

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE HEARING THURSDAY, June 19, 2014 at 4:30 PM CITY COUNCILORS' CONFERENCE ROOM CITY HALL - 200 LINCOLN AVENUE, SANTA FE, NM

- A. CALL TO ORDER
- B. ROLL CALL
- C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: May 15, 2014 and June 5, 2014
- E. ACTION ITEMS
 - 1) <u>Case #AR-06-14</u>. Ron Winters, agent for Victor Sherman, 2 Doc Properties LLC, requests approval for reconnaissance of 0.362 acres at 832 Camino Sierra Vista and 903 Mercer Street in the Historic Downtown Archaeological Review District as complying with Section 14-3.13(C).
 - 2) <u>Case #AR-07-14</u>. Ron Winters, agent for La Vista Subdivision, requests approval for reconnaissance of 40.881 acres at La Vista Subdivision in the River and Trails Archaeological Review District and Santa Fe Trail Alignment as complying with Section 14-3.13(B)(2) and (C)(5) and (6).
 - 3) <u>Case #AR-08-14</u>. Ron Winters, agent for Ryan and Lauren McMonagle, requests approval for reconnaissance of 2.804 acres at 1055 Old Santa Fe Trail in the River and Trails Archaeological Review District and Santa Fe Trail Alignment as complying with Section 14-3.13(B)(2) and (C)(5) and (6).
 - 4) <u>Case #AR-09-14</u>. Ron Winters, agent for City of Santa Fe, requests an alternate method of compliance (monitoring plan) for 739 linear feet on Catron Street between Guadalupe and Catron Streets in the Historic Downtown Archaeological Review District as complying with Section 14-3.13(B)(4)(a).
 - 5) <u>Case #AR-10-14</u>. Ron Winters, agent for Wayne Bladh and Billy Halsted, requests approval for reconnaissance of 0.55 acres at 918F Acequia Madre in the Historic Downtown Archaeological Review District as complying with Section 14-3.13(C).
 - 6) <u>Case #AR-11-14</u>. Ron Winters, agent for Elizabeth Travis, requests approval for reconnaissance for 0.77 acres at 350 Delgado Street in the Historic Downtown Archaeological Review District as complying with Section 14-3.13(C).
- F. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS AND COMMUNICATIONS
- G. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE
- H. ADJOURNMENT

Persons with disabilities in need of accommodations, contact the City Clerk's office at 955-6520 five (5) working days prior to date.

SUMMARY INDEX ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE June 19, 2014

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>ACTION</u>	PAG
CALL TO ORDER & ROLL CALL	Quorum	
APPROVAL OF AGENDA	Approved	1-
APPROVAL OF MINUTES MAY 15, 2014 JUNE 5, 2014	Approved [amended] Approved	:
ACTION ITEMS		
CASE #AR-06-14. RON WINTERS, AGENT FOR VICTOR SHERMAN, 2 DOC PROPERTIES LLC, REQUESTS APPROVAL FOR RECONNAISSANCE OF 0.362 ACRES AT 832 CAMINO SIERRA VISTA AND 903 MERCER STREET IN THE HISTORIC DOWNTOWN ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT AS COMPLYING WITH SECTION 14-3.13(C)	Approved w/corrections	2-4
CASE #AR-07-14. RON WINTERS, AGENT FOR LA VISTA SUBDIVISION, REQUESTS APPROVAL FOR RECONNAISSANCE OF 40.881 ACRES AT LA VISTA SUBDIVISION IN THE RIVER AND TRAILS ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT AND SANTA FE TRAIL ALIGNMENT AS COMPLYING WITH SECTION 14-3.13(B)(2) AND (C)(5) AND (6)	Approved w/corrections	4-6
CASE #AR-08-14. RON WINTERS, AGENT FOR RYAN AND LAUREN McMONAGLE, REQUESTS APPROVAL FOR RECONNAISSANCE OF 2.804 ACRES AT 1055 OLD SANTA FE TRAIL IN THE RIVER AND TRAILS ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT AND SANTA FE TRAIL ALIGNMENT, AS COMPLYING WITH SECTION 14-3.13(B)(2)		+ v
AND (C)(5) AND (6)	Approved w/corrections	6-8

