ACTION SHEET
ITEM FROM THE
PUBLIC WORKS/CIP AND LAND USE COMMITTEE MEETING
OF
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 2015

ITEM 12

REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO COMPLETE THE OLD PECOS TRAIL SCENIC CORRIDOR PLAN BY FOLLOWING THE NECESSARY PUBLIC PROCESS **(COUNCILOR BUSHEE) (GREG SMITH)**

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE ACTION: Forward, no recommendation

FUNDING SOURCE:

SPECIAL CONDITIONS / AMENDMENTS / STAFF FOLLOW UP:

VOTE	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
CHAIRPERSON TRUJILLO			
COUNCILOR BUSHEE	X		
COUNCILOR DIMAS	X		
COUNCILOR DOMINGUEZ	X		
COUNCILOR IVES	X		

City of Santa Fe, New Mexico

LEGISLATIVE SUMMARY

Resolution No. 2015-___

Old Pecos Trail Corridor

SPONSOR(S): Councilor Bushee, Mayor Gonzales, Councilors Ives, Lindell and Maestas

SUMMARY: The proposed resolution would direct staff to complete the Old Pecos Trail scenic corridor plan, following the necessary public process.

PREPARED BY: Jesse Guillen, Legislative Liaison

FISCAL IMPACT: Yes

DATE: September 9, 2015

ATTACHMENTS: Resolution
Amended FIR

CITY OF SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

RESOLUTION NO. 2015-__

INTRODUCED BY:

Councilor Patti J. Bushee Mayor Javier M. Gonzales

Councilor Peter N. Ives Councilor Signe I. Lindell

Councilor Joseph M. Maestas

A RESOLUTION

DIRECTING STAFF TO COMPLETE THE OLD PECOS TRAIL SCENIC CORRIDOR PLAN BY FOLLOWING THE NECESSARY PUBLIC PROCESS.

WHEREAS, the Old Pecos Trail, with its panoramic views of the Sangre De Cristo and Jemez Mountains, and its historic connection to the Old Santa Fe Trail, is iconic and irreplaceable; and

WHEREAS, the 1999 General Plan states: "The character of Santa Fe is defined by more than just buildings. Many other features are recognized as making a collective contribution to the city's distinctive cultural landscape. Such features should be identified and recorded locationally and include acequias, street forms, and physical attributes"; and

WHEREAS, the 1999 General Plan directs the City to expand existing resource survey efforts to include recording characteristics and unique physical features and historic development patterns and to develop processes to preserve those characteristics, physical features, and development patterns; and

WHEREAS, the 1999 General Plan specifically calls for development of the Old Pecos Trail

Scenic Corridor , saying "The Old Pecos Trail Scenic Corridor designates Old Pecos Trail, between Cordova Road and I-25, as a scenic roadway and recognizes its importance as an unspoiled entryway into downtown"; and

WHEREAS, the 1999 General Plan states that development standards for the Old Pecos Trail Scenic Corridor, including land uses, density, and design controls, will be developed through a public participation process; and

WHEREAS, the city has adopted SFCC Section 14-5.5(a)(2)(A) Boundaries of the South Central Highway Corridor Protection District (SCHC), which defines the SCHC district encompassing the land within six hundred feet of the edge of the right of way on both sides of the following streets designated as special review districts in the general plan, and shown on the official zoning map in the south central section of Santa Fe: St. Michael's Drive; Old Pecos Trail; St. Francis Drive; Rodeo Road; and Interstate 25 and its frontage roads; and

WHEREAS, in the early 2000's, there was an extensive public process to develop more specific standards for Old Pecos Trail to be included within SFCC 14-5.5, the SCHC Protection District, as the "Old Pecos Trail Scenic Corridor"; and

WHEREAS, those standards were not fully adopted; and

WHEREAS, this lack of specificity has resulted in concerns that the Old Pecos Trail Scenic Corridor, as called for in the 1999 General Plan, is imperiled and needs immediate protection.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF SANTA FE that Land Use Department staff is directed to immediately reconvene the public process to develop standards for the Old Pecos Trail Scenic Corridor and to present those standards to the Governing Body for adoption into the City's Land Use Code.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this _____day of _____, 2015.

JAVIER M. GONZALES, MAYOR

ATTEST:

YOLANDA Y. VIGIL, CITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

KELLEY A. BRENNAN, CITY ATTORNEY

M/Legislation/Resolutions 2015/Old Pecos Trail Corridor

FIR No. 2707 - Amended

City of Santa Fe
Fiscal Impact Report (FIR)

This Fiscal Impact Report (FIR) shall be completed for each proposed bill or resolution as to its direct impact upon the City's operating budget and is intended for use by any of the standing committees of and the Governing Body of the City of Santa Fe. Bills or resolutions with no fiscal impact still require a completed FIR. Bills or resolutions with a fiscal impact must be reviewed by the Finance Committee. Bills or resolutions without a fiscal impact generally do not require review by the Finance Committee unless the subject of the bill or resolution is financial in nature.

Section A. **General Information**

(Check) Bill: _____ Resolution: X
(A single FIR may be used for related bills and/or resolutions)
Short Title(s): **A RESOLUTION DIRECTING STAFF TO COMPLETE THE OLD PECOS TRAIL SCENIC CORRIDOR PLAN BY FOLLOWING THE NECESSARY PUBLIC PROCESS.**

Sponsor(s): Councilor Bushee, Mayor Gonzales, Councilors Ives, Lindell and Maestas

Reviewing Department(s): Land Use

Persons Completing FIR: Greg Smith, Director Current Planning Division Date: 9/9/15 Phone: 955-6957

Reviewed by City Attorney: _____ (Signature) Date: 9/21/15

Reviewed by Finance Director: _____ (Signature) Date: 9-21-2015

Section B. **Summary**
Briefly explain the purpose and major provisions of the bill/resolution:
The proposed resolution directs staff to "immediately reconvene the public process to develop standards for the Old Pecos Trail Scenic Corridor and to present those standards to the Governing Body for adoption into the City's Land Use Code."

