the holidays from constituents, in terms of the City's inability to collect on delinquent utility accounts. He said the amounts of \$9.8 million in 13/14 and \$9.1 in 14/15 are unacceptable and we really need to reduce these amounts. He concurs with bringing forward procedures. He said if we are to have a safety net, there have to be responsibility measures as well. He said we need to make sure we don't create a dependency on the safety net. He wants balanced procedures to be proposed for this. He wants to make sure the procedures complement what we have in place, in terms of providing assistance to those who are unable to pay or have difficulty paying.
- Councilor Maestas continued, saying he wants a sense of how effective the collection agencies are, the collection rate and their share, commenting that he does support this effort.
  - Mr. Rodriguez said you will see that information in great detail, because it is a contract that will have to be bid, and it will come to this Committee and they can weigh-in on the contract winner.
- Councilor Maestas said he likes the theme of start soft and end tough.
- Councilor Lindell said we have been talking about this for some time, reiterating she wants to move rather than later on this. It also would be helpful to see this put together so we can see how it works, so we can see the current delinquencies, as well as a little bit of history for the last 2-3 years. She also would like to see the parking numbers as well.
- Councilor Maestas said we need to look at our fee structure, noting after 30 days there should be late fees.
  - Mr. Rodriguez said late fees are charged, depending on the account. He said once in place, he would like to bring forward the False Alarm Ordinance, noting a previous audit recommendation is that we re-write the Ordinance regarding fees, fines and interest. He said the Attorney said these aren't the greatest cases to take to Court, so there needs to be a lot of clean-up.
- Councilor Maestas said there is no reason to leave the 60 day process untouched, and we should look at what we can do – revisit the fees and strengthen the 60-day period. He would like to do some benchmarking to look at other communities who have been successful in improving collections.

- Mr. Rodriguez said it is below 1 %.
- Councilor Maestas reiterated that he wants to look at information from other cities and see what works, and asked Mr. Rodriguez to provide that information.
  - Mr. Rodriguez said he would do so.
- Acting Chair Rivera asked Mr. Schiavo if this program would help him to run the Water Division.
- Nick Schiavo, Public Utilities Director, said he and Mr. Rodriguez have been talking about this. He said there currently is a program for people below a certain income level, and we waive the \$18 monthly customer fee, but they still have to pay for the water. He said the City has a fairly complex set up in place for collections, noting if the person owns the home, the City can impose a lien on their property. We also can pull water meters, which brings a fairly quick response once that is done.
- Acting Chair Rivera asked Mr. Schiavo if he has flexibility to work with people on a pay plan.
  - Mr. Schiavo said yes. He said once someone has gotten behind, we can waive the 1½ % interest per month and put them on a payment plan, typically over 6 to 12 months.
- Acting Chair Rivera asked how many people would be without water if we have some kind of hard collection, such as the one being proposed.
  - Mr. Schiavo said he can pull that information and have it at PUC. He said previously there was an issue that renters only had to pay a \$100 deposit for residential, and it is easy to go past \$100. He said some people figured out quickly they could stop paying for 2-3 months before leaving their rental, and by the time we got around to doing anything about it, they were long gone. He said a fair amount of debt that has been written off which we won't collect, is for renters who knew the system and moved on. He said we increased the amount of the deposit, which is linked to past use of the rental property for both commercial and residential. He said he can get the requested information by the time this goes to the PUC.
- Acting Chair Rivera said he assumes that once a customer is sent to collection agency the collection agency is aggressive about its collections. He asked if there are any collection agencies out there that allow city interaction in working with its customers.
  - Mr. Schiavo said his understanding is that once we turned that bill over for collection, the City would no longer be involved.

Mr. Rodriguez that is correct, noting that we work with them until there are no further steps, and then we turn it to the collection agency. He said the first big step is they report the person to the credit bureau, which usually gets people to pay. He said the collection agency will work with them, but they have to pay something. He said there is a trade-off, that the longer the soft process is the more staff is involved, versus going straight to a hard collection agency.

- Acting Chair Rivera said he agrees with this, but it is difficult for him, because he represents the community that will be the most affected. He said he looks forward to receiving more information.
- Councilor Maestas talked about PNM's plan for people on fixed incomes, and asked if we can look
  into what other cities do in terms of a fixed billing amount each month based on the average use,
  with an annual correction.

Mr. Rodriguez said he will look at that. He said, however, he can't recommend a policy that will encourage people to use more water. He said if people don't want to pay, they should consume less, which is a basic principle of conservation. He said he will bring back what he has from other communities.

# 14. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF FY 2015/16 - 2019/20 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FOR THE CITY OF SANTA FE. (OSCAR RODRIGUEZ)

A copy of City of Santa Fe 5-Year Capital Improvements Plan FY 2015/16 - 2019/20, is incorporated herewith to these minutes as Exhibit "1."

Mr. Rodriguez reviewed the information in Exhibit "1." Please see Exhibit "1," for specifics of this presentation.

Mr. Rodriguez noted two projects are not in IT right now because the Director got better information, and we filled those with other projects. There are two new projects which are for projects on Agua Fria noted on page 29.

The Committee commented and asked questions as follows:

- Councilor Lindell said she hates to get into the weeds, so will ask just one question. She said on page 15, FY 2016/2017, she sees an item for \$250,000 for removal of planters from the terrace of the Convention Center, and asked staff to comment.
  - Mr. Rodriguez said that is at the Convention Center and it is paid from Lodgers' Tax, and he can ask Mr. Randall to follow up with her in this regard. He said this is the extent of the CIP for the Convention Center for next year.
- Councilor Lindell questioned spending \$250,000 to remove planters, and asked where are they going.

- Mr. Rodriguez said he doesn't have more detail on that, and reiterated he will ask Mr. Randall to follow up with her in this regard.
- Councilor Maestas asked the reason the payroll for ITT is paid from ICIP, the high speed broadband.
  - Mr. Rodriguez that is something that is already behind us, and something the Council approved in September 2015.
- Councilor Maestas asked if this will be a recurring expenditure.
  - Mr. Rodriguez said no, except for what is in Operations and Maintenance, so you can see how much has been moved into capital from Operations. He said, "There should be nothing that is recurring. Everything is a project that begins and ends. You're talking about IT."
- Councilor Maestas said no, it wasn't IT, it was the CIP broadband, commenting he is looking at the "old version," and he had comments from the last time we talked about this.
  - Mr. Rodriguez said in this case it is a one time expenditure and they capitalized the cost of the person doing the work.
- Councilor Maestas said the Downtown Transit Center was partially paid with a grant. There was \$2.6 million in 2017/2018. He asked how we know what the City's pro rata share is, and asked if that really matters, commenting he would like to know since it impacts the CIP.
  - Mr. Rodriguez said yes, it does matter. He said this is the best information we have at this time, noting this allows you to see years ahead, and as we get more information we will update the plan.
- Councilor Maestas said, for purposes of budget development for the next fiscal year, he would like to know the local match required for purposes of budgeting.
  - Mr. Rodriguez said he will expand the column that gives a description. He said this is the first time the City has done this, noting this should have been approved last June. He said we will be doing this again April, so for the first time in the City's history you can approve an operating budget and a capital budget at the same time. He said we will get better and better at this at time goes by.
- Councilor Maestas asked if we add new projects, are we throwing them into the ICIP for the next approval cycle.
  - Mr. Rodriguez said that is something for the Committee to decide.
- Councilor Maestas said he thinks we should.

Mr. Rodriguez said in the ICIP you are asking for funding from the Legislature for projects that otherwise have no funding. He said in the meanwhile this project for this CIP is an effort to do planning and include projects that will get funded with a balanced budget.

Councilor Maestas said he is really thinking of the out-years in the CIP, commenting he doesn't
want to wait until FY 20 to get the improvements at Montezuma and Sandoval. He would like to
move that, and the option to move it up through the ICIP process and a Legislative Capital Outlay
request.

Mr. Rodriguez said so this becomes part of the ICIP, and you can say there is funding but it's 3 years away and it's needed now.

 Councilor Maestas said there is a Buckman Booster Replacement and Expansion, uranium remediation and arsenic treatment, noting Buckman is a 50/50 arrangement, and asked how we break that out for the purposes of accounting.

Mr. Schiavo said this is for the Buckman Well Field, and he can make that clearer in the next document.

Councilor Maestas asked what is the Booster Replacement and Expansion.

Mr. Schiavo said it is to bring the water from the Well Field. He said also included is a parallel pipeline. He said if we have to pump from the Buckman Direct Diversion and the Buckman Well Field we can get only a limited amount of water a day. So if we can do parallel line, it allows to pull the needed water on peak demand days. It also helps with energy conservation as well.

Councilor Maestas said the State Engineer has taken an adverse interpretation on water rights we
have that have not been put to beneficial use. He said we've been talking about incorporating that
into our capital improvement strategy to maximize our groundwater to establish a history of fully
utilizing our groundwater/water rights. He asked if this CIP reflects that.

Mr. Schiavo said yes.

Councilor Maestas said, regarding unfunded needs, he threw out numbers he got from staff a while back, noting the latest report had a \$12 million funding gap, and there is a \$237 million deficit for Streets & Sidewalks, which is beyond the 5 years of the CIP period. He said it seems that the facilities, streets and drainage system are the 3 infrastructure systems with the largest gaps. He thinks people really need to see what the real infrastructure funding gap is, and the associated unfunded needs.

Mr. Rodriguez said they can be included with a caveat as to when those would come in, which also requires a prioritization of those projects, commenting "but we're not there yet." He said in the CIP, a number of studies are being proposed to help us get to that. He said when you see the next iteration of this document, he hopes to have a better prioritization. He said normally in a CIP

Plan you don't want to show the unfunded need because it is like infinity, it will never end. He said the only reason they are putting this in here is because it is our first plan, so we can show you the that the long list of things we wanted to include has been shortened. These are projects we wanted to bring to you for discussion. He said the document you get in April will not include this section.

Councilor Maestas said it would be good for us, in the future, to have a consolidated state of the
infrastructure for context. He said the City needs to do that like the country and State have done.
He thinks this will help us make more informed decisions on CIP. He thinks it is important for us to
we start focusing on our aging infrastructure.

Mr. Rodriguez said there will be some minor changes to the document, but what you have here is a document that is 99% complete which will go forward to the Governing Body, noting those changes for the record.

Acting Chair Rivera asked Chief Litzenberg about the Southwest Fire Station on page 2, noting we
talked about this at the last meeting. He asked what the delay in the time frame will do to the Fire
operations the City provides to the community.

Chief Litzenberg said the adjustment to the Annexation Agreement we made with the County Fire Department has us taking full responsibility in FY 18/19. He said we aren't building a fire station to properly staff and cover the area until 2020 per this Plan. He said at this time he can't say what it will do to their operations. He said we will have to negotiate with the County and perhaps enter into an agreement with them for borrowing facilities. He said it will not give us the proper response station that we generally expect and operate from and like we have in other parts of the City.

 Acting Chair Rivera said we recently approved a document that Chief Litzenberg put together with certain benchmarks and timeframes to provide services to the citizens. He said he assumes that without a station in that area, there will be a negative effect.

Chief Litzenberg said that is correct, and it will hard to meet the standards, commenting we do that out of operational fire stations. He said it will necessitate some creative staffing for sure.

 Acting Chair Rivera asked Chief Litzenberg, for example, if something happens at the Tierra Real Community School right now, assuming the County decides to vacate the fire station in close proximity at the end of 2018/2019, what is his estimated response time for the closest fire station to that school.

Chief Litzenberg said he thinks the primary response would come from Fire Station #7 near the Chavez Center at about 8-10 minutes, and probably two more minutes from Station #8 because it's a neighborhood fire stations and "the travel outs aren't very fast." He said for a full response, it would be a similar 8-12 minutes.

Councilor Rivera asked the response time to areas that currently are well serviced.

Chief Litzenberg said currently most of our community is well serviced; it's the standard we try to meet and to have everything under 8 minutes.

 Acting Chair Rivera said most of our insurance rates for homes are based on services provided by the Fire Department and asked the current rating.

Chief Litzenberg said the current rating is an ISO-4, and with the modifications we've made over the past few years, we hope to drop that to an ISO-2 which won't have significant impact on residential, but would have an impact on commercial properties. He said bear in mind it is a community-wide evaluation. So assessments that are made to underserved areas, conceivably would have an impact on the whole City.

 Chair Rivera said then under current conditions, you are anticipating going to an ISO-2, which is better than ISO-4.

Chair Litzenberg said that is correct, commenting the best you can do is an ISO-1, and that is based on a lot of indicators that aren't controllable by us, so and ISO-2 would be quite an achievement. He said it is anticipated without the annexation they will go from ISO-4 to an ISO-2 this year.

Acting Chair Rivera said then if the County decided to vacate that fire station in FY 18/19 the ISO rating based on current measurements also would be negatively effected.

Chief Litzenberg said, "That is a cascade I'm not necessarily sure I can predict, but it is conceivable that would have a negative impact on the City's evaluation as a whole.