<u>ITEM</u>	ACTION	PAGE
CASE #AR-09-14. RON WINTERS, AGENT FOR CITY OF SANTA FE, REQUESTS AN ALTERNATE METHOD OF COMPLIANCE (MONITORING PLAN) FOR 739 LINEAR FEET ON CATRON STREET BETWEEN GUADALUPE AND CATRON STREETS IN THE HISTORIC DOWNTOWN ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT AS COMPLYING WITH SECTION 14-3.13(B)(4)(a)	Approved w/corrections	8-9
CASE #AR-10-14. RON WINTERS, AGENT FOR WAYNE BLADH AND BILLY HALSTED, REQUESTS APPROVAL FOR RECONNAISSANCE OF 0.55 ACRES AT 918-F ACEQUIA MADRE, IN THE HISTORIC DOWNTOWN ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT AS COMPLYING WITH SECTION 14-3.13(C)	Approved	9-10
CASE #AR-11-14. RON WINTERS, AGENT FOR ELIZABETH TRAVIS, REQUESTS APPROVAL FOR RECONNAISSANCE FOR 0.77 ACRES AT 350 DELGADO STREET, IN THE HISTORIC DOWNTOWN ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT AS COMPLYING WITH SECTION 14-3.13(C)		
` '	Postponed to 07/17/14	10-15
ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS AND COMMUNICATIONS	Information	15
MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE	Information	15
ADJOURNMENT		15

MINUTES OF THE CITY OF SANTA FE ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE HEARING City Councilors Conference Room June 19, 2014

A. CALL TO ORDER

The Archaeological Review Committee Hearing was called to order by David Eck, Chair, at approximately 4:50 p.m., on June 19, 2014, in the City Councilors Conference Room, City Hall, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

B. ROLL CALL

Members Present

David Eck, Chair Tess Monahan, Vice-Chair James Edward Ivey Derek Pierce

Members Excused

Gary Funkhouser

Others Present

David Rasch, Historic Preservation Division Ron David, Assistant City Attorney Melessia Helberg, Stenographer

There was not a quorum of the membership in attendance.

NOTE: All items in the Committee packet for all agenda items are incorporated herewith to these minutes by reference; and the original Committee packet is on file in, and may be obtained from, the Historic Preservation Division.

C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Tess Monahan arrived at the meeting

Chair Eck asked if there is a nexus on Case E(2) with the State Historic Preservation Office, noting the report mentions some sort of connection, and he is seeking to verify that there is none.

Mr. Rasch said he doesn't believe so.

Chair Eck said Item E(4) indicates the report will be forwarded to the State Historic Preservation Office after we have considered it. It makes sense, because this activity was in the City right-of-way, and is normally subject to the Historic Preservation Division review, and we will be moving to approve and recommend that HPD also accept it.

MOTION: Jake Ivey moved, seconded by Derek Pierce, to approve the Agenda as presented.

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - MAY 15, 2014 AND JUNE 5, 2014

The following correction was made to the minutes of May 15, 2014:

Page 8, Paragraph 4, line 1, correct as follows: "...the line the line..."

MOTION: Jake Ivey moved, seconded by Tess Monahan, to approve the minutes of the meeting of May 15, 2014, as amended.

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

MOTION: Derek Pierce moved, seconded by Tess Monahan, to approve the minutes of the meeting of June 5, 2014, as presented.

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote

E. ACTION ITEMS

1. CASE #AR-06-14. RON WINTERS, AGENT FOR VICTOR SHERMAN, 2 DOC PROPERTIES LLC, REQUESTS APPROVAL FOR RECONNAISSANCE OF 0.362 ACRES AT 832 CAMINO SIERRA VISTA AND 903 MERCER STREET IN THE HISTORIC DOWNTOWN ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT AS COMPLYING WITH SECTION 14-3.13(C).