Section C. **Fiscal Impact**
Note: Financial information on this FIR does not directly translate into a City of Santa Fe budget increase. For a budget increase, the following are required:

a. The item must be on the agenda at the Finance Committee and City Council as a "Request for Approval of a City of Santa Fe Budget Increase" with a definitive funding source (could be same item and same time as bill/resolution)
b. Detailed budget information must be attached as to fund, business units, and line item, amounts, and explanations (similar to annual requests for budget)
c. Detailed personnel forms must be attached as to range, salary, and benefit allocation and signed by Human Resource Department for each new position(s) requested (prorated for period to be employed by fiscal year)*

1. Projected Expenditures:
a. Indicate Fiscal Year(s) affected – usually current fiscal year and following fiscal year (i.e., FY 03/04 and FY 04/05)
b. Indicate: "A" if current budget and level of staffing will absorb the costs
"N" if new, additional, or increased budget or staffing will be required
c. Indicate: "R" – if recurring annual costs
"NR" if one-time, non-recurring costs, such as start-up, contract or equipment costs
d. Attach additional projection schedules if two years does not adequately project revenue and cost patterns
e. Costs may be netted or shown as an offset if some cost savings are projected (explain in Section 3 Narrative)

Finance Director: _____

_____ Check here if no fiscal impact

Column #: 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Expenditure Classification	FY 15-16	"A" Costs Absorbed or "N" New Budget Required	"R" Costs Recurring or "NR" Non-recurring	FY _____	"A" Costs Absorbed or "N" New Budget Required	"R" Costs – Recurring or "NR" Non-recurring	Fund Affected
Personnel*	$ ***	A***	NR	$			
Fringe**	$ ***	A***	NR	$			
Capital Outlay	$			$			
Land/ Building	$			$			
Professional Services Planning Consultant	[~~$50,000~~]	~~N~~	~~NR~~	$			
Professional Services Meeting Facilitation	$10,000	N	NR	$			
All Other Operating Costs	$			$			
Total:	[~~$60,000~~] $10,000			$			

* Any indication that additional staffing would be required must be reviewed and approved in advance by the City Manager by attached memo before release of FIR to committees. **For fringe benefits contact the Finance Dept.

2. Revenue Sources:

a. To indicate new revenues and/or

b. Required for costs for which new expenditure budget is proposed above in item 1.

Column #: 1	2	3	4	5	6
Type of Revenue	FY _____	"R" Costs Recurring or "NR" Non-recurring	FY _____	"R" Costs – Recurring or "NR" Non-recurring	Fund Affected
No funding source identified					
_____	$		$		
Total:	$		$		

3. Expenditure/Revenue Narrative:

Explain revenue source(s). Include revenue calculations, grant(s) available, anticipated date of receipt of revenues/grants, etc. Explain expenditures, grant match(s), justify personnel increase(s), detail capital and operating uses, etc. (Attach supplemental page, if necessary.)

Staff has been unable to locate any work products generated by earlier efforts that are identified in the resolution, and anticipates that the work would essentially have to start from "scratch." Depending on the extent of public involvement and the complexity of regulations that are developed, the effort would involve a few hundreds of hours of professional services from staff and/or consultants for research, notification, conducting meetings, preparation of draft regulations, public meetings, etc. Since this project is not included in the annual work program for either the Land Use Department or the Long Range Planning Division of the Housing and Community Development Department, completing the OPT Corridor regulations would require a) professional service contracting, b) deferring action on projects that have already been included in departmental work programs, c) hiring new staff, or d) a combination of a, b, and c. Staff estimates [~~the contract amounts as $50,000 for professional planning services, plus~~] $10,000 for meeting facilitation services. Existing staffing levels for the Land Use Department Current Planning Division and/or the Long Range Planning Division of the Housing and Community Development Department could absorb the management of consultant services, and – depending on other project priorities – could also provide limited direct involvement in conducting meetings. No additional sources of revenue have been identified for this project.

Section D. **General Narrative**

1. Conflicts: Does this proposed bill/resolution duplicate/conflict with/companion to/relate to any City code, approved ordinance or resolution, other adopted policies or proposed legislation? Include details of city adopted laws/ordinance/resolutions and dates. Summarize the relationships, conflicts or overlaps.

No conflicts or duplications are anticipated. The OPT corridor project would need to be coordinated with other ongoing planning and code updates, including the update to the General Plan.

2. Consequences of Not Enacting This Bill/Resolution:

Are there consequences of not enacting this bill/resolution? If so, describe.

The existing "South Central Highway Corridor Overlay District [Section 14-5.5(A) SFCC 1987] will continue to regulate part of the area identified in the 1999 General Plan, and the remainder will continue to have no special overlay regulations.

3. Technical Issues:

Are there incorrect citations of law, drafting errors or other problems? Are there any amendments that should be considered? Are there any other alternatives which should be considered? If so, describe.

None

4. Community Impact:

Briefly describe the major positive or negative effects the Bill/Resolution might have on the community including, but not limited to, businesses, neighborhoods, families, children and youth, social service providers and other institutions such as schools, churches, etc.

The intent of the regulations is to preserve the distinctive features of the Old Pecos Trail entryway to the city.

Form adopted: 01/12/05; revised 8/24/05; revised 4/17/08