Mr. Rodriguez said, referring to pages 15 and 31, if you want the project listed on page 15 to advance, the Southwest Fire Station, another project has to be moved back. He said you have that option, noting that on page 31 there are projects listed that we can move back. If the Committee directs staff to make that change we have to move something back. He said the reason road projects appear further ahead than the fire station, is because the fire station, apart from it being a big price tag, also comes with a big O&M pricetag. He said all the information is there, and if the Committee wants that moved around, then now is the time to tell us to do that. He said perhaps we could advance it one-year by pushing back the Zia Road Construction Project or the Agua Fria/Cottonwood Intersection.

 Acting Chair Rivera said the construction of Zia Road is an estimate, and asked if it is a conservative estimate.

Isaac Pino, Public Works Director, said it is a pretty close estimate. He said it is 4 lanes of roadway all the way between the two terminuses. He said, additionally, the Cottonwood intersection project is in the STIP at the MPO, so we have to go forward with it or change the STIP. He said, "That's some brain surgery I'm not sure you want to engage in."

Acting Chair Rivera said we are talking about life saving things here when we are talking about a fire station, response time, and having equity throughout the City of Santa Fe for access to emergency medical services as quickly as possible. He said, however, he is not sure where the money should come from. He said, "And again, we could play this game. I hate to delay this because I think we could probably find a project here and there that we could all decide we would want to fund or move up, or move back, or do something with. I don't think I'm going to delay it, but I think by the time this comes to Council I may have some recommendations."

Mr. Rodriguez said he will be glad to work with him come up with a plan he can propose as an amendment.

- Acting Chair Rivera said, "I had some funds that I thought would work, but then Jon Bulthuis
  moved his project up, so he beat me to the punch there. So, let me take a look at it and we'll see
  what we can come up with, and hopefully I can meet with you and come up with some other
  ideas."
- Councilor Maestas said perhaps Mr. Rodriguez can identify some of these extremely high ticket projects that could be potential GO bond projects, which he thinks would be compelling. He asked when we can go forward with another GO bond.

Mr. Rodriguez said, "I am recommending that we, like in the next 4-5 years, should not issue more than \$34 to \$35 million in bonds. I'm showing them here as bonds. They could be GRT or GO bonds."

Mr. Rodriguez said we identify the funding sources on page 5 – \$10.8 million, \$12.6 million and \$10.5 million are the 3 bond issuances, and it would be our call as to whether they are GO or GRT Bonds. He said, "My advice to you is that is the most we can afford at this time, and that also assumes we have put our financial house in order such that we have liberated enough cash flowing through those funds to afford those. Another possibility is that you would borrow these funds from internal sources, with interest – we would bond to ourselves. You heard from the Public Banking people that this is a possibility. He said this is a discussion you will have, and said he will be bringing to them as the time is appropriate.

Councilor Maestas thinks we should have had a broader discussion on the funding assumptions that go into this CIP Plan. He said he has an issue with regard to Impact Fees. The partial waiver will expire in mid-February 2016. He asked Mr. Rodriguez if he considered the current impact fee revenues, commenting there is a recommendation from the Impact Fee Committee to go back to the full waiver, so we are looking at a swing between \$800,000 and \$1 million.

Mr. Rodriguez said he assumes the impact fees resources will pay for projects related to those developments. At this point, the only project for which we were considering using Impact Fees is the Southside Transit Center. He said this decision has not been made, and the reason it appears

as part of the unfunded need. He said he also made no assumptions regarding the increase in the gasoline tax, so if that revenue comes in, that would add new projects which would free up cash for other projects.

- Councilor Maestas asked Mr. Rodriguez to add a section on funding assumptions and give him the full page showing revenue sources. He reiterated that he will be working on some amendments to this between now and when it goes to Council.
- Mr. Rodriguez said it is going to the Council on January 13<sup>th</sup>, noting it was approved by Public Works in November 2015.
- Councilor Maestas said he will work with Mr. Rodriguez to make sure there is no net impact that a certain change will create another change.

MOTION: Councilor Maestas moved, seconded by Councilor Lindell, to approve this request.

**VOTE**: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

#### 15. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE

A copy of Bills and Resolutions scheduled for introduction by members of the Governing Body at the Finance Committee meeting of January 4, 2016, is incorporated herewith to these minutes as Exhibit "2."

Councilor Lindell introduced the following Resolution on behalf of Mayor Gonzales: A Resolution exempting current merchants in the Sanbusco Market Center from Building Permit, Fire Inspection and Impact Fees when relocating their business to a new location within the boundaries of the City of Santa Fe; and maintaining the requirement to submit construction documents prepared by a registered design professional for approval by the Land Use Department. A copy of the Resolution is incorporated herewith to these minutes as Exhibit "3."

Councilor Lindell introduced the following Resolution on behalf of Councilor Bushee: A Resolution in support of the "New Mexico grown fresh fruits and fresh vegetables for School Meals Program" State legislation. A copy of the Resolution is incorporated herewith to these minutes as Exhibit "4."

Councilor Lindell introduced a Resolution opposing proposed legislation, House Bill 55 – amending New Mexico's Human Rights Act to permit discrimination against persons based on sexual orientation or gender identity. A copy of the Resolution is incorporated herewith to these minutes as Exhibit "5."

Councilor Maestas said he would like to cosponsor the Resolution.

#### **Proposed Workshop**

Mr. Rodriguez said there was a request at the last meeting to have a workshop. He said it would help staff to get clarity on how to move forward, noting we are only weeks away from giving instructions to the department directors on budget preparation. He would welcome any input you have, commenting it will be very helpful. He said he would like to have the workshop sooner, better than later. He can canvas the Committee members individually about what should be on that agenda. He asked for proposed dates for the workshop.

Acting Chair Rivera said we definitely need the Chair involved in selecting the date. He said, however, if we can come up with 3 proposals for a date, and send that to the Committee, hopefully we can agree on a date.

Mr. Rodriguez said he will canvas the Committee starting tomorrow morning.

Councilor Maestas said there is a philosophy that we can take 2-3 years to erase the annual budget deficit of \$15 to \$20 million plus. He thinks whoever is saying that should bring a roadmap of the general strategy, a multi-year strategy. He said if the 2-3 year plan includes mandatory use of reserves, he wants to know how that will work, and what assumptions were made in terms of how much we can use those reserves in the absence of the Water Fund transfer, and what cost savings need to come out of the recurring budget over the 2-3 year period. He isn't convinced this can be done in 2-3 years. He is concerned we will continue to use the bridging strategies as a temporary stop-gap.

Mr. Rodriguez asked, "How about the workshop be precisely that, to talk specifically about that, so when we come together, I can lay out the range of possibilities for a plan." He said from his standpoint, he is far less concerned about a \$15 million deficit than the behavior that got us there, so we aren't in the same situation a couple of years down the road. He said his recommendation to the Committee is that there be a plan for changing that culture and the basic structures permanently over time. He said if that is your direction for the workshop, he can present the range of possibilities for a phased-in strategy for closing the deficit. He is happy to provide individual briefings to the Committee members.

Mr. Rodriguez said he does intend to invite all of the current Council candidates for a briefing on the City's financial situation at some point.

Acting Chair Rivera asked Mr. Rodriguez to advise the Chair about Councilor Maestas's idea, and to include it as part of the Workshop.

#### 16. ADJOURN

There was no further business to come before the Committee, and the meeting was adjourned at approximately 7:35 p.m.

Reviewed by:	Carmichael A. Dominguez, Chair
Oscar S. Rodriguez, Finance Director Department of Finance	

Melessia Helberg, Stenographer



City of Santa Fe 5-Year Capital Improvements Plan FY 2015/16 – 2019/20 N

# **Table of Contents**

Page No.

39	Unfunded Needs	13.	
35	Public Utilities	12.	
32	Trails	11.	
29	Roadways and Streets	10.	
27	Parks	တ်	
23	Operations and Maintenance	∞i	
21	Transit	. 7.	
19	Technology	9	
14	Buildings and Facilities	s,	
12	Heavy Equipment	4	
10	Drainage	mi	
80	Santa Fe Municipal Airport	2.	
4	Expenditures and Revenue Summaries	<b>₊</b> i	

EXPENDITURE AND REVENUE SUMMARIES

# **TOTALS BY FUNDING CATEGORY**

	-	_	-						
ital Budget X	55.013.352		1.965.407	THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF TH	The state of the s			56.076.893	Includes amendments approved by Coun
Balance after Expenditure			0						
							<u></u>		
	Andrew Comments of the Comment				407	8,500	16,407		
Fund		19	192,834	1,400,000	1,769,216	1,722,216	1,809,375	6,893,641	
r BAR X		09	600,117			. m . 100 a 100 a		600,117	
	×	-	15,000	1,060,000	1,000,000	800,000	200,000	3,075,000	Assigned to Ft. Marcy pool deck, storm drainag
Balance after Expenditure		_		407	8,500	16,407	101,189		
-			200,000	1,500,000	000'006	000'006	000'006	4,700,000	
Balance after Expenditure		_	,				,	(190,159)	
				75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	300,000	
×				326,000	AND 1889			326,000	
Balance after Expenditure					•	 	,		
				empo apo gas apos					
×		17	176,605					176,605	
Balance after Expenditure					•		_		
×	585,659	585		1,602,730				2,262,315	
enditure		_		ı	•	•	ŀ		
				bragupton, or n					CHARGED TO 2012 G
	×				10,890,210			10,890,210	
Balance after Expenditure		3			,				
	×					12,587,520		12,587,520	
Balance after Expenditure						•	•		
	×					- e	10,539,475	10,539,475	
Balance after Expenditure					•		122,000		
		The second secon							
×									ALICAN YARANIMAN YARAYIMAN INDININGAN AN MANIMAN INA DA
Balance atter Expenditure				1		1	•		
**************************************	74,(	74,062 200	200,000			į	; ; ;	274,062	
Balance after Expenditure			1	1	•	1	4	74,062	
× ;			-	362,000	- 1	<del> </del>	, ,	362,000	
Balance after Expenditure	_	_		•	,	•	•	•	

				malada	telegrane.			
Balance after Expenditure				(60,313)	(26,563)	(59,375)	(146,250)	
Total Revenues	 55,746,999	11,679,845	26,982,656	41,543,138	37,491,681	34,688,049		
Total Expenses	 55,746,999	11,679,845	26,982,656	41,419,950	37,378,649	34,686,203		
ICE OF REVENUES AND EXPENSES	 0	•	•	123,188	113,032	1,846	-	

	ร	SUMMARY					
TOTAL	TOTAL EXPENDITURES BY FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY	S BY FUNCT	ONAL CATE	GORY			
				GOVERNMENTAL			
Airport	832,803	221,722	1,850,000	1,900,000	000'058	1,900,000	7,554,525
Drainage	2,700,103		115,000	75,000	000'52	85,000	3,050,103
Heavy Equipment	190,159	200,000	1,500,000	900,000	000'006	000'006	4,890,159
Buildings and Facilities	4,596,883	328,126	718,000	2,217,210	9,344,520	5,000,400	21,955,139
Technlogy	4,771,301		565'666	2,645,907	1,698,093	874,593	10,989,487
Transit	5,737,721	(216,196)	2,225,169	4,939,216	1,722,216	1,830,000	16,238,126
Operations & Maintenance	6,272,941	390,000	•	٠	-	•	6,662,941
Parks & Recreation	351,855	209'595	392,379	138,000	379,000	1,384,025	3,210,864
Roadways & Streets	14,758,392	1,860,706	934,758	6,926,000	1,958,000	3,518,050	36,335,059
Trails	1,311,591	•	266,000	780,000	•	•	8,357,591
Sub-Total Governmental	47,523,750	3,649,963	668'000'6	20,521,333	16,926,829	15,492,068	119,243,995
			UTIL	<b>UTILITY ENTERPRISE</b>	hai .		
Environmental Services/Solid Waste	2,029,541		•		1	•	2,029,541
Wastewater	350,000	1,266,364	2,039,000	2,939,000	2,953,000	2,086,000	14,633,364
Water	5,843,707	6,763,518	12,942,757	17,959,617	17,498,820	17,108,135	78,116,554
Sub-Total Utility Enterprise	8,223,248	8,029,882	17,981,757	20,898,617	20,451,820	19,194,135	94,779,459
GRAND TOTAL CIP	55,746,999	11,679,845	26,982,656	41,419,950	37,378,649	34,686,203	214,023,453
					•	_	