Chair Eck asked if there are questions about the address, noting that when he looked at the City maps, 903 Mercer Street does not appear to be involved, and appears to be an adjacent property.

Mr. Rasch said he looked up both addresses and they were attached on the rear lot line, but on our GIS it comes out to those addresses.

Chair Eck said it is not so on the publicly-accessible GIS.

Mr. Rasch said on the internal [inaudible] view, both of them come up properly.

Chair Eck said then 903 Mercer extends all the way to the street on the left, and therefore would be adjacent to 832 Sierra Vista on the north, and Mr. Rasch said this is correct.

Mr. Winters said there are utility lines "and I did what I did, where I could."

Tess Monahan

Ms. Monahan said it is interesting, and she has no corrections.

Derek Pierce

Mr. Pierce had no comment.

Jake Ivey

Mr. Ivey said he loves the profile drawings, which show a layer of nothing and a layer of gravel.

Chair Eck

Chair Eck proposed changes to the report as follows:

Page 1, paragraph 1, line 7, under Abstract, correct as follows: "...provision 14-75.11 14-3.13 of..."

Page 5, paragraph 1, line 6, under Introduction, correct as follows: "...provision 14-75.11 <u>14-3.13</u> of..."

Page 28, Chair Eck said Mr. Winters description of the location of testing at two, repeats the language of the testing at one, noting two is at a completely different place, and he needs to take care of that language.

Page 29, paragraph 1, line 1, under Artifact Analysis, correct as follows: "delete the comma between "six" and "Isolated."

Page 29, paragraph 6, under Fiesta Ware, include a reference for this information.

MOTION: Tess Monahan moved, seconded by Derek Pierce, with respect to Case #AR-06-14, to approve the Reconnaissance Report for 0.362 acres at 832 Camino Sierra Vista and 903 Mercer Street, in the Downtown Archaeological Review District, requested by Ron Winters, Agent for Victor Sherman, 2 Doc Properties, LLC, with the suggested corrections, finding that it complies with the City of Santa Fe Archaeological Review District Ordinance, Section 14-3.13(C).

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

2. CASE #AR-07-14. RON WINTERS, AGENT FOR LA VISTA SUBDIVISION, REQUESTS APPROVAL FOR RECONNAISSANCE OF 40.881 ACRES AT LA VISTA SUBDIVISION IN THE RIVER AND TRAILS ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT AND SANTA FE TRAIL ALIGNMENT AS COMPLYING WITH SECTION 14-3.13(B)(2) AND (C)(5) AND (6).

Mr. Winters said the reason the phone was considered an IO, was after discussion with Michelle Ensey at SHPO, there was no standing structure and the only evidence of it is in the aerial photograph and the fragments of insulators that he found along the ground. Ms. Ensey said, because there is no physical structure, the phone line is considered an IO. He said also at this point, the Santa Fe Trail is still to the west.

Tess Monahan

Ms. Monahan said it is surprising how little he found for a tract of this size, especially in the location. It is also curious that Gertrude Lawler appears in this and in another one we have.

Mr. Winters said this is an old subdivision, noting he was surprised as well about how little he founding, noting he found artifacts but not in any number.

Derek Pierce

Mr. Pierce said when he first saw the title, Improvements on Old Santa Fe Trail, Santa Fe County, he wondered if we had jurisdiction.

Mr. Winters said it's not in the City, but it's in the Rivers and Trails Archaeological Review District. [Mr. Winters' remarks regarding this case were, for the most part inaudible, because he was too far away from the microphone]

Mr. Pierce suggested the following corrections:

Page 1, paragraph 2, correct as follows: ".... were documented have been documented.

Jake Ivey

Jake Ivey said he has no further comment.