SANTA FE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

Title/Description	Ongoing	CURRENT FY 2015/16	AMENDMENTS	FY 2016-017	FY 2017-18	FY 2017-18 FY 2018/019 FY 2019/020	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
			PROJECT	PROJECT EXPENDITURES BY FUNCIONAL CATEGORY	IRES BY FU	NCIONAL	CATEGORY		
AIRPORT			i						
Airport CIP Terminal Upgrades	×		222,222					222,222	222,222 Adjustments made 09/30 - 11/24/2015
Runway 2 20 south end	×					100,000	1,900,000	2,000,000	2,000,000 FAA 93.75%; State 3.125%; city match 3.125%
Apron crack and surface seal	×			200,000				200,000	500,000 FAA 93.75%; State 3.125%; city match 3.125%
Taxiway D reconstruction	×			800,000				800,000	800,000 FAA 93.75%; State 3.125%; city match 3.125%
Runway 10 28 rehabilitation	×			450,000				450,000	450,000 FAA 93.75%; State 3.125%; city match 3.125%
Runway 33 safety area	×					200,000		200,000	500,000 FAA 93.75%; State 3.125%; city match 3.125%
10/28 PAPI	×					250,000		250,000	
Airport-CIP Terminal Upgrades-Works in Progress - Design-	×	832,303					3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	832,303	832,303 Newspaper ads in Sept.; award bid in October, 2015 — Schedule Critical (Other
Termina   Improvements	×	005	(200)			41.5	•	•	Newspaper ads in Sept.; award bid in October, 2015 Schedule Critical Funds
									were provided by existing funds
Taxiway G reconstruction	×			100,000	1,900,000			2,000,000	2,000,000 FAA fund 93.75%; State fund 3.125%-balance City 3.125%
			C. C	Company of the Compan	The second secon	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			

엳

### Ξ

### CITY OF SANTA FE 5-YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN FY 2015/16 - 2019-20

L_		<b>B</b> uj	> CURRENT							The state of the s
	Title/Description	o≇uO	Z FY 2015/16	AMENDMENTS	FY 2016-017	FY 2017-18	FY 2018/019	FY 2019/020	IOIAL	DESCRIPTION
۵	DRAINAGE					<u> </u>				
	Small Drainage Projects-Salaries	×	50,471						50,471	BAR Pending to move \$13,950 to Botulph
	Small Drainage Projects-Salaries	×	100,000						100,000	Salaries for temp engineering employees as assigned to various storm damage mediation/small drainage projects
<u>L.</u>	Small Drainage Projects-Remodeling & Replacement	×	2,291						2,291	2012 CIP Bond Funding remaining - completion of Botulph Rd Storm damage mediation - CIP 820 B/C
1	Small Drainage Projects-Works in Progress - Design	×	31,562						31,562	
	Small Drainage Projects-Works in Progress - Desig	×	100,000						100,000	100,000 For storm damage mediation as needed
	Small Drainage Projects-Works in Progress - Construction	×	26,774						26,774	26,774 For storm damage mediation as needed
<u> </u>	Small Drainage Projects-Works in Progress - Construction	×	800,000	(					800,000	Anticipated construction costs of \$150,000 for CIP #412A SF River Bank Improvements
L	Alameda Rain Garden - River Conservation Fund		×		75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	300,000	300,000 Restricted revenue account funded
	Strom Drainage Study		×		30,000				30,000	30,000 Basic consultation to help develop a priority of projects/risks
	Arroyo assessment	×			10,000			10,000	20,000	20,000   2012 GO Bond "Arroyo Rehabilitation"
	Arroyo Chamiso Drainage Improvement CIP 413D - Design	×	8,000						2,000	5,000 Additional design fees for sewer utility
	Arroyo Assessment	×	10,000						10,000	10,000 SF Watershed Association survey and update of 2012 arroyo assessment
ļ_	Arroyo de los Pinos - Watershed Maintenance- Design	×	20,000						20,000	50,000 Anticipated costs for arroyo stabilization with streets division oversight
	SF River Errosion at La Joyas - Watershed Maintenance	×	20,000	1					50,000	50,000 Eroded bank stabilization anticipated design
L.,	Watershed Maintenance - Design	×	906'95						26,906	
	Arroyo Chamiso Drainage Improvement - Watershed Maintenance - Construction-CIP 4130	×	1,100,000						1,100,000	1,100,000 Anticipated construction costs (to include sewer relocation costs)
-	Arroyo de los Pinos - Watershed Maintenance	×	100,000						100,000	100,000 Anticipated costs for arroyo stabilization with streets division oversight
	SF River Errosion at La Joyas Watershed Maintenance	×	100,000						100,000	100,000 Eroded bank stabilization anticipated construction
	Santa Fe River Trail Repair - Nopal Drainage - Watershed	×	117,100						117,100	117,100 CIP 428A Santa Fe River Trail Repair - Nopal Drainage
	ואפונורניופווירפ - רסויאו תרווסויריו אלפא									

### HEAVY EQUIPMENT

### CITY OF SANTA FE

FY 2015/16 - 2019-20

3	
₹.	
E	
꿃	2
Σ	į
Ä	3
õ	è
2	
Σ	697
3	ļ
Ē	Ì
Δ,	٠
5	í
¥	
9	
Ý	

Title/Description	Ungolng	CURRENT FY 2015/16	AMENDMENTS	FY 2016-017 FY 2017-18 FY 2018/019 FY 2019/020	FY 2017-18	FY 2018/019	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
HEAVY EQUIPMENT									
Street Pavement Rehabilitation Heavy Equipment Acquisition	×		200,000	1,500,000	000'006	000'006	000'006	4,700,000	4,700,000 Increase in existing budget for capital equipment acquisition
Paved Street Rehab-Vehicles > 1.5 Tons-Graders	×	190,159						190,159	190,159 Dump Truck with Snow Plow and Spreader Boxes
	ı								

# **BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES**

### CITY OF SANTA FE 5-YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN FY 2015/16 - 2019-20

Title/Description	gnlognO	CURRENT FY 2015/16	IT AMENDMENTS	NTS FY 2016-017		FY 2017-18	FY 2018/019	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
BUILDING and FACILITIES										
Replace projectors in SFCCC meeting rooms	×				52,000				52,000	Convention Center
Removal of planters fr terrace - SFCCC	×				250,000				250,000	
Surveillance camera upgrade - SFCCC	×				0000				90,000	Convention Center
Fire Station 1 (200 Murales Road		×	***************************************		-	300,000	1,200,000		1,500,000	
Fire Station #1 - Repairs-Remodel & Replace - Parking Lot	×		62,700		+				62,700	
Fire Training Facility	+	×	The second section of the sect		Contract of the Contract of th	20,000	1,450,000		1,500,000	
Fire Station 9 (Camino Entrada)		×		-		-	\$0,000	450,000	200,000	
Southwest Fire Station (Annexation)	1	×					900,000	3,900,000	4,500,000	
Consolidated City Facilities		×			30,000				30,000	
Police Complex	+		37,	37,500					37,500	_
Pub Safety Special Revenue-Works in Progress - Design- SouthSide Transit & PD- Design	×		87,340 (87,3	(87,340)		and the second s			(0)	Open PO is for furit zollars not included is construction administration. "Shared with Transit Division (see below/this page). This figure is included in the two above \$123,009 and needs to be removed from here. It was part of the >\$50,000 page.
Police HQ HVAC Upgrade						250,000				Camino Entrada
DWI Forfeiture Program-Remodel & Replace - Seizure Lot	×	- <del></del>	40,159						40,159	Open PO is for ATI installation of lights & cameras.
Professional Standards File Storage System		X 3C	30,000						30,000	Reallocated 2014 CIP Bond funds, Storage System funded with other
DW/ Forfeiture Program-Remodel & Replace - Seizure Lot	×	- S	50,000						20,000	Construction cost for utility connects.
SouthSide Transit & PD- Design	×	25	50,000 (50,000)	(000	-				-	Open PO is for Hultt Zollars not included is construction administration
Police Dept. Seizure Lot Project	×	S	20,000						20,000	Construction cost for utility connects.
Works in Progress - WIP Design	×	S		(5,243)					(0)	Design of construction for front entry
GCCC Condensation Study and Design	×	10	10,278						10,278	
CIP #507N Natatorium Dehumidification System Remodeling - CONSTRUCTION - ACS controls	×	33	33,049		<del></del>				33,049	Current contract with ASC for GCCC dehumidification unit controls. Work will be complete in Septemeber.
Works in Progress - Design GCCC	×	85	85,000						85,000	HVAC systems; dectron units; bollers
Progressive Reroof - GCCC	×	ß	50,000						50,000	Construction began in August & to be completed in September
Ft. Marcy Pool Deck Replacement		×	15,0	15,000					15,000	
SalPerez	1		70,0	70,000		200		+	70,000	
piconsocial and plan series and ella	+	< >			-	200,55	†		25,000	Parks & Recreation
Replace over floor Et many rec complex	-	×			-	25.00	-		25,000	Parks & Recreation
5 - Parking Lot - Construction Ft. Marcy & Sal Perez	-	×			-	210.960	73.980	!	284.940	
Specialised capital Equipment - Ft. Marcy & Sal Perez	+					126,000	92,240		218,240	
Remodeling & Replacement-Remodel and Replace	×	25	25,000		-				25,000	Purchase materials for repair and remodel using DFA funds
Remodeling & Replacement-GCCC Hays Plumbing	×	19	19,862						19,862	
Remodeling & Replacement-GCCC Hays Plumbing	×	20	20,412						20,412	Project Begain August 24 and to be completed in September
Remodeling & Replacement-Remodel and Replace	×	25	25,989						686,52	Repair and maintenance of building
Remodel & Replace -GCCC Progressive Reroof	×	199	199,810						018,661	
Remodeling & Replacement-GCCC Hays Plumbing	×	216	216,633						216,633	Project Begain August 24 and to be completed in September
Remodeling & Replacement-Fire Alarm System GCCC	×	90	20,638						20,638	
Remodeling & Replacement-CIP #507N GCCC Natatorium Dehumidification System Remodeling - CONSTRUCTION	×	760	002,097			- 141 11		ad salasakina	760,700	Current contract with RRD industies for construction underway at the GCCC Mede 5597,614.2 for August billing and \$192,091.16 for final payment that will be due to Contract the contract of th
Design-CIP #507N GCCC Nat Dehumidification System	×	5	5,243						5,243	<u> </u>
lueinooming	+									

Title/Description	anlo <b>an</b> O	2 CURRENT 2 FY 2015/16	AMENDMENTS	FY 2016-017	FY 2017-18	FY 2018/019	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
Parking lot resurfacing - GCCC		×			315,000			315,000	Parks & Recreation
Gallery remodle - storefront - GCCC	_	×				000'9		6,000	į
Locker/shower room floor replacement - GCCC		×				40,000		40,000	Parks & Recreation
Interior Floor Resurfacing - GCCC		×				72,000		72,000	Parks & Recreation
Mondo floor replacement - GCCC		×			25,000			25,000	Parks & Recreation
Play zone multi-age furniture - GCCC		×			1,000	15,000		16,000	Parks & Recreation
Weight room requipment - GCCC	-	×					20,000	20,000	Parks & Recreation
Elliptical equipment replacement - GCCC		×					20,000	20,000	Parks & Recreation
SciFit uper body exerciser - GCCC		×					10,000	10,000	
SciFit recumbent steppers - GCCC		×					12,000	12,000	Parks & Recreation
Ice arena interior perimeter drain system - GCCC		×			20,000			50,000	
Ice arena perimeter rubber flooring - GCCC		×				120,000		120,000	Parks & Recreation
Exterior snow melt pit - GCCC		×			20,000			20,000	Parks & Recreation
Zamboni room floor drainage and resurface - GCCC		×			20,000			20,000	Parks & Recreation
Construct an ice arena rear door entrance - GCCC	-	_					100,000	100,000	
Replace CO <sup>2</sup> chemical tank & feed system · GCCC	-	×			18,000			18,000	Parks & Recreation
Refurbish leisure pool green slide - GCCC	L	×			25,000			25,000	Parks & Recreation
Diving board replacement - GCCC		×			45,000			45,000	Parks & Recreation
Removal of epoxy deck coating - GCCC		×			15,000			15,000	Parks & Recreation
Replaster lap pool - GCCC	-	×				110,000		110,000	Parks & Recreation
Refurbish frog slid and tongue - GCCC		×			25,000			25,000	
Replaster leisure pool - GCCC		×				80,000		80,000	
Replace natatorium ADA pool water lifts - GCCC		×						•	Parks & Recreation
Machinery/EquipMRC-Repair and Maintenance	×	4,875	35					4,875	Funds needed to repair equipment to maintain Facility at MRC.
Insulated Outdoor Maintenance Shed - MRC Golf		×			10,000			000'01	
Pave Parking lot and Roadway - MRC Golf		×					150,000	150,000	
Repair/Reseal pedestrian sidewalk/pathways - MRC Golf	_	×					20,000	20,000	
Bunker Maintenance Renovation - MRC Golf		×			20,000	20,000		100,000	
Installation of permanent restroom - MRC Golf		×			15,000			15,000	
Upgrade restaurant cooking/food storage equip - MRC		×			32,500	32,500		65,000	
Remodel/Replace interior walls at mntc shop - MRC		×			10,000			10,000	
Repair/Reseal pedestrian sidewalk pathways - MRC		×					80,000	80,000	
Upgrade sports field lighting - MRC		×					100,000	100,000	
install shade structure at playground - MRC		×			30,000			30,000	
install permanent restroom at hardball fields - MRC Soccer		×			15,000			15,000	
Install permanent restroom at hardball fields other - MRC					35,000			35,000	
Soccer					and the			200/00	
Renovate restroom facilities at softball & soccer flelds - MRC Soccer		×			100,000		Marine and ok	100,000	
Playground retrofit - MRC Soccer		×			45,000			45,000	
Installation of bleacher shade structures - MRC Soccer		×			63,750			63,750	
Playground Replacement - Ft. Marcy	×					152,800	78,400	231,200	
Fort Marcy HVAC Construction		×	175,000					175,000	\$175,000 from CIP Bond Design cost is \$36,425 bal is \$138,575
SF Boys and Girls club			20,000					20,000	
Municipal Facility Repair-Service Contracts-City of Santa Fe	×	14,524	4.	erekt end end				14,524	CC approval on 8/26/15 and Notice to Proceed to be sent. Contract Total \$64,524
ASSET WAIGHERING FIRM				+			+		