Chair Eck

Page 1, paragraph 2, line 2, correct as follows: ".... Update citation 14-75.11(B) to the new City Ordinance numbers. **Mr. Winters** noted this was submitted "before we had this discussion." **"Chair Eck** suggested, in the long sequence of Archival Research Results, that "if you hit one like this in the future that you use sub-headings to break apart the various actual parcels, one from the other, so that when there are 3 paragraphs that relate to 1 parcel for instance, it is really obvious, as opposed to single paragraphs that relate to single parcels. It is real confusing. After reading it, you can follow the thread, but it's much simpler if one says Lot 11 and there are 3 paragraphs that follow and it is clear that they relate to Lot 11 and no other lot."

Page 33, Paragraph 2, says, "No evidence of the Santa Fe Trail system was observed on the project panel." **Chair Eck** asked if it is possible that the western boundary of this subdivision is indeed one of the traces of the Old Santa Fe Trail." **Mr. Winters** said, "Possibly. However, when you look at the map and you see how splintered it is, I could say that." **Chair Eck** said, "You don't have enough evidence to relate it, but I just wanted to get your gut feeling since you've been Mr. Santa Fe Trail." **Mr. Winters** said, "I still think, especially in the research I did in the previous survey, there is a segment of the Trail recorded south of here, and in fact, it is west of the highway. And that was one of the concerns in this project, because of the way it came out. The last recordings that we had documented, were the ones posted at Quail Run, I think, and that's considerably further north."

Page 35, Historic Glass Artifacts could benefit from a reference.

Page 39, Paragraph 3, line 3, change the language from "reported immediately to the Archaeological Review Committee and the New Mexico State Historic Preservation Office," to "notifying City staff."

Chair Eck thanked him for including the NMCRIS Investigation Abstract Form.

Mr. Winters said he likes to research as much as possible about the artifacts, and it was very upsetting and very moving to read the accounts about the woman who was killed on a bicycle who was hit head-on by an automobile driven by an individual with previous DWIs.

MOTION: Derek Pierce moved, seconded by Tess Monahan, with respect to Case #AR-07-14, to approve the request for approval of the Reconnaissance Report of 40.881 acres at La Vista Subdivision in the River and Trails Archaeological Review District and Santa Fe Trail Alignment, requested by Ron Winters, Agent for La Vista Subdivision, with the suggested corrections, finding that it complies with the City of Santa Fe Archaeological Review District Ordinance, Section 14-3.13(B)(2) and (C)(5) and (6).

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

3. CASE #AR-08-14. RON WINTERS, AGENT FOR RYAN AND LAUREN McMONAGLE, REQUESTS APPROVAL FOR RECONNAISSANCE OF 2.804 ACRES AT 1055 OLD SANTA FE TRAIL IN THE RIVER AND TRAILS ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT AND SANTA FE TRAIL ALIGNMENT, AS COMPLYING WITH SECTION 14-3.13(B)(2) AND (C)(5) AND (6).

Mr. Winters said this was a fun property to survey, and the project will have minimal disturbance. There is an overhead phone line on the eastern side of the residence, and they're just going to bury it, and it's not anywhere near the trail ruts.

Responding to the Chair, "Mr. Winters said, "What I suggested was because I know the subdivision had [inaudible] scheduled, so it was that. And in fact the Sierra Vista one, they were getting the permit the next day."

Tess Monahan

Ms. Monahan asked if a conservation easement will be recorded on the plat.

Mr. Winters said yes.

Ms. Monahan asked what procedures are in place to make sure that happens, and asked if it comes back to Mr. Rasch for validation.

Mr. Rasch said yes.

Mr. Winters said they have to submit the official plat with the easement on it.

Derek Pierce

Mr. Pierce said he really enjoyed the research material on the check dam, and it was particularly interesting how the members of the public received it, in terms of all the resources going into the wealthy side of town.

Mr. Pierce suggested the following corrections:

Page 36, paragraph 3, Situations, line 2, Mr. Pierce said: "I think you mean two features or two dams." **Mr. Winters** said it was felt this was the best way at the time.

Page 37, Paragraph 3, the reference to Reynolds et al. 1993, needs to be added to the Bibliography under the References Cited.