### CITY OF SANTA FE 5-YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN FY 2015/16 - 2019-20

Title/Description	3nlo3nO	CURRENT 2 FY 2015/16	AMENDMENTS	FY 2016-017	FY 2017-18	FY 2018/019	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
Municipal Facility Repair-Equipment/Machinery (< \$5,000)- INVENTORY EXEMPT	×	000'08						80,000	Project reccomended was not done, filing system aiready in place. Funds will be reallocated for other projects.
Municipal Facility Repair-Remodeling & Replacement	×	4,130						4,130	Funds to complete project and pay invioces
Municipal Facility Repair-Remodeling & Replacement-CIP #646 Fire Station #5 Reroofing - CONSTRUCTION	×	66,174		-				66,174	Confract approved by Council. Requisition entered for \$64,662,56. Current contract with Progressive Roofing for construction to begin on 9/1/15 at Fire Station & Gue to construction season needs.
Municipal Facility Repair Remodeling & Replacement-CIP #646 Fire Station #5 Reroofing - DESIGN	×	3,205						3,205	Current contract with John Barton Architects , LLC for design of construction to begin on 9/1/15 at Fire Station #5
Municipal Facility Repair-Remodeling & Replacement-Fire Station #7 & Marty Sanchez Golf Course reroofing_DESIGN	×	373						373	Current contract with remaining funds needs for eleven month warranty inspections of these facility roofs
Municipal Facility Repair-Remodeling & Replacement-SILER RD BLDG C RE ROOF	×	89,602						89,602	Need \$89,500 for re-roofing of building
Municipal Facility Repair-Remodeling & Replacement- CARLOS ORTEGA TEEN CTR KITCHEN	×	20,000						20,000	Need \$50,000 for the remodel of kitchen
rogress - Construction-	×	108,040				The state of the s		108,040	Air conditioning, class room and office renovation at Fire Station #5.
provements-Works in Progress - isa Senior Center(Luisa Renovation)-	×	178,881						178,881	Construction cost of project and remaining grant balance of \$179,434.71 to be expended by 6/30/16.
Senior Center Improvements Works in Progress - Construction-Luisa Senior Center(Luisa Computer Lab)- Construction	×	131,233						131,233	Construction cost of project and remaining grant balance of \$131,786.49 to be expended by 6/30/16.
Improvements-Works in Progress - Villa Consuelo Senior Center(Villa Consuelo Construction	×	98,697	-					98,697	Construction cost of project and remaining grant balance of \$99,250.52 to be expended by 6/30/16.
vWorks in Progress - enter(Computer Lab addition)	×	120,349						120,349	Construction cost of project and remaining grant balance of \$127,849.49 to be expended to 6/30/16.
Senior Citizen Center RenovWorks in Progress - Construction-MEG Senior Center(Warehouse)	×	290,132						290,132	Construction cost of project and remaining grant balance of \$297,632.25to be expended to 6/30/16.
	×	140,850						140,850	Construction cost of project and remaining grant balance of \$140,850.00 to be expended to 6/30/16.
FCIP #530C Ft.	×	114,394	And the state of t					114,394	Current contract with ESA Construction for construction underway at Ft. Marcy including CO#1 to be added to PO.  Need \$115,376 for final payment that will be due in September.
<del> </del>	×	1,753						1,753	Current contract with John Barton Architects, LLC for design of construction underway at Ft. Marcy.
nent-O&M 108 city	×	20,000						20,000	City wide pool repairs to be done by facilities staff
entennial Pool-Remodeling & Replacement - Roof Repair	×	000'6						000'6	Begin and complete before November. Time sensative due to weather
Bicentennial Pool-Works in Progress - Design-WIP Design	×	42,603						42,603	Design of pool entry ways, recreation center projects
Parks & Recreation-Equipment/Machinery -CIP #400/NMCAP L-14-2005 Santa Fe Parks Playground Shade Structures -	×	199,913						199,913	199,913.49 needed (projected) for shade materials to be procured before installation bid acceptance due to construction season needs.

Title/Description	SniognO	2 CURRENT 2 FY 2015/16	AMENDMENTS	FY 2016-017	FY 2017-18	FY 2018/019	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
Parks & Recreation Works in Progress - Construction-CIP #400/NMCAP L-14-2005 Santa Fe Parks Playground Shade Structures - CONSTRUCTION	×	000'59						92,000	\$101,554.32 needed (projected) for shade installation, (currently out to biol) and related work due to construction season needs. Requisition entered for Acheological monitoring for \$4,895.48. \$12,008.81 aiready encumbered for safesy audits after installation.
SWANN Phase 2 - Design	×	501,290				5,200,000		5,701,290	5,701,290 Newspaper ads for RFP followed by award of contract
City of Santa Fe Asset Management Plan	×	50,000						20,000	50,000 [CC approval on 8/26/15 and Notice to Proceed to be sent. Total \$64,524
Luisa Senior Center(Luisa Reno/Com Lab/VC Reno) - Design	×	3,287			.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			3,287	3,287 Open PO is for Autotroph design
MEG Senior Center(Computer Lab addition)- Design	×	7,500						7,500	7,500 Estimated cost for design completion
MEG Senior Center(Warehouse)- Design	×	2,500						7,500	7,500 Estimated cost for design completion
Southside Transit Center Upgrade design - Police Share		x 123,209						123,209	123,209 From PD Cash split 50/50 with Transt for total of \$246,417
Southside Transit Center Upgrade Design - Transit Share		×	123,209					123,209	\$123,209 is from Grant #NM-04-0009; work to be done in july-aug 2015 Total contract for design is \$246,417 split with PD.
Parks Playground Shade Structures CIP #400/NMCAP - CONSTRUCTION	×	20,000						000'05	\$101,554.32 needed (projected) for shade installation (currently at bid) and related work due to construction season needs. Requisition entered for Archeological monitoring for \$4,895.48. \$12,008.81 already encumbered is for
The state of the s						- Critical Assessment Assessment Control Control	-		the saftey audits after installation.
Villa Consuelo Senior Center	-	×		147,900				147,900	147,900 #A14-1261 Aging and LT Svc Grant
MEG Senior Center - Warehouse & equipment	_	×		178,100				178,100	78,100 Aging Agency #A14-1258 \$178,100
Salvador Perez security system	_	x 10,000						10,000	10,000 2014 CIP Bond
Siringo Road build C Archives-bld roof asbestos		×			000'09			000'09	60,000 Funding needed for abatement
Siringo Road build C Archives-bld roof replacement	_	×			90'09			000'09	60,000 Funding needed to replace roof
Siler Environmental Services - Welding bay upgrades		x 50,000						20,000	50,000 2014 CIP Bond
Salvador Perez pool lighting upgrade		30,000						30,000	30,000 2014 CIP Bond
Salvador Perez - Deck Drains		x 50,000						20,000	50,000 2014 CIP Bond

Title/Description	anioan0	wan C F	CURRENT FY 2015/16	AMENDMENTS	FY 2016-017	FY 2017-18	FY 2018/019	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
TECHNOLOGY										
Broadband Infrastructure-Employee Benefits-CIP Broadband Project Manager Payroll	×		1,668		•			No. 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	1,668	Telecom Service Agreement expires 03/06/2018 - \$45,890 already c/f on BAR 15.08.007.CC
Broadband Infrastructure-Works in Progress - CIP Construction	×		295,478						295,478	295,478 see above
Broadband infrastructure-Works in Progress - CIP Construction	×		400,000						400,000	see above
CIP Broadband - Project Manager Payroll	×		38,701						38,701	Expand project to St. Michael's Drive. \$158K of work complete prior to FY 2014- 15. \$1.4 million total budget: \$1,000,000 allocated from 2012 CIP Bond, \$400,000 allocated from 2014 CIP Bond.
CP Broadband - Project Manager Payroll	×		320						320	see above
CIP Broadband - Project Manager Payroll	×		1,547						1,547	see above
CIP Broadband - Project Manager Payroll	×		8,584						8,584	see above
CIP Broadband - Project Manager Payroll	×		836						836	see above
CIP Broadband - Project Manager Payroll	×		12						12	see above
Network Upgrades-ITT-Professional Contracts	×		25,154						25,154	25,154 Carry Forward from FY 14/15 - City-Wide IT projects
Network Upgrades-ITT-Data Processing Equipment	×		455,831						455,831	455,831 Carry Forward from FY 14/15 - City-Wide IT projects
Modernization of City Systems	×		256,419						256,419	256,419 Carry Forward from FY 14/15
City IT Hardware Platform Upgrade (Iseries)		×			89,593	89,593	89,593	89,593	358,372	
City Data Center Modernization		×			350,000	575,000	,	٠	925,000	
City Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) System Replacement		×			400,000	375,907	488,000	'	1,263,907	
Land Use Community Development System Modernization		×			•		313,000	•	313,000	313,000 Mv FY 17/18 expense \$430K to GRT Bond Expense
Public Safety Land Mobile Radio System Upgrade		×			150,000				150,000	mv fy 17/18, 18/19; 19/20, expense to GRT Bond Exp \$750K each yr
City Constituent Services 311 Program		×			10,000	47,500	57,500	35,000	150,000	
Public Safety Land Mobile Radio System Upgrade		×				750,000	750,000	750,000	2,250,000	
Land Use Community Development System Modernization		×			•	208,000		,	208,000	
City Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) System Replacement		×				706,962		-	206'66Z	
Billing system N. Harris	×		30,725						30,725	Utility System Upgrade
Infosend Bills	×		3,099						3,099	Utility System Upgrade
System Equipment - Badger Meter project	×	-	3,042,730						3,042,730	Utility System Upgrade
Data Processing Equipment N. Harris	×	-	109,512						109,512	Utility System Upgrade
Service Contracts-Infosend Bills	×	_	100,686						100,686	100,686 Utility System Upgrade

<del>|</del>

TRANSIT

.

L	Title/Description	BniognO W9M	CURRENT FY 2015/16	T AMENDMENTS	MENTS	FY 2016-017	FY 2017-18	FY 2017-18 FY 2018/019	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
Œ	TRANSIT										
	Transit Federal Grants-Works in Progress - Design-Huitt Zollars		24	246,417 (2	(246,417)					(0)	Southside Transit Cener design-not sure about completion date. "Shared with (0) Police Department (see above/this page) This is a double entry for the two \$123,209 above
( 	Transit Federal Grants-Works in Progress - Design-SouthSide Transit & PD- Design		80	87,340	(87,340)					(0)	(0) THIS Is alrady included in the two above \$123,209
1.	Downtown Transit Center-Works in Progress - Design-Wilson and Co		11	118,744 (	(14,935)				AMAN 11 P. AL	103,809	Design will restart in Sept.; funded by FTA grant The \$19,279 plus the \$103,809 equil the grant balance of \$123088
İ	Transit Bus-Federal Grant-Works in Progress - Design-CNG Fuel Station Upgrade Project		1,30	1,308,503						1,308,503	
	SouthSide Transit & PD- Design		ις.	20,000 (1	(20,000)					•	Open PO is for Huitt Zollars not included is construction administration This figure is included in the two above \$123,209 and needs to be removed from finere. It was part of the >\$50,000 BAR
	Downtown Transit Center		1	6/2/61						19,279	о доступность доступность по выполня доступность по выполня в поступность в по
	Post Stephen			<b>66</b>						990	
	Bus Shelters Phase 2			200						500	Newspaper ads in Sept.; award bid in October 2015 - Schedule Critical
	Autotroph		т 	35,038						35,038	35,038 SF Trails bus shelter Phase 2 design in progress; design completion late July 2015
1	Creative bus sales			647						647	Fix bus seats
	Fleet management system	×					47,000			47,000	(A) (1884 ) Out that a few to the second sec
	Purchase 2 ADA vans for sf pickup	×					268,930	268,930		537,860	
	Facility entry card security system	×					53,286	53,286		106,572	AND THE PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
	Repave transit facility	×							350,000	350,000	
	Downtown Transit Center-Works in Progress - Design-Wilson and Co					302,711	2,600,000			2,902,711	2,902,711 Design will restart in Sept.; funded by FTA grant
1	Transit Bus-Federal Grant-Equipment/Machinery -Bus Shelters Phase 2		37	371,450	(10,338)					361,112	Advertise for bids in Sept, 2015; Federal grant of \$318,082 plus City match of \$79,520.50.
į	Transit Bus-Federal Grant-Vehicles > 1.5 Tons-Gillig	_	3,22	3,229,884						3,229,884	
	Transit Bus-Federal Grant-Vehicles > 1.5 Tons-Creative Bus Sales		26	268,930						268,930	268,930 2 Arbocs arriving in October 2015
	Replacement of 3 para transit vans SFPU	×		17	192,834					192,834	\$154,268 funded through 5310 funds
H	Replacement of bus aging fleet	×				1,400,000	1,400,000	1,400,000	1,400,000	5,600,000	
	Parking access & rev control system (PARCS)	×					200,000		80,000	280,000	en de de la companya
	Bus stop phase 3	×				522,458	70,000			592,458	592,458 DOT/FED funding \$473,749; city match \$118,709

# **OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE**

### CITY OF SANTA FE 5-YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN FY 2015/16 - 2019-20

Title/Description	gnlognO weld	2 CURRENT 2 FY 2015/16	AMENDMENTS	FY 2016-017	FY 2017-18	FY 2018/019	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE					1,769,216				
Affordable Housing Infrastructure - Tierra Contenta		161,732				-		161,732	PSA expires 12/31/2015
Tierra Contenta (CIP)		38,268						38,268	38,268 PSA expires 12/31/2015
Equipment/Machinery Rental-Portable restroom for city parks	×	52,452					·	52,452	Parks & Recreation
	-								These amounts will be for August and September, at which time Parks wil
									request amount(s) through June 2016. Salaries/operating/etc. supplies for Parks
CLASSIFIED FULL TIME	×	78,393						78,393	
						****			systems, medians, parks, and open spaces throughout the budget year. Cash halance in 27754 \$1.650 355
Salaries-SALARIES	×	1,274,325					-	1 274 325	Parks & Recreation
Professional Contracts	×	3.773							Parks & Recreation
Professional ContractS	×	30,000			†			30,000	Parks & Recreation
Communication	×	67					-	62	Parks & Recreation
Communication	×	7,200						7,200	Parks & Recreation
Furniture/Fixtures-REP. MAINT	×	086	The state of the s					086	Parks & Recreation
Furniture/Fixtures-REP. & MAINT	×	8,600				-		8,600	Parks & Recreation
Machinery/EquipREP. MAINT	×	4,451					-	4,451	Parks & Recreation
Machinery/EquipREP. & MAINT	×	000'02				-		70,000	Parks & Recreation
Vehicles-REP. & MAINT VEHICLES	×	10,000						10,000	Parks & Recreation
Parks Maintenance-Operating Supplies	×	10,411		-				10,411	Parks & Recreation
Operating Supplies	×	77,432						77,432	Parks & Recreation
Equipment/Machinery (< \$5,000)-INVENTORY EXEMPT	×	26,410						26,410	Parks & Recreation
Municipal Recreation Complex-Operating Supplies	×	65,603						69'59	Operating Funds used to maintain the Golf Course (tools, fertilizer, irragtion
Remodeline & Benjacement	>	33 538						32 536	Supplies, seed, saild etc
Section 2 & School 1	•	00000			The second second second second				Palks & Neuralum  Palking 9. Excilition - AMAC Modification for the second seco
Remodeling & Replacement-Remodel and Replace (O&M)	×	1,506,957						1,506,957	boundings be required: Investigation of the properties and or repairs; secretarist and or repairs; from the properties with the specifical winds; lights, panels; plumbing/tollets/faucets/ water lines; flower will continue continue to the properties of the properti
Municipal Facility Repair-Salaries	×	128.727					-	128.727	Funding to nav focals for work/renaits done alto wide
Municipal Facility Repair-Repair & Maint	×	2,001						2.001	Finds needed to renair and maintain rity buildings
Bunding/structures	+				-	+	-		
Municipal Facility Repair-Remodeling & Replacement-O & M on 108 buildings	×	187,045						187,045	Need \$50K to cover the outstanding amount plus \$100,000 for any O&M filters, methanical, electrical, plumbing repairs to over 100 buildings
Municipal Facility Repair-Works in Progress - Design-WIP Design	×	175,000						175,000	HVAC/boiler and mechanical improvements and/or repairs; electrical wiring; lights; panels; plumbing/toilets/faucets/ water lines; floors, walks; celling repair
Sal Perez-Salaries	×	10,001						10,001	10,001 Funding to pay locals for work/repairs done city wide
Sal Perez-Service Contracts-Service Contracts	×	2,700				-		2,700	2,700 Funds to support maintenance contracts for boilers and HVAC Systems
Sal Perez-Equipment/Machinery (> \$5,000)	×	31,223						31,223	Purchase equipment
Sal Perez-Equipment/Machinery (< \$5,000)-INVENTORY EXEMPT	×	41,077						41,077	41,077 Purchase equipment for city wide projects
Sal Perez-Remodeling & Replacement	×	9,180		:	i			9.180	9.180 Purchase of exterior lights and repairs to cive buildings
Cal Darra Damodaline O Danisamon (OC 14)	>	24 000		-			-	030 00	

Title/Description	gnlognO	2 CURRENT 2 FY 2015/16	AMENDMENTS	FY 2016-017	FY 2017-18	FY 2018/019	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
Sal Perez-Remodeling & Replacement	×	175,000						175,000	HVAC/boiler and mechanical improvements and/or repairs; electrical wiring, lights; panels; plumbing/toilets/faucets/ water lines; floors, walls; ceiling repair
Salvador Perez Shower Tile Replacement	×	4,044						4,044	Project to begin Septembert
Fort Marcy Locker Room Door Replace	×	1,865						1,865	Quote Obtained - Requisition to be entered. Project to begin September
O&M 108 city bldgs	×	315,000					_	315,000	Continuing projects city wide
INVENTORY EXEMPT	×	20000						20,000	Purchase equipment for city wide projects
O&M 108 city buildings	×	20,000						50,000	Continuing projects city wide
Signal Maintenance	×	45,115	277,500					322,615	Adjustments made 09/30 - 11/24/2015; State Legislative Funding
Signs, Paint	×	17,845	112,500		<u> </u>			130,345	Adjustments made 09/30 - 11/24/2015; Req #20171883/3M Library Systems
Sign, Paint, Signal-Operating Supplies-Sign, Paint, Signal	×	316,389						316,389	For operations and maintenance of Santa Fe pavement markings & signs
Road Sharrow Project-Remodeling & Replacement-Road Sharrow	×	32,856					an angun i a anna inta <b>agrapira a</b> grapi	32,856	For the implementation of thermoplastic retroerfective coad sharrows as directed by City Council. "Green Blue Lanes Pilot Projects"—various bicycle directed yoad sharrow projects as guided by ETAC, MPO, and City Council
Road Sharrow Project-Remodeling & Replacement-Road	×	20,000						20,000	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
Road Sharrow Project-Remodeling & Replacement-Road	×	37,510						37,510	
Starrow - Virginical Starrow Project-Remodeling & Replacement-Road Sharrow - Singo	×	107,308						107,308	
Railyard Dev Infrastructure-Other Consulting-Railyard Plaza Extension Completion ® VC Cinema	×	24,251						24,251	The balance of the Atcaldesa Street and Plaza extensions (2014 CIP funds). The funds will be used for a modified pedestrian rail track crossing, metal Bollards and Bike Racks.
Railyard Operations-Service Contracts-Railyard Operations (Service Contracts)	×	49,500						49,500	Improvement of Phase II - Stain and Seal the Street lights, bollards and benches in the North Railyard
Railyard Operations-Other Consulting-Railyard Events and Marketing	×	49,000						49,000	SFRCC for the Railyard Events and Marketing (Stage, Audio, lights and outdoor Mowie Screen, marketing ads, posters brochures etc.).
Road Sharrow - Bike Lanes Green - D&M Traffic Svcs	×	2							Reg# 20173242/D & M Traffic Services
Road Sharrow - Bike Lanes Green - San Bar	×	25,184						25,184	Req# 20172167/SanBar Construction/Waiting on signed PSA
moad sharrow - Annour rayment Unpaved Street Rehab-Professional Contracts-Unpaved Street	< ×	16,504					The state of the s		Mag Cloride - Dust Control for unpaved roads
Unpaved Street Rehab-Professional Contracts-Unpaved Street	×	28,937						28,937	Mag Cloride - Dust Control for unpaved roads
Unpaved Street Rehab-Remodeling & Replacement-Unpaved Street	×	48,400						48,400	Req# 20173235 for base course entered on Aug 5th - pending purchasing
Unpaved Street Rehab-Remodeling & Replacement-Unpaved	×	20,000						20,000	20,000 Base Course
Paved Street Resurfacing-Salaries-Paved Street Rehab	×	250,000						250,000	250,000 Temp Employees Salaries
Paved Street Resurfacing Repair & Maint Grounds/Roadways-Paved Street Rehab	×	14,995						14,995	NEED 5SK FROM THE \$19,995/Req #20173232 Entered August 5, 2015 for Redi- Mix Concrete
Paved Street Resurfacing-Remodeling & Replacement-Paved Street Rehab	×	440,269						440,269	Crack/fog seal repair
Paved Street Rehab	×	20,697						20,697	Arroyo Tenorio Drainage Repair
Paved Street Rehab	×	15,009						15,009	
Paved Street Rehab	×	8,638						8,638	Crack/fog seal repair (NOTE: \$440,269 spent this FY, but in >\$50K column herance it's not not not of immediate need <\$50K)

Title/Description	anioanO	CURRENT	AMENE	MENTS FY 2016-017 FY 2017-18 FY 2018/019 FY 2019/020	FY 2017-18	FY 2018/019	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
reet Rehab	×		5,000					2,000	NEED \$5k FROM THE \$19,995/Req #20173232 Entered August 5, 2015 for Redi- Mix Concrete
					, i				

	Title/Description	anioanO	WeW	CURRENT FY 2015/16	AMENDMENTS	FY 2016-017	FY 2017-18	FY 2018/019	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
PAR	PARKS & RECREATION		H								
S	Southwest Act Node Park	_	H		150,000					150,000	150,000 Adjustments made 09/30 - 11/24/2015
총	Skate Park	×		22,636						22,636	22,636 Salaries
<u> </u>	Skate Park	×		5,000						2,000	\$5,000 for advertising + continuing development/planning, Require remaining bal. after 8/27.
Ā	Arroyo Sonrisa	×	-	3,611						3,611	Salaries
Ā	Arroyo Sonrisa	×	-	16,700						16,700	Continuing development, Const. (possible BAR to/fr another project)
¥	Arroyo Sonrisa	×		20,000						20,000	Continuing development, Require remaining bal. to initiate BAR from another project.
- <del>G</del>	Portable restroom for city parks	×		29,400						29,400	this amount will be good for August and September payment at which time Parks wil request amount thru june
	SWANN Phace I		×		239 000		the same that th			039 000	Additional Shade Structure at the picnic areas, community Bulletin Board, and northing or Fencing at the Backethall Court to Keen Backethalls in the Backethall
			,								Court Area; landscape covering of water tanks
28	Salvador Perez Park Improvements (CIP #408D)		×		122,000	392,379				514,379	
8	Dancing Ground Phase II - Nava Ade South Park		×		54,605			98,050	999,025	1,151,680	1,151,680 2012 GO Bond \$54,605, Funding req fr contract, additional \$60,895
ථ	Carlos Ortega Teen Center fire suppressant system		×	100,000						100,000	100,000 2014 CIP Bond
S	Sports Fields	×					28,000	28,000		26,000	
Ě	Installation & monitoring of security cameras - MRC		×				-	80,000		80,000	
크	Upgrade irrigation system - MRC		×						35,000	35,000	
ន	Cart Path Renovation - MRC		×						350,000	350,000	
ก	Upgrade irrigation computer system - MRC		×				25,000			25,000	
3	Upgrade irrigation computer system - MRC		×				25,000			25,000	
ŋ	Upgrade irrigation system - MRC	_	×				30,000			30,000	
5	Upgrade irrigation pump system - MRC		×				30,000			30,000	
S.	Portable Stage	×	-					172,950		172,950	increase setup fee fr \$1,200 to \$4,000
<u>8</u>	Design-CIP #523A/NMCAP 1-14-2004 MRC Soccer Valley			154,508						154,508	154,508 Current contract for design of MRC Soccer Valley improvements
E	Improvements & Expansion		_								