Page 44, Paragraph 2, line 5, correct as follows: "..(Figure XX 20)

Jake Ivey

Mr. Ivey asked if it would be better to use letter identifications in the artifacts folders. **Mr. Winters** said he can do that.

Page 43, Mr. Ivey said this is the most amazing broken bottle he's seen. **Mr. Winters** said he has never found a bottle like this, and the fact that it stayed whole is astonishing. **Chair Eck** said the impact that removed the two pieces of glass may have originated inside the bottle, because the bevel on the near break seems to be on the outside which is keeping with an impact on the inside.

Page 27, Chair Eck asked if this is the old City limits, or the old edge of the Archaeological Zone that would have stopped the survey. **Mr. Winters** said he doesn't know. **Mr. Ivey** said it is very strange that it all just quits right there, and nobody has anything else marked further south. **Mr. Winters** said he did a survey just south of Quail Run on the east side for Homewise, and did not find [inaudible]. **Mr. Ivey** said the City limits have moved further south in that area in several steps over the past 10-years. **Mr. Winters** said at some point it had to cross from east to west. **Mr. Ivey** said the actual possible tracks start getting much more limited a little further south of that. **Chair Eck** said he had never noticed that they terminate there. **Mr. Ivey** said he is bothered about trails that "abruptly quit." **Mr. Rasch** asked if there is a County map that would show the trail.

Chair Eck

Page 42 references Coors beer cans and horseshoe nails, and asked if the can is tin or steel, and what type of horseshoe nail is shown. **Mr. Winters** said the Coors can was "looking on eBay looking at cans for sale, and then matching up the images, suggesting an approximate age range and then the material."

MOTION: Derek Pierce moved, seconded by Tess Monahan, with respect to Case #AR-08-14, to approve the Reconnaissance Report for 2.804 acres at 1055 Old Santa Fe Trail in the River and Trails Archaeological Review District, requested by Ron Winters, Agent for Ryan and Lauren McMonagle, with the suggested corrections, finding that it meets the intent of the City of Santa Fe Archaeological Review District Ordinance, Section 14-3.13(B)(1) and (C)(4) and Section 14-5.3(D).

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

Mr. Rasch asked if there are two easements to be recorded, or only one.

Chair Eck said two easements are to be recorded.

4. CASE #AR-09-14. RON WINTERS, AGENT FOR CITY OF SANTA FE, REQUESTS AN ALTERNATE METHOD OF COMPLIANCE (MONITORING PLAN) FOR 739 LINEAR FEET ON CATRON STREET BETWEEN GUADALUPE AND CATRON STREETS IN THE HISTORIC DOWNTOWN ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT AS COMPLYING WITH SECTION 14-3.13(B)(4)(a).

Responding to Mr. Winters, Chair Eck said there was a request from Land Use that we try to figure out an overarching master monitoring plan that we can approve, and henceforth people could just go and do, and we will be happy and then we will review the report. If we can make all that happen, we can streamline this process.

Mr. Winters said when he did the [inaudible] on the River at Alameda and St. Francis, and comparing those finds to what was found at the Convention Center, there was a world of difference. The [inaudible] wasn't that different, but he would rather do a geomorphology to help explain to the Committee why we are finding what, noting it can vary.

Chair Eck thanked him for the geomorphological reminder, because that figured in our thinking about geomorphology and the overall patterns in downtown Santa Fe that led to a discussion about a study and a data base as a means of providing all this information in a comprehensive way to people, and the grant money to contract the study.

Tess Monahan

Ms. Monahan asked once the study is complete and the data base in place, if we will be able to have that overarching view.

Chair Eck said he thinks we can use the results of that study as very good and vital information for formulating this general document of overall strategy for monitoring to which we can all subscribe and implement at some point in the future.

Mr. Winters said then anyone who does this kind of project would need to comply with that baseline.

Chair Eck said this is correct. He said it is a matter of judging was the product worthy of the plan.

Derek Pierce

Mr. Pierce said the plan is fine. He suggested the following corrections:

Page 18, paragraph 1, line 2, under Flaked Stone Artifacts, correct as follows, "..." artifacts, will employ the following...."

Page 22, paragraph 1, line 1, under Pollen and Flotation Analysis, correct as follows: "Add an apostrophe and an 's" to archaeologist, so it is archaeologist's..."

Jake Ivey

Mr. Ivey asked, regarding Figure 1, if BOP and EOP means Beginning of Project and End of Project, and **Mr. Winters** said yes. Mr. **Ivey** said he should say that somewhere. **Mr. Ivey** suggested doing an action addition.

Chair Eck

Chair Eck said he has nothing to add.

MOTION: Jake Ivey moved, seconded by Tess Monahan, with respect to Case #AR–0-14, to approve the alternate method of compliance (monitoring plan) for 739 linear feet on Catron Street between Guadalupe and Catron Streets in the Historic Downtown Archaeological Review District, requested by Ron Winters, agent for the City of Santa Fe, with the suggested corrections, finding that it complies with the City of Santa Fe Archaeological Review District Ordinance, Section,14-3.13(B)(4)(a), and to forward a copy of the report and notice of this approval to the New Mexico Historic Preservation Division, as per NMAC 4.10.17

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

5. CASE #AR-10-14. RON WINTERS, AGENT FOR WAYNE BLADH AND BILLY HALSTED, REQUESTS APPROVAL FOR RECONNAISSANCE OF 0.55 ACRES AT 918-F ACEQUIA MADRE, IN THE HISTORIC DOWNTOWN ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT AS COMPLYING WITH SECTION 14-3.13(C).

[Mr. Winters remarks here are inaudible]

Tess Monahan

Ms. Monahan said she can't believe there is a $\frac{1}{2}$ acre model on the Acequia Madre that has never been developed.

Mr. Winters said when you look at the site map, as you wind your way in, it is south.

Derek Pierce

Mr. Pierce said he has had the privilege of learning something every time, noting he didn't know that Nehi and Royal Crown were one and the same.

Jake Ivey

Mr. Ivey had no comment.

Chair Eck

Chair Eck thanked Mr. Winters for the reference on the discussion of the glass artifact on page 26.

MOTION: Tess Monahan moved, seconded by Derek Pierce, with respect to Case #AR-10-14, to approve the Reconnaissance Plan for 0.55 acres at 918F Acequia Madre, in the Historic Downtown Archaeological Review District, requested by Ron Winters, Agent for Wayne Bladh and Billy Halsted, finding that it complies with the City of Santa Fe Archaeological Review District Ordinance, Section 14-3.13(C).

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

6. CASE #AR-11-14. RON WINTERS, AGENT FOR ELIZABETH TRAVIS, REQUESTS APPROVAL FOR RECONNAISSANCE FOR 0.77 ACRES AT 350 DELGADO STREET, IN THE HISTORIC DOWNTOWN ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT AS COMPLYING WITH SECTION 14-3.13(C).

Mr. Winters said he included 4 overviews to demonstrate how built the environment is. He said the Acequia Madre is recorded on the official plat.

Chair Eck said he noticed it was on the plat, but it isn't indicated as an official easement.

Mr. Winters said then the language needs to be changed.

Chair Eck said that would be a fine thing to do, but he doesn't know we can require that they do that, noting we normally recommend it, they say okay, and that is what we agree to.

Tess Monahan

Responding to Ms. Monahan, Mr. Rasch said he thinks this has been in existence for a long time and the current owner intents to stay away from the acequia alignment, but there is no easement to prevent it.

Chair Eck asked if we know where the additions are proposed to be made.

Mr. Rasch said, "Yes. They're on the east and the northwest sides, but not near the Acequia."

Chair Eck asked, in the event we approve this, and then 10 years from now somebody wants to put a gazebo on the southeast corner of the lot, would they have to come back to the City to do that.

Mr. Rasch said yes.