ROADWAYS AND STREETS

### ଛ

	7				ive-				
Title/Description	aniognO wsM	CURRENT FY 2015/16	AMENDMENTS	FY 2016-017	FY 2017-18	FY 2018/019	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
ROADWAYS & STREETS									
Citywide Traffic Calming	-		75,000					75,000	Adjustments made 09/30 - 11/24/2015; State Legislative Funding
Cerrillos Road Project-Works in Progress - Design	×	119,739							
Cerrillos Road - Carlos Rey - St. Michaels - Construction	×	8,350,000						8,350,000	CIP810A, Ph IIC construction funds, bidding F15, Construction S16
Cerrillos Road Project-Works in Progress - Construction	×	156,000						156,000	572970 (Cash Budgeted) *CIP 810A, Ph IIc
Street Light Compliance-Remodeling & Replacement-	×	139,949						139,949	139,949 To identify and replace agin street lights with energy efficient LEO street lights
all Sidewalks Projects	×	20,000		***************************************				20,000	50,000 Awaiting MPO Ped Plan for priority list approved by CC
Small	×	106,373						106,373	Awaiting MPO Ped Plan for priority list approved by CC
rks in Progress - Construction-Small	×	450,000						450,000	Awaiting MPO Ped Plan for priority list approved by CC
ts-Remodel & Replace-Safety Misc.	×	45,448						45,448	Design and construction for various citywide roadway safety projects such as guardrali installation and maintenance, various pedestrian crossing upgrades
Safety Misc. Projects-Remodeling & Replacement-Safety Misc. Projects	×	240,000						240,000	Design and construction for various citywide roadway safety projects such as guardrail installation and maintenance; various pedestrian crossing upgrades
Safety Misc. Projects-Works in Progress - Design-Safety Misc. Projects	×	000'09						000'09	Design and construction for various citywide roadway safety projects such as guardrali installation and maintenance, various pedestrian crossing upgrades
Signal Maintenance-Remodeling & Replacement-Pedestrian signal upgrade	×	301,583						301,583	To bring City of Santa Fe into compliance with Federal standards for signalized pedestrian crossings
ance-Remodeling & Replacement-Signal	×	214,430						214,430	Req# 20173832 for Dealers Electrical Supply *Replace aging signal equipment - day to day purchases of materials, equipment and tools etc.
Botulph Road - Cold Milling-Works in Progress - Design- Botulph Road	×	13,940						13,940	James needs funds to close out this project - approximately \$18k/Amendment 08/07/2015 to be added \$8971.83 *\$torm damage mediation. Project Complete. Final Involce submitted and paid 9/17
Botulph Road - Cold Milling-Works in Progress - Construction-	×	74,220						74,220	James needs funds to close out this project - approximately \$18k/Amendment 08/07/2015 to be added \$8971.83. *Storm damage mediation. Project Complete. Final Invoice submitted and paid 9/17
Intersection Safety-Works in Progress - Design-Intersection Safety	×	357,301						357,301	Design and construction for various citywide intersection improvements
Paved Street Resurfacing-Remodeling & Replacement-ADA Ramp Improvements	×	200,000						200,000	Reparing or adding ADA ramps that are not compliant or non-existent on streets that were overlaid in 2014
Paved Street Resurfacing-Remodeling & Replacement-Paved Street Resurfacing	×	103,997						103,997	Reparing or adding ADA ramps that are not compliant or non-existent on streets that were overlaid in 2014
DeFouri Street Bridge Rehab-Professional Contracts-Bridge Rehab	×	1,183,989	(48,004)		T Mariana Mariana an			1,135,985	CIP 823 Defouri St & Guadalupe St Bridges Project: Design 99% complete. Bidding Fall 2015, Construction Early 2016. Funding: 2012 CIP, 2014 CIP, NMDOT
Road Sharrow Project-Remodeling & Replacement-Road Sharrow - San Mateo/Galisteo Project	×	60,638						869'09	
Road Sharrow Project-Remodeling & Replacement-Road Sharrow - Paseo de Peraita	×	000'08						80,000	
Railyard Operations-Other Consulting-Railyard Stewards	×	100,000		•			<b>CONTRACT</b>	100,000	These funds assist the Railyard Park Stewards fund their programs, I.e., marketing, yardmasters program, educational programs, horticultural programs and fund raisingleer Resolution.

	Title/Description	anioanO	CURRENT FY 2015/16	AMENDMENTS	FY 2016-017	FY 2017-18	FY 2018/019	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
	Railyard Parks-Service Contracts-Railyard Operations (service Contracts)	×	100,000	00					100,000	These funds are for new park concrete benches, paving parking area by Railyard 100,000 Residential area, signage plan within the North Railyard, new pedestrian rail track crossing. And Railyard Plazas
	SF R&T II/Arroyo Chamiso	×	đ	943					943	
	Agua Fria-Cottonwood Dr Instersection			200,002		1,000,000			1,200,000	
	Agua Fria-So. Meadows Intersection					200,000	1,200,000			The second secon
	Road Sharrow - AM Signal	×	12,747	47					12,747	FOR STATE ST
	Paved Street Resurfacing-Remodeling & Replacement-Paved Street Rehab	×	1,300,353	53		TOTAL SERVICE STATE		MIN 1 1 100 MIN 101 MI	1,300,353	\$1,300,353.15 is committed on PO's for project currently going on - est. time to completion 09/15/2015 takes into account the \$103,996.85 from Engineering Group for a total amount of \$1,403.349.44
	Paved Street Resurfacing-Remodeling & Replacement	××	61,607	70					61,607	61,607 Concrete Street Repair on Montezuma between Sandoval &Cerrillos Rd
Ī	Paved Street Resultacing-Nemodeling & Replacement Unbaved Street Rebah	< ×	49.070	3 2			+		100,000	100,000 Drainage structure and Curb and gutter repair
Ī	E. Alameda Pedestrian Improvements (CIP 460B)	-	X 389,585	83			-	-	389,585	389,585 CiP Bond fund (CIP 460B)
	Reconstruction of Zia Road (from St. Francis to Cerillos)	Ĥ	×		150,000	5,450,000			2,600,000	
	Sandoval-Montezuma Intersection Pedestrian Signal Upgrade							750,000		
	Galisteo Widening - San Mateo to Hospital	×	3,963	53					3,963	A COLUMN TO THE PROPERTY OF TH
	Galisteo Widening - San Mateo to Hospital	×	29,267	22					29,267	
	Old Santa Fe Trall Widening Project	×	3,249	49					3,249	3,249 Req# 20173354/GM Emulsion/Future funds needed for San Mateo,St. Fran&Galisteo, etc

TRAILS

### CITY OF SANTA FE 5-YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN FY 2015/16 - 2019-20

Trails-Works in Progress - Design-Acequia Trail Resign (Connections - Kathryn/Chate and Harrison X Trails-Works in Progress - Design-Acequia Trail Innerts Est Design-Berwices (Otow/Lujan to Madbovia X Trails-Wip - Construction-WayAnding X Trails-Wip - Construction-WayAnding X Trails-Wip - Construction- @ Harrison X Trails-Wip - Construction- @ Rathryn/Unate X Is Construction-Arroyo Chamiso Trail Xing X Si Construction-Arroyo Chamiso Trail Xing X Si Construction-Arroyo Chamiso Trail Xing X Si Stail Crossing-Wip - Construction-River Trail Si St Trail Construction Replacement Santa Fe X All Segments Remodeling & Replacement-Santa Fe X All Segments Remodeling & Replacement-Santa Fe	11,485 54,330 180,048 6,700 20,000 20,000 3,920,000 3,920,000 52,033 9,208					11,495 54,930 180,048 156,000 201,000 275,000 3,920,000 52,033 5	Pending requisition 7/14/15 add to balance plus anticipated construction engineering. Amendment 08/07/2015 added to balance  Anticipated design/Construction engineering  Anticipated design/construction engineering  Anticipated construction  Anticipated construction  Anticipated construction  Anticipated construction  Anticipated construction  Anticipated construction  Federal and City Coop Agreement for cons. Management and construction includes IMIDOT-CMAQ.  Santa Fe Rail Trail Crack/fig seal repair  Santa Fe Rail Trail Concrete slab repair at Stiringo Crossing, to be procured when
Trails-Works in Progress - Design-Acequia Trail Resign (Connections - Kathryn/Onate and Harrison X Trails-Works in Progress - Design-Acequia Trail Innents Est Design-Acequia Trail Innents Est Design-Acequia Trail Trails-Wip - Construction-WayAnding X Trails-Wip - Construction-WayAnding X Trails-Wip - Construction- @ Harrison X Trails-Wip - Construction- @ Fathryn/Onate X Is Construction-Arroyo Chamiso Trail Xing X Is Construction-Arroyo Chamiso Trail Xing X Stail Crossing-Wip - Construction-River Trail Stail Crossing-Wip -	11,495 54,930 180,048 6,700 201,000 275,000 275,000 3,920,000 122,484 52,033					24,930 24,930 180,048 156,000 275,000 3,920,000	
Trails-Works in Progress - Design-Acequia Trail ments Est Design Services (Otow/Lujan to Maclovia X Trails-Wilp - Construction-WayAndring X Trails-Wilp - Construction-WayAndring X Trails-Wilp - Construction- @ Harrison X Trails-Wilp - Construction - @ Harrison X Sis Construction - @ Nathryn/Onate X Sis Construction- @ Nathryn/Onate X Sis Construction- Arroyo Chamiso Trail Xing Si Trail Crossing-Wilp - Construction-River Trail X Gossing from Acequia Trail to SF Railyard X ST and Inconsignation - Construction-River Trail X Gossing Milp - Construction-River Trail X All Segments Remodeling & Replacement-Santa Fe X	54,930 180,048 6,700 1156,000 201,000 275,000 275,000 275,000 3,920,000 5,2,033 9,208					54,930 180,048 180,048 275,000 3,920,000 1122,484	
Trails-WIP - Design-Acequia Trail - Rufina to San X Trails-WIP - Construction-Wayfinding X Trails-WIP - Construction-@ Harrison X Trails-WIP - Construction-@ Kathryn/Conate X Is Construction-@ Kathryn/Conate X Is Construction-Arrayo Chamiso Trail Xing X Is Construction-Arrayo Chamiso Trail Xing X Crossing from Acequia Trail to SF Railyard X S Trail Crossing-WIP - Construction-River Trail X R S Trail Construction-River Trail X All Segments Remodeling & Replacement-Santa Fe X	180,048 6,700 116,000 201,000 275,000 1275,000 122,484 52,033					180,048 6,700 116,000 201,000 275,000 3,920,000 112,484	
Trails-WIP - Construction-Wayfinding X  Trails-WIP - Construction-@ Harrison X  Trails-WIP - Construction-@ Kathryn/Onate X  Is Construction-Arrayo Chamiso Trail Xing X  St Trail Crossing-WIP - Construction-CIP #859A St. X  Crossing from Acequia Trail to SF Railyard X  S Trail Crossing-WIP - Construction-River Trail X  © St Francis Dr. All Segments Remodeling & Replacement Santa Fe X	156,000 201,000 201,000 275,000 3,920,000 122,484 52,033 9,208					6,700 156,000 201,000 275,000 3,920,000 122,484 52,033	
Trails-WIP - Construction- @ Harrison X  Trails-WIP - Construction- @ Kathyr/Unate X  Is Construction-Arroyo Chamiso Trail Xing X  S Trail Crossing-WIP - Construction-CIP #859A St. X  Crossing from Acequia Trail to SF Railyard X  S Trail Crossing-WIP - Construction-River Trail X  All Segments Remodeling & Replacement-Santa Fe X	1156,000 201,000 275,000 3,920,000 1122,484 52,033 9,208					156,000 201,000 275,000 3,920,000 122,484 52,033	
Trails-WIP - Construction - @ Kathryn/Conate X is Construction-Arrayo Chamiso Trail Xing X is Trail Crossing-WIP - Construction-Clif #899A St. Crossing from Acequia Trail to SF Railyard S Trail Construction-River Trail X All Segments Remodeling & Replacement-Santa Fe X	201,000 275,000 3,920,000 112,484 52,033					201,000 275,000 3,920,000 112,484 52,033	
is Construction-Arroyo Chamiso Trail Xing X s Trail Crossing-WIP - Construction-CIP #859A St. Crossing-Ymp - Construction-River Trail X S Trail Crossing-WIP - Construction-River Trail X -All Segments Remodeling & Replacement-Santa Fe	275,000 3,920,000 122,484 52,033 9,208					3,920,000 122,484 52,033	
s Trail Crossing-WIP - Construction-CIP #859A St. X Crossing from Acequia Trail to SF Railyard s Trail Crossing WIP - Construction-River Trail X All Segments Remodeling & Replacement-Santa Fe  x	3,920,000 122,484 52,033 9,208					3,920,000	
s Trail Crossing-WIP - Construction-River Trail  © St Francis Dr  -All Segments-Remodeling & Replacement-Santa Fe	122,484 52,033 9,208					122,484	
-All Segments-Remodeling & Replacement-Santa Fe	52,033					52,033	
Rail Trail	9,208	A SAME OF THE SAME			-		
Rail Trail-All Segments-Remodeling & Replacement-Santa Fe X Rail Trail						802'6	
Rail Trail-All Segments-Works in Progress - Design-CIP #854A X Rail Trail Extension Alta Vista to Pen Road - design	22,921					22,921	Task 2 - Design Engineering services through anticipated construction phase
Rail Trail-All Segments-Works in Progress - Construction-CIP #854A Rail Trail Extension Alta Vista to Pen Road - construction	400,000					400,000	400,000 Anticipated construction
River Trail-Works in Progress - Design-CiP S008 - Santa Fe X River Cross Vane Repair - design	40,000					40,000	Anticipated design
River Trail-Works in Progress - Construction-Santa Fe River X Trail Improvements	250,000					250,000	Anticipated construction
River Trail-Works in Progress - Construction-CIP 500B - Santa X Fe River Cross Vane Repair - construction	150,000					150,000	Anticipated construction
Construction-Santa Fe River Trail Improvements X	152,120					152,120	Req# 20173358/Souder Miller & Associates - See BU 32434
Arroyo Mascaras-WiP - Construction-Las Mascaras Trail X	74,127					74,127	
Citywide Bike Lane Wayfinding-Remodeling & Replacement- X City Wide Bike Lanes	4,037			······································		4,037	Ongoing project to add bike lanes citywide
Camino Carlos Rey - Citywide Bike Lane Wayfinding- X Remodeling & Replacement	000'09					000'09	Anticipated installation costs
enance	30,000					30,000	Arroyo maint prot of existing infrastructure w/city streets div oversight
	22,226					22,226	Anticipated design costs
	46,871					46,871	
Account Trail - Otowi to Maclovia Park		160,000	85,000		+	126,000	2012 GO Bond Funded
Canada Rincon Trail		65,000	150,000	-		215,000	
Acequia Trail Improvements Design (Connections - x   Kathryn/Onate & Harrison Road)	43,776		***************************************			43,776	Pending requisition 7/14/15 add to balance plus anticipated construction engineering: Amendment 08/07/2015 added to balance

Ttle/Description	galogeO	WSN	CURRENT FY 2015/16	AMENDMENTS	FY 2016-017	FY 2017-18	FY 2017-18 FY 2018/019 FY 2019/020	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
Arroyo Chamiso Trail Extension at SF Place	×		20,662						20,662	20,662 Amendment 08/07/2015 to be added for \$12,160.63 anticipated designfees
Frances Crossing from Acequia Trail to SF Railyard - CIP #859A St. (final design carryover)	×		17,338						17,338	In addition to open PO need \$100,000 for Desirae's crossing project - contractor on hold
Alta Vista to Pen Road - Trail Ext - CIP #854A - Design	×		46,584						46,584	46,584 Task 2 - Design Engineering through anticipated construction phase
Santa Fe River Trail Improvements	×		42,603						42,603	
Las Mascaras Trail	×		926						926	956 Desirae working with contractor to close out job - dirt discrepancy
Tierra Contenta Trail - Construction	×		200,000						500,000	500,000 Anticipated construction costs
Canada Rincon Trail - Design	×		65,000					-	65,000	65,000 Budgeted for \$200,000
MRC Trail - Construction-City Matching Funds for El Camino Real NHT Buckman Rd retracement trail	×		150,000						150,000	Federal Lead Project with city matching funds for \$150,000, Not yet started but budgeted for \$150,000
Soccer Valley Impr. & Exp - CIP #523A/NMCAP L-14-2004	×		000'05						20,000	50,000 Current contract for design of MRC Soccer Valley improvements
SF Rail Trail & River Trail-Works in Progress - Design-SF R&T II/Arroyo Chamiso	×		3,047						3,047	3,047 Project (Santa Fe Rail Trail Retaining Wall - CIP413C) complete - Grant funding currently in process of closure with NMDOT.
Trails Projects-Professional Contracts-Santa Fe Water Conservation Trust	×		80,425						80,425	80,425 PSA for \$100K signed by CC on 10/21/14 *Trail volunteer coordinator services
Acequia Trail Underpass Construction Engineering Services	×		20,000						50,000	PSA for Engineer of Record construction phase engineering services: bidding. RFI's, submittal reviews, record drawings.
					200230					
			•	•	•					

### CITY OF SANTA FE

I											
	Title/Description	anlognO	CURRENT FY 2015/16		AMENDMENTS	FY 2016-017	FY 2017-18	FY 2018/019	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
<u> </u>	PUBLIC UTILITIES										
<u> </u>	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES/SOLID WASTE</b>	世									
_	CNG Fuel Station Upgrade	×	7,028,177	177						2,028,177	
	MWH Finance Plan for ESD	×	1,	1,364						1,364	
			A STATE OF THE STA							To the second of	<ol> <li>A. Barton, M. W. Williams, A. W. Williams, M. W. Williams, and C. W. Williams, Annual Street, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10, 120 (1997).</li> </ol>
<u>≷</u>	WASTE WATER										
L	Master Plan	×	350,000	000						350,000	
	Sewer rodder		×		125,000		125,000		125,000	375,000	
_	MWH Finance Plan for Wastewater	×			1,364					1,364	
	Re-roof of and Building Support System		×		164,000	60,000	60,000	000'09	60,000	404,000	
Ĺ	Digester Boiler	-	×		68,000					68,000	
	Aeration Basin Line Rehabilitation/Replacement	_	×				120,000	~		120,000	
	Sewer Line Rehabilitation (small diameter)		×			200,000	200,000	500,000	200,000	2,000,000	
	Nutrient Study		×			150,000				150,000	
	Sewer Camera Van Unit		×			185,000				185,000	The state of the s
	Vactor Truck	_	×			380,000		380,000		760,000	
L	Electrical Tramsformer Replacement	_	×			23,000	23,000	53,000		159,000	THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF
	Additional Sludge Transfer Pump	_	×			61,000				61,000	
	Compost Mixer	_	×			100,000				100,000	
Ĺ	Return Activated Sludge Pumps Replacment	_	×				85,000		85,000	170,000	
	Additional Tertiary Treatment Filters	_	×			~-	150,000		150,000	300,000	ANALYSIS TO THE PROPERTY OF TH
	Treated Effluent Pump Replacement	_	×				16,000		16,000	32,000	
	Ultra Violet Bank Replacement		×				20,000	20,000	20,000	150,000	
	Aeration Basin Differ Replacement	_	×				250,000			250,000	The state of the s
	Covered Storage		×				50,000	50,000	50,000	150,000	
	Turblex Biower Replacement	_	×			200,000		200,000		400,000	And the second s
	Additional Dewatering Equipment (ie Beltpress)		×						200,000	200,000	
	Post Aeration for Dewatering Facility	_	×				330,000	990,000		000'066	A STATE OF THE STA
	Existing Digester Rehabiliation	Ĥ	×					1,000,000		1,000,000	
	Dewatering Facility Dump Truck		×						100,000	100,000	
	Primary Clarifier Rehabilitation		×						250,000	250,000	
	Wastewater Treatment Plant - Replace Anaerobic Digester		×		850,000	3,350,000	1,150,000			5,350,000	5,350,000 includes \$339,000 originally allocated to SWANN line/system project
	6" by-pass pump trailer mounted	f	×		58,000					58,000	

Title/Description	anioano weld	CURRENT FY 2015/16	AMENDMENTS	FY 2016-017	FY 2017-18	FY 2018/019	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
WATER									
MWH Finance Plan for Water	×	1,364						1,364	
Paved Street Rehab-Vehicles > 1.5 Tons-Sweeping.	×	271,984						271,984	Street Sweeper
Santa Fe Conservation Trust	×			20,000	20,000	50,000	20,000	200,000	PSA contract (#14-1094)
Cerrillos Main (Parsons Brinckerhoff)	×	1,779						1,779	
Watershed Education (Wet Water)	×	2,704						2,704	
Building/Structures-Southwest Abatement	×	2,720						2,720	
Mcclure Reservoir project (SF Engineering)	×	2,975			AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON			2,975	
R Winters Archeology	×	3,192						3,192	
Conservation Education	×	3,552						3,552	
Conservation Date (conservirack)	×	4,004						4,004	
Resource Wise Training	×	4,452						4,452	
On Call Engineering Services	×	5,256						5,256	
Conservirack conservation data	×	7,659						7,659	
Service Contracts-USDA Forest Service	×	8,427						8,427	The state of the s
Water History Park & Museum Phase II - Design	×	8,567						8,567	
Public Relations (PK)	×	8,732						8,732	
SF Watershed Association	×	10.949						10,949	
City Wide (TLC)	×	12,104						12,104	
Mirling Recovering	×	13.140	And the second of the second of the second second or the second s	The second desired to the second second				13,140	The state of the s
Medure Reservoir project (SF Engineering)	×	14.462						14,462	
USDAUSGS	×	17,005						17,005	
Water Rights (LWA)	×	19,868						19,868	
TLC City Wide	×	20,187						20,187	
Water Division Operations-Service Contracts-USDA	×	21,002						21,002	
Mcclure Reservoir project (Weaver)	×	24,056						24,056	
Conservation data (conservtrack)	×	24,947						24,947	
Coop Ed Svcs - Canyon Road	×	25,227						777.57	
Drafting and project Consultant - Cordova	× ;	25,997						350.05	
City Wide Pavement (TLC)	× >	29,076						29,070	High the state of
Conserving conservation data	< >	31 880						31.880	
Consequation data (consequent)	< ×	34 240						34,240	The state of the s
Alpha Southwest Emergency Repair	×	49.732						49,732	
Tank Projects - Construction-Sasquatch	×	50,278						50,278	
Watershed Tours - SF Watershed Association	×	55,184						55,184	
Public Outreach & Education - SF Watershed Association	×	90,386						80,386	magazina aran a
Mcclure Reservoir - Design	×	101,343						101,343	
Water History Park & Museum Phase II- Construction	×	111,467						111,467	
Mcclure Reservoir - Santa Fe Engeering	×	124,045						124,045	
Professional Contracts - SOS Emergency Repair	×	130,415						130,415	
System Equipment-Alpha Southwest Emergency Repair	×	141,307						141,307	
Civ Wide Liflin Repair (Sub Surface)	×	624.614						624,614	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O
ליינו	-							204 634	The second secon

### CITY OF SANTA FE 5-YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN FY 2015/16 - 2019-20

Title/Description	gniognO wek	CURRENT FY 2015/16	AMENDMENTS	FY 2016-017	FY 2017-18	FY 2018/019	FY 2019/020	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
Mcclure Reservoir project - Construction RMCI	×	2,284,450	20					2,284,450	
Water Rights Acquisition	×	36,773	73 851,900	200,002	200,000	200,000	200,000	1,688,673	
Management of Upper Waterhed	×	368,452	52	276,755	270,331	270,331	270,331	1,456,199	
Long Range Water Supply Plan	×			25,000	85,000			110,000	
Canyon Road WTP- building renovations	×		81,141	20,000	50,000	,		181,141	Married Infection and American Association and
City wells rehabilitation	×		108,313	108,313	108,313	108,313	108,313	541,565	A THE RESIDENCE AND A SECOND STATE OF THE SECO
Water treatment plant valve replacements	×		162,469	162,469	162,469	162,469	162,469	812,345	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
Design and construction City well field T&D upgrades	×					1,500,000	3,000,000	4,500,000	
Large meter replacement	×		162,469	270,783	270,783	270,783	270,783	1,245,601	
Building improvements - old filter treatment demolition	×		341,417	25,000	•	•		396,417	
Buckman parallel trunk line	×		375,000	1,083,125	2,707,812	2,707,812		6,873,749	
Water treatment plant - Security & Safety	×		375,000	108,313	108,313	108,313	108,313	808,252	The second secon
Division system equipment improvements	×		540,938	541,563	541,563	541,563	541,563	2,707,190	THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF
Hospital tank	×		200,000	3,249,375	3,574,313			7,323,688	
Distribution system SCADA	×		250,000	541,563	541,563	541,563	541,563	2,416,252	
On call engineering	×		676,954	626'923	676,953	676,953	676,953	3,384,766	
Canyon Road Raw Water Pipeline Replacement	×		200,000	1,516,375	1,516,375	1,149,875		4,682,625	
Distribution system priority line replacement	×		1,837,917	1,083,125	1,083,125	1,083,125	1,083,125	6,170,417	
BDD - 4 mg tank	×			•	541,563			541,563	
Bi-Centennial Well - a second supplemental well	×				000,009	000'009		1,200,000	
Canada well	×	•		541,562	541,562			1,083,124	
Dempsy, SouthWest, & East High - Booster station	×	'		324,938	2,166,250	2,166,250	2,166,250	6,823,688	
Water treatment plant security for source & storage	×			181,072	270,781	270,781	270,781	1,083,124	
Booster Storage Facility Rehab	×			108,313	108,313	108,313	108,313	433,252	
Suction Tank	×			,		162,469	2,166,250	2,328,719	
Reverse Flow	×	•			•	162,469		162,469	
Buckman Booster Replacement & Expansion	×			•		866,500	3,249,375	4,115,875	
Dempsey Storage Tank	×				541,562	1,624,688		2,166,250	
Reservoirs Electric & Fiber Optic Extension	×	•		270,781	649,875	-		959'026	
Reservoirs Well Modifications	×	•		54,153	216,625	'		270,778	
Buckman Well - Uranium Remediation's & Arsenic Treatment	×	'		216,625	162,469			379,094	•
Reservoirs Outlet Pipe Rehab - Chemical Feed	×			433,250		-		433,250	
Transmission & distrib./storage system master plan	×			135,391	135,391	-		270,782	
Asset Management Plan	×			125,000				125,000	
Summit Booster Station Replacement & Expansion	*			162,469				162,469	
Reservoirs Instrumentation & Metering	×			108,313	108,313	-		216,626	
East High Level Booster Station	×			162,469		2,166,250		2,328,719	
Southwest Tank Supply Pipeline	×						2,133,753	2,133,753	
								7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