Chair Eck asked, when they come back if we would have to go through this whole thing again.

Mr. Rasch said, "No. We're giving clearance now, so there won't be any more archaeological investigation."

Chair Eck said, "I'm uncomfortable with the fact that it's on the plat, but it's not an easement."

Ms. Monahan said, "I don't think we can have people comply with the law more strictly than [inaudible]. I think we might want to see it, but we can't ask them to do it."

Mr. Rasch asked when we started putting archaeological easements on plats.

Chair Eck said he thinks about 15 years ago.

Mr. Rasch said, "So, I'm assuming we're going to catch more of these coming through in the future as people come back for development that hasn't been done in a while."

Chair Eck said, "Had it not been on the plat, and you observed this, recorded it and documented it, and said, yikes, this is part of the trail ruts, we would have had some discussion, probably based on your recommendations to the landowner, and you probably would have recommended an easement. So the fact is that we didn't go down that path this time, simply because it was already on the plat."

Mr. Winters asked if the official language needs to be put on the plat.

Mr. Rasch asked if it just shows the width of the acequia.

Chair Eck said it's literally a line.

Mr. Rasch said, "Then it doesn't even show a width of non-disturbance."

Chair Eck said, "No. It's just a line. It's like it's almost thrown in there for information purposes."

Mr. Rasch asked what would be the width of the easement the Committee would want there.

Chair Eck suggested 5 feet on either side.

Ms. Monahan said, "And then, we'll get to the other issue about is this an archaeological feature or is it an historic feature."

Mr. Rasch said, "The Acequia Madre and it's network, according to Phil Bové is acknowledged by the City as a significant feature."

Mr. Pierce said, "And given Ron's brief description of it, it's fairly intact, it's one of the older ones, and I think this portion of it is significant. So we need to address the mitigation of it one way or another."

Mr. Rasch said, "She set a little wooden foot-bridge. It's probably is within that easement."

Mr. Winters said, "Yes. One is a board, another one is a little bridge, but it's so heavily overgrown, you can't even see the trajectory. You see the photo. And it's right in the center of the photo, where the flowering plants are planted, and is quite deep at that point. I gave depth and widths in the description."

Chair Eck said we have easements on things that are less obvious, in many places around the City. "The fact that I can see it in even this photograph is pretty amazing."

Mr. Winters said, "Maybe having them on the official plat, based off this at center line, a dimension that includes my measurements. I measured both east and west ends, and with the 5 foot.... and then..."

Mr. Pierce said it seems that no easement can be placed without the landowner's cooperation, and perhaps we should table this case to give Mr. Winters time to inquire if the landowners are amenable to it.

Mr. Winters doesn't think it will be an issue, commenting she obviously has protected it, and he doesn't know that he has to get her approval. He said the reason he didn't is because it was already plotted on the official plat.

Ms. Monahan said the easement is recorded, but the position of the Acequia within the easement is not.

Chair Eck said, "Actually no easement is recorded. There is literally only an alignment."

Ms. Monahan said she understands, "but you see my point."

Chair Eck likes the idea of tabling this case. He said the normal course of action would be, "Ms. Landowner, here's the archaeological site, and it's also an historic acequia and we normally protect these things with an easement. Are you amenable.... and then you would bring to us your recommendation that an easement be placed on the plat."

Mr. Rasch said the H-Board has already approved a 6-foot high, stuccoed yard wall, that will be at the west lot line, so it will go through this easement. He asked, "Where does the acequia go after the west of the property. Gerald Peters or to what's her name."

Chair Eck said there is an intervening property before it gets to Peters.

Mr. Rasch said, "But there will be this wall going across the west lot line."

Mr. Winters said, "There is built environment just on the inside, but I think it's probably destroyed. I have to say, had I been able to deal with this directly, I would have a third guide which would be renovation of the place. I would send an email and then I would wait a week because she was in the middle of an operation and then recovering. I didn't have the normal discourse that I normally have with my clients."