**UNFUNDED NEEDS** 

<u> </u>	bescription	Web/	FY 2016/2017	FY 2017/2018	FY 2018/2019	FY 2018/2019 FY 2019/2020	FY 2020/2021	Total	FUNDED PORTION
Ē	PARKS								
	MRC-CIP #523A/NMCAP L-14-2004 MRC Soccer Valley Improvements & Expansion - DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	 895,000	8,080,000	4,545,000		13,520,000	13,520,000 S10,839,930.00 need for continuation of design and for construction. SEE CAPITAL PROJECT BUDGET REQUEST.
L	Total Un-funded Parks	-		895,000	8,080,000	4,545,000	-	13,520,000	
2	Public WORKS								
<u> </u>	Southside Transit Center & Police Dept Transit Construction	×		1,580,203	1,580,203			3,160,406	3,160,406 \$588,093 from balance of Grant #NM-04-0009
_	Southside Transit Center & Police Dept PD Construction	×		1,709,525	1,709,525			3,419,050	
	Total Un-funded Public Works	-		3,289,728	3,289,728	140,000	240,000	6,959,456	
Ĕ	TRANSPORTATION								
	Airport Terminal expansion	×		300,000	3,000,000			3,300,000	
	Alrport Parking lot expansion/resurfacing	×		200,000	2,000,000			2,200,000	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
	Rental Car servicing facility consolidation	×		20,000	650,000			700,000	
_	Runway 2 20 complete reconstruction	×				400,000	7,900,000	8,300,000	
<u>_</u>	Total Un-funded Transportation	-	-	250,000	2,650,000	400,000	000'006'2	14,500,000	



### FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING OF January 4, 2016 BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS SCHEDULED FOR INTRODUCTION BY MEMBERS OF THE GOVERNING BODY

	Mayor Javier Gonzales	
Co-Sponsors	Title	Tentative Committee Schedule
	A RESOLUTION	
	EXEMPTING CURRENT MERCHANTS IN THE	Public Works
	SANBUSCO MARKET CENTER FROM BUILDING	Committee - 1/11/16
	PERMIT, FIRE INSPECTION AND IMPACT FEES	City Business Quality
	WHEN RELOCATING THEIR BUSINESS TO A NEW	of Life Committee -
	LOCATION WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY	1/13/16
	OF SANTA FE; AND MAINTAINING THE	Finance Committee -
	REQUIREMENT TO SUBMIT CONSTRUCTION	1/19/16
	DOCUMENTS PREPARED BY A REGISTERED	City Council - 1/27/16
	DESIGN PROFESSIONAL FOR APPROVAL BY THE	
	LAND USE DEPARTMENT.	
	Councilor Patti Bushee	
Co-Sponsors	Title	Tentative Committee Schedule
	A RESOLUTION	
	IN SUPPORT OF THE 'NEW MEXICO GROWN FRESH	City Council - 1/13/16
	FRUITS AND FRESH VEGETABLES FOR SCHOOL	
	MEALS PROGRAM' STATE LEGISLATION.	
	C I DII Di	
~ ~	Councilor Bill Dimas Title	Tentative Committee
Co-Sponsors	Title	Schedule
	Councilor Carmichael Dominguez	
Co-Sponsors	Title	Tentative Committee Schedule
Maestas		Schedule
141aCStaS	Commeller Deter Ives	
Co-Sponsors	Councilor Peter Ives Title	Tentative Committee
Co-oponsors		Schedule
	Councilor Signe Lindell	(m) (1) (1)
Co-Sponsors	Title	Tentative Committee Schedule
	A RESOLUTION	
	OPPOSING PROPOSED LEGISLATION, HOUSE BILL	City Council – 1/13/16
	55 – AMENDING NEW MEXICO'S HUMAN RIGHTS	
	ACT TO PERMIT DISCRIMINATION AGAINST	
	PERSONS BASED ON SEXUAL ORIENTATION OR	
	GENDER IDENTITY.	

l

Co-Sponsors	Councilor Joseph Maestas Title	Tentative Committee Schedule
Co-Sponsors	Councilor Chris Rivera Title	Tentative Committee
	Councilor Ron Trujillo	Schedule
Co-Sponsors	Title	Tentative Committee Schedule

Introduced legislation will be posted on the City Attorney's website, under legislative services. If you would like to review the legislation prior to that time or you would like to be a co-sponsor, please contact Jesse Guillen, (505) 955-6518, <u>jbguillen@santafenm.gov</u> or Rebecca Seligman at (505) 955-6501, <u>rxseligman@santafenm.gov</u>.

### 1 CITY OF SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 2 RESOLUTION NO. 2016-\_\_ 3 **INTRODUCED BY:** 4 5 Mayor Javier M. Gonzales 6 7 8 9 10 A RESOLUTION EXEMPTING CURRENT MERCHANTS IN THE SANBUSCO MARKET CENTER 11 FROM BUILDING PERMIT, FIRE INSPECTION AND IMPACT FEES WHEN 12 13 RELOCATING THEIR BUSINESS TO A NEW LOCATION WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY OF SANTA FE; AND MAINTAINING THE 14 REQUIREMENT TO SUBMIT CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PREPARED BY A 15 REGISTERED DESIGN PROFESSIONAL FOR APPROVAL BY THE LAND USE 16 17 DEPARTMENT. 18 19 WHEREAS, the Sanbusco Market Center has been a center for commerce since it 20 opened in 1986; and 21 WHEREAS, Sanbusco Market Center is listed on the National Register of Historic 22 Places, The New Mexico Register of Historic Places and The New Mexico Register of Cultural 23 Places; and 24 WHEREAS, there are approximately eighteen merchants currently housed in the 25 Sanbusco Market Center shopping area; and

1	WHEREAS, in August, 2015, the Santa Fe Sanbusco Center was auctioned for \$7.3
2	million and is intended to be the future location for the New Mexico School for the Arts, a charter
3	school in 2016; and
4	WHEREAS, through no fault of their own, merchants currently housed in the Sanbusco
5	Market Center will need to seek alternate business locations.
6	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE
7	CITY OF SANTA FE that staff is directed to waive the building permit, fire inspection, and
8	impact fees for any merchant currently housed in the Sanbusco Market Center that moves their
9	business to another location within the boundaries of the City of Santa Fe.
10	BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that businesses affected by this resolution will continue
11	to comply with the requirement to submit construction documents prepared by a New Mexico
12	registered design professional for new business locations to be approved by the Land Use
13	Department.
14	PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED thisday of, 2016.
15	
16	
17	ATTEST: JAVIER M. GONZALES, MAYOR
18	
19	
20	YOLANDA Y. VIGIL, CITY CLERK
21	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
22	
23	
24	KELLEY A. BRENNAN, CITY ATTORNEY
25	M/Legislation/Resolutions 2016/Sanbusco Merchant Assistance

1	CITY OF SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
2	RESOLUTION NO. 2016
3	INTRODUCED BY:
4	
5	Mayor Javier M. Gonzales
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	A RESOLUTION
11	IN SUPPORT OF THE 'NEW MEXICO GROWN FRESH FRUITS AND FRESH
12	VEGETABLES FOR SCHOOL MEALS PROGRAM' STATE LEGISLATION.
13	
14	WHEREAS, thirty percent (30.3%) of kindergarteners and almost thirty-nine percent
15	(38.9 %) of third graders in New Mexico are considered overweight or obese; and
16	WHEREAS, 342,902 children participate in the school lunch program operated by the
17	various school meals programs throughout New Mexico, of which more than 212,000 are eligible
18	to participate in the free or reduced-price school meal programs; and
19	WHEREAS, the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) makes it possible for all
20	school children in the United States to receive a nutritious lunch every school day; and
21	WHEREAS, local school meal programs will see increased costs for food purchases of
22	an average of \$.25 to \$.75 per plate with a minimal Federal increase of \$.06 reimbursement per
23	meal; and
24	WHEREAS, the National School Lunch Program provides a reimbursement of \$2.86 for
25	free lunches and \$2.46 for reduced-priced lunches; and,

Elhehet "Y"

1	WHEREAS, the purchase of New Mexico fruits and vegetables will enhance the diet of
2	these school children and help school meal programs comply with new Federal rules that now
3	require additional servings of fruits and vegetables on the children's school meal plates; and,
4	WHEREAS, there is an emerging agricultural sector in NM—small and medium-size
5	fruit and vegetable farmers—who can respond to this new food "market" for local produce in the
6	school meal programs; and
7	WHEREAS, increased funding from the state legislature enables school meal programs
8	and local school districts to purchase New Mexico grown produce, serve more fresh fruit and
9	vegetables to our children for their health and well-being, and support economic development and
10	opportunities for our local farmers; and
11	WHEREAS, increased funding would assist our schools and farmers in Santa Fe City
12	and County.
13	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE
14	CITY OF SANTA FE that it joins the New Mexico Food and Agriculture Policy Council, the
15	New Mexico School Nutrition Association, the Santa Fe City and County Advisory Council on
16	Food Policy, and other organizations in requesting that the New Mexico State Legislature
17	appropriate \$1.44 million to support the "New Mexico Grown Fresh Fruits and Fresh Vegetables
18	for School Meals" program.
19	PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED thisday of, 2016.
20	
21	
22	ATTEST: JAVIER M. GONZALES, MAYOR
23	
24	
25	YOLANDA Y. VIGIL, CITY CLERK

M/Legislation/Resolutions 2016/Statewide Food Program

1	CITY OF SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
2	RESOLUTION NO. 2016
3	INTRODUCED BY:
4	
5	Councilor Signe I. Lindell
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	A RESOLUTION
11	OPPOSING PROPOSED LEGISLATION, HOUSE BILL 55 - AMENDING NEW
12	MEXICO'S HUMAN RIGHTS ACT TO PERMIT DISCRIMINATION AGAINST
13	PERSONS BASED ON SEXUAL ORIENTATION OR GENDER IDENTITY.
14	
15	WHEREAS, the 30 day session of the 2016 Legislative Session begins on January 19,
16	2016; and
17	WHEREAS, New Mexico is a beautiful state welcoming to all people; and
18	WHEREAS, Santa Fe has always been a proud leader in recognizing basic
19	human rights and in fighting against discrimination; and
20	WHEREAS, Santa Fe and New Mexico welcome all people to visit, spend money in,
21	move to, and live in our beautiful state and city; and
22	WHEREAS, Santa Fe and New Mexico benefit from tourism and job creation brought
23	by all persons, regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity; and
24	WHEREAS, the Charter of the City of Santa Fe recognizes that Santa Fe has "a
25	history of tolerance towards all people, cultures, traditions, and lifestyles"; and

Ephiliel "5"

1	WHEREAS, the 2010 United States Census identified 5,825 same-sex couples living in
2	New Mexico and contributing to our economy and communities as parents, neighbors, business
3	owners, workers and students; and
4	WHEREAS, showcasing our diversity, The Williams Institute of the University of
5	California at Los Angeles reports that New Mexico enjoys the largest percentage of Lesbian, Gay,
6	Bisexual or Transgender (LGBT) Latinos leading families in the country; and
7	WHEREAS, gay and lesbian individuals live in New Mexico and serve as elected
8	officials, business leaders, and religious leaders and participate in our economy and civic process;
9	and
10	WHEREAS, the Human Rights Campaign reports that 89 percent of Fortune 500
11	companies prohibit discrimination based on sexual orientation; and
12	WHEREAS, it is in the State and City's best interest to attract entrepreneurial and
13	established companies alike, and other successful employers to Santa Fe and New Mexico; and
14	WHEREAS, when legislation to permit discrimination against persons based on sexual
15	orientation was passed by legislatures in Arizona and Indiana, the states' business communities
16	strongly opposed such legislation because of its impact turning away customers, employers, and
17	employees from those states; and
18	WHEREAS, the Governing Body passed Resolution 2013-44 which affirmed
19	"the human and civil rights of the residents of the City of Santa Fe are inviolate and shall
20	not be diminished or otherwise infringed"; and
21	WHEREAS, the Governing Body passed Resolution 2015-32 which affirmed "the City
22	of Santa Fe's commitment of non-discrimination".
23	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE
24	CITY OF SANTA FE that the Governing Body urges the New Mexico Legislature and the
25	Governor to oppose House Bill 55 being introduced at the Second Session of the 52 <sup>nd</sup> Legislature

1	2016 of the State of New Mexico, which modifies the p	rovisions of the Sta	te's Human Rights Act
2	to permit discrimination in employment, housing, se	rvice, and other p	ublic accommodations
3	based on sexual orientation and gender identity.		
4	PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this _	day of	, 2016.
5			
6			
7		JAVIER M. GON	ZALES, MAYOR
8	ATTEST:		
9			
10			
11	YOLANDA Y. VIGIL, CITY CLERK		
12	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
13			
14			
15	KELLEY A. BRENNAN, CITY ATTORNEY		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	·		
23			
24			
25	M/Legislation/Resolutions 2016/Oppose House Bill 55		