Mr. Rasch said, "If the owner was not aware of this, I think there are options in the owners' court that she could approve an easement or decide to vacate this area by documentation. That's an option that she has to decide on, right."

Chair Eck asked Mr. Rasch to explain what he means by "vacate."

Mr. Rasch said, "She could ask him to do data recovery in order to remove this acequia alignment, or put an easement on it."

Chair Eck said, "She could. You wouldn't normally recommend data recovery, you would normally recommend the easement."

- Mr. Winters said, "We did that on 548 East Palace."
- Mr. Rasch said, "And then they destroyed it and then we documented it."
- Mr. Winters said, "I would say that we officially record it on the plat before the building permit is issue."
 - Mr. Pierce said, "But you can't record an easement without the landowner's permission."

Chair Eck said, "That was Ms. Monahan's concern, that we are making her decision for her. I think we really are in a mode of we don't know, because the conversations haven't happened yet between you and her."

- Mr. Winters said he doesn't know how flexible she is, and asked if the Committee will be meeting in July.
- Mr. Rasch said, "Yes. And I think they're still coming back to the H-Board for the building additions not yet approved by the H-Board, so they will be closed down there too."
 - Mr. Winters said he doesn't want to hold up construction.

Chair Eck said, "Especially when it's not going to happen anywhere near this, but we're looking down the road to the future, when Ms. Travis decides she's going back to San Antonio."

- Mr. Rasch said he thinks she's coming back to town soon, commenting she may have returned yesterday. He said, "I met with her, her designer, about the problems that the H-Board has with the development, and I'll be discussing this with her about this regarding additional pricing."
 - Mr. Winters asked when that will happen.
- $\,$ Mr. Rasch said, "I'm assuming that case is going to go back [to the H-Board] at the July 22, 2013, meeting."
 - Mr. Winters said that would be okay, because this Committee will be meeting before that time.
- Mr. Rasch said, "Once the H-Board approves it on July 22, 2014, on the second Tuesday, we approve the Findings and that starts the 15 day appeal period. So it is another month before the permit can be issued.
- Mr. Winters said then he will try to meet with her and explain this Committee's concerns, that even though it is on the plat, we would like an official conservation easement to be recorded.
 - Chair Eck said, "Also, do give her the option that David outlined. I can't imagine she would take it."
 - Mr. Winters said, "I'm not going to recommend that."
 - Chair Eck said, "You need to do what you would normally do with a client."

Jake Ivey

Mr. Ivey said, on page 36 of the Report, Mr. Winters quoted Spanish, and in the translation it should say "on the north," not "by the north." And it should be the Acequia de Analco, on the south. He said in this case, he doesn't think adelante means in the opposite direction from the road, he think it means beyond the road.

MOTION: Derek Pierce moved, seconded by Tess Monahan, to postpone consideration of Case AR-11-14, to the meeting of the Archaeological Review Committee, on July 17, 2014, or when the applicant returns to Santa Fe.

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

Mr. Winters asked if there is anything else he needs to correct on the report in this case, other than the easement, before the next meeting.

F. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS AND COMMUNICATIONS

Mr. Rasch said they may have a replacement person.

Too many people talking here at once to transcribe

G. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE

Chair Eck said the City Manager asked him to provide a report on what this Committee has done in the last several years, what we're working on and what we hope to accomplish in the future. He said he polled the members and heard from everyone but Mr. Ivey. He has incorporated all of their remarks in the report. He told him the number of cases in 2012-2013, how many so far in 2014, the fact that we are in the middle of the grant funded effort to do a downtown data base and compendium of what we think we know, and in the future we are looking forward to working on the revisions to the Ordinance. He said this is the gist of what he reported to the City Manager.

H. ADJOURNMENT

There was no further business to come before the Committee.

MOTION: Tess Monahan moved, seconded by Derek Pierce, to adjourn the meeting.

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote, and the Committee was adjourned at approximately 5:51 p.m.

David Eck, Chair

Melessia Helberg, Stenographer